

Status of the Unreinforced Masonry Building Law



2000
Biennial Report to the Legislature
(Government Code Section 8875 et seq.)



Seismic Safety Commission SSC 2000-02

Year 2000 Report to the Legislature

Status of California's Unreinforced Masonry Building Law (Government Code Section 8875 et seq.)



Seismic Safety Commission 1755 Creekside Oaks Dr. Suite 100 Sacramento, CA 95833

SSC 00-02

Seismic Safety Commission

Daniel Shapiro Commission Chairman Structural Engineering

Elaine White Alquist Alternate: William Gates

State Assembly

Donald O. Manning *Fire Protection*

Andrew A. Adelman *Mechanical Engineer*

Richard Alarcon

Alternate: Chris Modrzejewski

State Senate

Scott P. Haggerty

County Government

Patricia Snyder Volunteer/Social Services

Douglas E. Mochizuki Local Government

Jeffrey A. Johnson

Seismology

Jerry C. Chang Soils Engineering

Ashok S. Patwardhan *Mechanical Engineer*

Seismic Safety Commission Staff

Richard J. McCarthy

Executive Director
Karen Cogan

Kathy Goodell

Kyshia Davis
URM Report Preparer

Henry Reyes, SE

Fred Turner, SE

URM Report Manager

Judy Deng

URM Report Preparer

Carmen Marquez

Adopted April 13, 2000 by the California Seismic Safety Commission 1755 Creekside Oaks Dr. Suite 100 Sacramento, CA 95833

© California Seismic Safety Commission All Rights Reserved

Cover Photos Provided By Commissioner Patricia Snyder

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	1
Introduction: URM Buildings	2
The URM Law	2
The Scope of the URM Law	2
Measures of Implementation	3
Types of Programs	3
Current Status of Implementing the URM Law	4
Loss Reduction Program Effectiveness	6
2000 Recommendations	8
Conclusions	8
Acknowledgments	8
References	9
Table A: Statewide Summary of the URM Law Implementation	10
Appendix A	A 1
Appendix B	В1

STATUS OF CALIFORNIA'S UNREINFORCED MASONRY BUILDING LAW

PREFACE

In 1986, California enacted a law that required local governments in Seismic Zone 4 to inventory unreinforced masonry (URM) buildings, to establish a URM loss reduction program and report progress to the state by 1990. Each local government was allowed to tailor their program to their own specifications.

On the surface, the level of compliance with this law has been quite high with about 96 percent of the 25,500 URM buildings now in loss reduction programs. But so far, only slightly more than half of the owners has reduced losses by retrofitting in accordance with a widely recognized building code or by other means. Significant progress has occurred, yet many URM programs are ineffective in reducing future earthquake losses.

What lessons can be drawn from California's experience with URM buildings and how can they be applied to future loss reduction efforts? This report summarizes the status of local government and building owner efforts to comply with this law. The Seismic Safety Commission adopted this biennial report to the State Legislature with its recommendations on improving this law in April 2000.

The Commission requests that the legislature hold a hearing on the inequities resulting from this law.

INTRODUCTION: URM BUILDINGS

Most unreinforced masonry (URM) buildings possess features that can threaten lives during earthquakes. These include unbraced parapets, and walls and roofs that are not well attached to each other. When earthquakes occur, inadequate connections can allow masonry to fall and floors and roofs to collapse leaving occupants and passers-by in harm's way. These risks to life can be significantly reduced with seismic retrofits.

The URM Law

California's main effort to reduce these earthquake losses is the URM Law. Passed in 1986, this state law requires 366 local governments in the highest Seismic Zone 4 (ICBO, 1985) to do three things:

- Inventory URM buildings within each jurisdiction.
- Establish loss reduction programs for URM buildings by 1990.
- Report progress to the California Seismic Safety Commission.

In addition, the law recommends that local governments:

- Adopt mandatory strengthening programs by ordinance.
- Establish seismic retrofit standards.
- Enact measures to reduce the number of occupants in URM buildings.

This law can be found in Section 8875 *et seq*, of California's Government Code (CA, 1986). It allows each local government to choose its own type of loss reduction program. This leeway is, in part, intended to allow for each jurisdiction to take political, economic, and social priorities into account. The evidence suggests that individual communities pursued earthquake loss reduction programs best suited to their own local priorities reflecting a local balance of safety and economic issues (CSSC, 1995).

California's Seismic Safety Commission monitors local government efforts to comply with this law and reports biannually to the state's Legislature. This report updates the Commission's prior status report of late 1997 (SSC, 1997).

The Scope of the URM Law

Seismic Hazard Zone 4 is a region defined in the California Building Code nearest historically active faults. In 1986, it included the major metropolitan areas of Los Angeles and San Francisco, roughly 27 million people, or 75 percent of the state's population. When the law was passed, the city of San Diego was not considered to be in Zone 4 (ICBO, 1985). Since then, San Diego has been added to Zone 4 and has now voluntarily adopted a loss reduction program (ICBO, 1997).

Approximately 25,400 URM buildings with an average size of 10,000 square feet have been inventoried in Zone 4's 366 jurisdictions. This is a relatively small percentage of California's

total building stock of 12 million or so buildings, but this law impacts many cultural icons and historical resources in older parts of the state.

In the 1980's, it was estimated that the URM Law would result in roughly \$4 billion in retrofit expenditures with activity well into the new century. This cost, although large, pales in comparison with several hundred billion dollars in anticipated damage from one major urban earthquake in California. Average expected losses from earthquakes in California are approximately \$3 billion per year. Future earthquake losses can be greatly reduced by carrying out effective URM programs.

For more information about the pioneering efforts before the passage of the URM Law, early progress, and social/economic issues you can refer to a earlier status report (CSSC, 1995).

MEASURES OF IMPLEMENTATION

Types of Programs

There are four basic types of URM programs that cities and counties have adopted. They are explained below in Table 1. Later in this report, their popularity and relative effectiveness is further described.

Few jurisdictions rely on demolition to eliminate their relatively few hazardous buildings. Most local governments regard demolition a last resort, and far more URM buildings statewide are being strengthened rather than torn down.

California now requires all jurisdictions to enforce the 1997 Uniform Code for Building Conservation, Appendix Chapter 1 as a model building code although local governments may adopt amendments under certain circumstances (ICBO, 1997). For historical buildings, the California Historical Building Code also refers to the UCBC (ICBO, 1998). The UCBC contains only technical standards and has no administrative triggers for retrofitting other than the issuance of permits. Each local government usually defines triggers for compliance. A fair amount of retrofitting has been performed in accordance with standards preceding the UCBC and may only partially comply with the latest UCBC.

Table 1. Types of URM Loss Reduction Programs ranked by general effectiveness from most to least (CSSC, 1995).

110111	most to least (CSSC, 1995).
Program Type	Summary
Mandatory Strengthening	These programs require owners to strengthen or otherwise reduce risks in their buildings within times prescribed by each local government. Time schedules vary and generally depend on the number of occupants. Programs are based upon the City of Los Angeles' Division 88 ordinance (LA, 1981) which is also the historic basis for the Uniform Code For Building Conservation Appendix Chapter 1 (ICBO, 1994) and the Seismic Safety Commission's Recommended Model Ordinance (CSSC, 1995). Triggers for the Model Ordinance were developed in 1991 in cooperation with the California Building Officials.
Voluntary Strengthening	These programs establish seismic retrofit standards and require owners to evaluate the seismic risks in their buildings. Owners then write publicly available letters indicating their strengthening plans and schedules (CSSC, 1990). This is a somewhat more effective type of program than notification only.
Notification Only	Local governments write letters to owners stating that their building type has been known to perform poorly in earthquakes. This is typically the least effective type of program. Most jurisdictions have adopted more comprehensive measures than this.
Other	Variations of the above with unique requirements and effectiveness. Some cities, for example, require owners to post placards on URM buildings that warn occupants and passersby of earthquake risks. In general, placarding has not proven to be an effective motivation for owners to retrofit. (CSSC, 1995)

Current Status of Implementing the URM Law

Every other year, the California Seismic Safety Commission contacts local governments affected by the URM Law and asks them to summarize their efforts to date. In the late fall of 1999 and

early 2000, the Commission contacted the 286 jurisdictions in Seismic Zone 4 with URM buildings.

Table 2. City and County Responses to the URM Law in 2000

Cities & Counties	Number	Percent	Population	Percent	URM's	Percent
• with inventories not complete	9	2%	155,565	<1%	80	<1%
• with inventories complete, but no URM programs	27	7%	565,340	2%	488	2%
• with no URM's	79	22%	2,386,724	11%	0	0
• with URM programs	250	68%	19,599,026	86%	24,785	98%
Totals	366	100%	22,725,505	100%	25,353	100%

The URM Law continues to gain effectiveness in 2000. There weren't dramatic changes from the 1997 data, but most of the changes still depict the continued efforts of local governments and owners to carry out the URM Law.

Among the noteworthy changes are the following:

- One more jurisdiction completed their inventory since 1997, leaving only nine that have not completed theirs.
- Cities and counties with inventories completed, but with loss reduction programs not established (as required by law) decreased from 35 to 27.
- The number of cities and counties with URM buildings in compliance with the law increased from 243 to 250.
- The number URM buildings that are in communities that don't have earthquake loss reduction programs decreased from 846 to 568. One of the goals of the URM Law is to have every URM building in the high-seismic region of California under some type of program, preferably mandatory strengthening. So even though the URM Law is expanding its progress, work still remains to be done.
- Unfortunately, three jurisdictions have repealed or relaxed their mandatory strengthening ordinances: Morro Bay and San Bernardino City have repealed theirs

and Eureka has lengthened timeframes for compliance. An unknown number of local governments do not appear to enforce their mandatory strengthening ordinances based on the absence of retrofit activity.

The size and numbers of each type of loss reduction program are summarized in Table 3. Most local governments chose to adopt more effective mandatory strengthening programs even though the state didn't require them. The remaining jurisdictions either don't have URM buildings or have yet to comply with the law.

Table 3. Number and Scope of URM Loss Reduction Programs in California's Zone 4 as of early 2000.

Type of Loss Reduction Programs	Entities	Percent	Population	Percent	URM's	Percent
Mandatory	129	52%	13,729,046	70%	18,884	76%
Voluntary	39	16%	1,620,225	8%	1,374	6%
Notification	46	18%	2,305,725	12%	1,639	7%
Other	36	14%	1,944,030	10%	2,888	12%
TOTALS	250	100%	19,599,026	100%	24,785	100%

The most notable progress since 1997 is that the number of jurisdictions with voluntary strengthening programs went up by 4, from 35 to 39. But these types of programs only encompass 6 percent of the URM buildings. Over three fourths of the URM buildings are in communities that adopted more-effective, mandatory strengthening programs.

Loss Reduction Program Effectiveness

The Commission wanted to depict the relative effectiveness of different types of programs, so several simplifying assumptions were made. Table 4 below is predicated on the assumption that most loss reduction programs have had sufficient time to cause substantial retrofit activity. Typically many programs were initiated around 1990 and have had seven years of retrofits. There are major exceptions to this assumption. Some programs are still just getting started and others were completed years ago. So the data to support the Commission's inferences remain incomplete and may be subject to other interpretations, since some retrofits are still in progress or have yet to start. In many ways, each building owner's situation is unique as well as each local government's, and the Commission has generalized with simplistic interpretations of the data below. Average values are provided, but the variation in retrofit rates is significant and not shown.

Readers should note that many strengthening programs have unique time schedules for compliance and that local economies vary widely from those with high property and rental rates to others facing high vacancy rates, low rents and property values. These variations are not captured by the information below.

Nevertheless, one way to gauge the effectiveness of different types of programs is by comparing average rates of retrofit and demolition. The following are the percentages of buildings in substantial compliance of Appendix Chapter 1 of the UCBC or demolished since their original inventories. These figures were taken from only those jurisdictions responding:

Table 4. Average Rates of Retrofit in Substantial Compliance with the UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 and Average Rates of Demolition Based on Local Government Responses to the 2000 Survey.

			<u> </u>	
Type of Program	Mandatory	Voluntary	Notification	Other
Retrofitted	42%	11%	6%	11%
Demolished	17%	5%	5%	6%
Total Percent	59%	16%	11%	17%
Total No. URM's	17,100	1,000	1,000	2,700
Entities Responding	96	30	26	28

Overall, the average retrofit rate in substantial compliance with the UCBC was 35 percent and the demolition rate was 15 percent. Mandatory strengthening programs typically have much higher retrofit rates than the other program types. However, the demolition rate in mandatory programs is also much higher than the other programs. Also, in the 1997 survey, voluntary programs didn't appear to be significantly more effective in reducing losses than notification programs. With the passage of two more years, we are beginning to see distinctly greater progress in voluntary programs compared to notification.

The presence of economic incentives coupled with URM programs seems to encourage owners in voluntary strengthening programs to retrofit. There is a 36% rate of UCBC retrofit for the three responding cities with economic incentives and about a 11% rate for those twelve cities without them. Although data is limited, it appears that economic incentives may have helped encourage voluntary retrofits by owners albeit at a somewhat slower pace than mandatory strengthening programs.

These observations about the relative effectiveness of program types and financial incentives should all be tempered with the particular characteristics that the state's URM Law confronts relatively high cost retrofits on generally pre-1933 buildings in a high seismic region. Other types of retrofitting and incentives in other regions and for other building types will likely produce different results.

COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS FOR 2000

- The Legislature should hold a hearing to revisit the state's 1986 Unreinforced Masonry (URM) Law and consider appropriate actions to address the inequities and the public's continuing exposure to risk that have resulted from the failure of a significant number of local governments to comply with the intent of the law, so that approximately half of the state's URM buildings remain unstrengthened. Actions to be considered should include but not be limited to:
 - Adopting legislation to mandate the strengthening of all unreinforced masonry buildings including state-owned buildings statewide in accordance with the state's model building code, the Uniform Code for Building Conservation, Appendix Chapter 1.
 - Developing and adopting legislation to encourage local governments jurisdictions that
 have little or no retrofit progress to provide financial incentives such as low interest loans
 to encourage owners to retrofit.
 - Exploring other policy options that would establish statewide financial incentives such as low interest loans.

CONCLUSIONS

Upon reviewing these results, the Seismic Safety Commission still recommends mandatory strengthening to local governments as the most effective URM loss reduction program.

Voluntary strengthening has not been as effective because current economic incentives are typically not sufficient to create a market-driven willingness to retrofit. The Commission has proposed additional retrofit incentives in its *California Earthquake Loss Reduction Plan* (CSSC, 1997). This new plans recommends that state and local governments "encourage economic incentives, such as improved mortgage terms, reduced insurance rates, and positive tax benefits, for upgrading structural and non-structural elements in buildings."

A follow-up Commission report titled "Incentives to Improve California's Earthquake Safety: an 'Agenda in Waiting'" (SSC, 1999) and an EERI report titled "Incentives and Impediments to Improving the Seismic Safety Performance of Buildings" (EERI, 1998) shed more light on the merits and nuances of incentives.

Still much remains to be done with respect to the URM Law. For example, California has 36 remaining jurisdictions with 568 URM buildings that are not in compliance with the law.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Commission wishes to thank all of the local governments that responded to the 2000 URM Survey. Without their efforts, this report would not have been possible.

The Commission also wishes to acknowledge the efforts of Carmen Marquez, Judy Deng, and Kyshia Davis in compiling the data in this report.

REFERENCES

- California Legislature. "The URM Law," Section 8875 et. seq., Government Code, California Statutes of 1986.
- California Seismic Safety Commission. "California Earthquake Loss Reduction Plan." SSC 97-02, May, 1997.
- California Seismic Safety Commission. "Status of the Unreinforced Masonry Building Law." SSC 97-03, 1997.
- California Seismic Safety Commission. "Status of the Unreinforced Masonry Building Law." SSC 95-05, 1995.
- California Seismic Safety Commission. "Earthquake Hazard Identification and Voluntary Mitigation: Palo Alto's City Ordinance," SSC 90-05, 1990.
- International Conference of Building Officials. "State Historical Building Code,"1998, California Building Standards Commission, Part 8, Title 24, California Code of Regulations.
- International Conference of Building Officials. "Uniform Building Code," 1985 Edition, Seismic Zone Map in effect at the time of the passage of the 1986 URM Law.
- International Conference of Building Officials. "Uniform Building Code," 1997 Edition, California Building Standards Commission, California Building Code, Part 2, Title 24, California Code of Regulations.
- International Conference of Building Officials. "Uniform Code for Building Conservation, Appendix Chapter 1," 1997 Edition, California Building Standards Commission, Part 10, Title 24, California Code of Regulations.
- Los Angeles, City of. "Division 88 Earthquake Hazard Reduction in Existing Buildings," February 13, 1981.
- Seismic Safety Commission, "Incentives to Improve California's Earthquake Safety: An 'Agenda in Waiting'", SSC 99-02.
- Earthquake Engineering Research Institute, "Incentives and Impediments to Improving the Seismic Safety Performance of Buildings," EERI, 1998.

Table A
State Summary of the URM Law Implementation

	Jurisdictions	Percentages	Population	Percentages	URM's	Percentages
Cities without inventories started	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cities with inventories not completed	7	2.0	126,065	<1.0	54	<1.0
Cities with inventory completed—No mitigation program started	25	7.0	509,390	2.0	475	2.0
Cities with no URMs	75	20.0	2,036,374	9.0	0	0.0
Cities with mitigation programs	230	63.0	15,569,726	69.0	23,690	93.0
Cities in Zone 4 affected by the URM Law	337	92.0%	18,121,805	80.0%	24,219	96.0%
Counties without inventories started	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Counties with inventories not completed	2	<1.0	29,500	<1.0	26	<1.0
Counties with inventory completed—No mitigation program started	2	<1.0	55,950	<1.0	13	<1.0
Counties with no URMs	5	1.0	488,950	2.0	0	0.0
Counties with mitigation programs	20	6.0	4,009,300	18.0	1095	4.0
Counties in Zone 4 affected by the URM Law	29	8.0%	4,603,700	21.0%	1134	4.0%
Cities and counties without inventories started	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cities and counties with inventories not completed	9	2.0	155,565	<1.0	80	<1.0
Cities and counties with inventory completed—No mitigation program started	27	7.0	565,340	2.0	488	2.0
Cities and counties with no URMs	79	22.0	2,386,724	11.0	0	0.0
Cities and counties with mitigation programs	250	68.0	19,599,026	86.0	24,785	98.0
Total cities and counties in Zone 4	366	100.00%	22,725,505	100.00%	25,353	100.00%
Types of mitigation programs established						
Mandatory Strengthening Program	129	52.0%	13,729,046	70.0%	18,884	76.0%
Voluntary Strengthening Program	39	16.0	1,620,225	8.0	1,374	6.0
Notification Only	46	18.0	2,305,725	12.0	1,639	7.0
Other	26	14.0	1,944,030	10.0	2,888	12.0
Total cities and counties with mitigation programs	250	100.00%	21,479,785	100.00%	24,785	100.00%
Cities and Counties that replied to the 1997 URM Survey	202	55.0%	17,312,291	76.0%	22,389	88.0%

Appendix A- 2000 Survey of City and County Mitigation Efforts

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey Ro	sults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)	,		
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction	Partial Compliance/Under	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted /Plancheck	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Adel	anto			•					11				1		
Yes	0	12	No		1					1	10				
	tion Prog														
	cal Mitig			: :											
Progres	ss and R	emarks:													
Λαοι	.ma III	114													
	ıra Hi		Voc			1									<u> </u>
Yes Mitiga	1 tion Prog	0 Tram Tv	Yes	complet.	nd etrope	thoning	of the b:	storic							
	cal Miti							Storic.							
	ss and R		lanuarus	state 1	iistoric b	unding (Joue								
Tiogre	ss and ic	ciliai KS.													
Alam	ıeda														
Yes	0	73	Yes	yes	2	22	19							30	73
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Para	pet, wall	anchora	ge, and v	vall slen	derness	limits on	ly.				1	
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	: 1985 Se	eismic Sa	fety Con	nmission	(SSC) N	Iodel Or	dinance	partially	referen	ced.		
Progres	ss and R	emarks:													
A 1	1	١ 4 -													
	eda C			V	1	/-		0	0	0	1	0	1	17	17
Yes	0	17	Yes	Yes	unkn own	n/a	unkn own	0	0	0	1	0	unkn own	17	17
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Notic	ces to ow	1	1								1	
	cal Mitig														
	ss and R														
A 11															
Alba		07						0							07
Yes	0	37	No	yes	1. 6.		4	0	0	0	0				37
	tion Prog	•	-	-				. 11/0	~						
	cal Mitig									7/05 T	ha 1007 /		aid that th	2000 11100	. no
sign	nificant c	hanges s	ince 199	5. 1999 sı	irvey rep	orted ch	nange of	staff. U	nable to v	verify no	o. of URN	As in cor	aid that tl npliance irnings u	with UC	BC. No
											1				
	mbra 6	164	Voc		150	150	0		r		9			9	170
Yes			Yes	datam: at	150	150	9		5		3			3	170
	tion Prog						06 of the	Loc An	golog Co	unty Ca	do				
	ss and R		ianuarus	. 1303 E	11011 0I	Спарцег	an or the	LUS AII	geles Co	unty C0	ue.				
riogre	ss and K	emarks:													

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Ana	heim														
Yes	0	16	Yes	yes		10	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	16
Mitiga	ation Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	trengthe	ning									
Techn	ical Mitig	gation S	tandards	: 1987 E	dition of	the SSC	Model O	rdinanc	e						
	ess and R ntified m			the city	believed	that they	only ha	d 1 URN	1 which v	was dem	olished,	subsequ	ent inve	ntories	
Anti		T	1	1	T.		1	T	T	T	П				
Yes	0	25	No												
	ation Prog		_												
	ical Miti														
Progre	ess and R	emarks:	Request	ed a cop	y of the 1	nodel or	dinance i	in 1995.							
App	le Val	ley													
Yes	0	14	Yes	yes											
Mitiga	ation Prog	gram Ty	pe: Notic	ces to ow	ners, ret	rofits tri	ggered u	pon alte	rations o	r additio	ons.	1		1	
Techn	ical Mitig	gation S	- tandards	: 1987 E	dition of	the UCB	C Apper	- ndix Cha	pter 1						
	ess and R								•						
Arca	dia														
Yes	0	22	Yes	yes	0	19					3			0	22
Mitiga	ation Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man		rengthe	ning						1			
	ical Miti						Model O	rdinance	e						
	ess and R														
Arca	ta				1	1		ı		ı	1	1			1
Yes	0	2	No		2										
_	ation Prog														
	ical Miti			:											
Progre	ess and R	emarks:													
Arro	yo Gra	ande													
Yes	0	25	Yes	yes	2	23	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Mitiga	ation Pro _§	gram Ty	pe: Mane	datory st	rengthe	ning									
Techn	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	: 1987 E	dition of	the UCB	C Apper	ndix Cha	pter 1						
Progre	ess and R	emarks:	Reduced	l permit	fees, ext	ended tir	ne limits	, and no	n-confor	ming bu	ilding us	se permit	ted.		
Arte	sia														
Yes	0	4	Yes												
	ation Prog		1	datory st	rengthe	ning	1	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	1				
	ical Miti						96 of the	Los An	geles Cor	unty Co	de				
	ess and R			. 1000 E	andon of	Shapter	55 OI 111C	LOS AII	8 C103 C01	arry CO	<u></u>				
Trogre	ss and R	emaiks:													

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey R	esults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Arvi	n														
Yes	0	16	yes	yes	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	0	12	19
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:									1	1		1
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	: Unifor	m Code f	for Build	ing Cons	servatio	n						
	ss and Rober 1997		The buil	ding offi	icial will	bring a o	draft mit	igation	program (to the C	ity Coun	cil for its	conside	ration in	
Atas	cadero)			T	т	1		-	T	1		T		
Yes	0	28	Yes	yes	8			1						19	28
	tion Prog														
	ical Mitiş		tandards	: SSC M	odel Ord	inance									
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Athe	erton														
No	0	1	No												
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:		JI.	ı	1		<u> </u>			1	1	- 1	1
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Aval	on														
Yes	0	19	Yes			2		2	3					12	
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	datory st	rengther	ning						1	1		1
Techni	ical Mitig	gation S	- tandards	: 1985 E	dition Ch	apter 96	of the L	os Ange	eles Coun	ty Code					
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
_	_														
Aver	nal		I	,	T	1				1		1	T		
No	0	8	No												
	tion Pro														
	ical Miti			:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Azus	sa														
Yes	1	27	Yes	yes		9	4	1	1		11	5		2	28
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	datory st	rengther	ning			*						
Techni	ical Mitig	gation S	tandards	: 1982 E	dition of	Division	88 Los A	Angeles	City Cod	e					
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Bake	ersfield														
Yes	0	191	Yes	yes	6	68	48	0	21	6	20	0	0	35	191
	tion Prog		1		1			l	-1	U	20	U	U	00	101
	ical Miti														
	ss and R			• 1001 E	111011 UI	ик оср	o rippei	idia Oll	ирил 1						
riogie	ss and N	viiiai NS.													

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey	Resu	ılts (n	umbei	rs of UI	RMs)				
Inventory Completed	toric	4 .	gram		ance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program		Retrofit Permit Issued		Frans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy		Slated for Demolition		sp.		9
Com	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Progr	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	rmit		/Panc	Ccup	- P	Dem		Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
tory	s s	oer of	Mitigation I Established	ed to	CCO	lianc	Partial Compliance/I Construction	fit Pe		rians Submitted, Underway	ced C	Demolished	l for		ing P	itiga	IS N
nveni	Numbe	umb Fistor	Aitig:	Replied Survey	JCB(Somp	Partial Compl Constr	tetro	-	Subm Under	seduc	Эето	lated		Warnin Posted	No M rogre)wne
Ä	2 5	2 1	и	K N	1	0 5	H 0 0	124	,	- S D	LZ.	Н	<i>o</i>		> H	Z	
Bald	win Pa	ark		I							T				I		
Yes	0	5	Yes	yes		4								1			
	tion Prog	•															
	ical Mitig									y Cod	e						
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	1955 pro	gram of	parapet	bracing	and wall	anch	ors								
Bann	ning	I	T	I							1				1		
Yes	0	49	Yes														
	tion Prog				ners												
	ical Mitig		tandards	: None													
Progre	ss and R	emarks:															
Barst	tow	T	T	ſ											I	1	
Yes	0	93	No	yes	1	0	0	0)	0	1	3		0	0	80	All
	tion Prog																
	ical Mitig																
	ss and Re									-Histo	ric.), 1	Vacant,	3 dem	oliti	ons of U	JRM's, 8	
dete	ermined	not UKN	and ren	novea ir	om list, a	80 URM	s notified	ı (tota	u. 93)								
Beau	mont																
Yes	0	37	Yes														
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	datory st	rengthe	ning											
Techni	ical Mitig	gation S	tandards	: 1988 E	dition of	Division	1 88 Los <i>A</i>	Angel	es Cit	y Cod	e						
Progre	ss and R	emarks:															
Bell																	
Yes	0	56	Yes		41		0	1		1		1		1		12	56
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:		1	1	1	1			1	1	1			<u> </u>	
	ical Mitig			:													-
Progre	ss and R	emarks:															
Bell	Garde	ns															
Yes	0	0	N/A														
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty		1	1	1	1	1			1	I .			1	1	
	ical Mitig			:													
	ss and R																-
Bellf	lower																
Yes	0	22	Yes	yes	0	13	2	0)	2	0	1		4	0		22
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty			1	1	1	1			1	1			1	1	
_	ical Mitig			: 1985 E	dition Cl	napter 96	of the L	os An	geles	Coun	ty Code)					
	ss and R					_					-						
3																	

	Juriso	liction			1		Su	rvey R	esults (n	umbe	rs of UR	Ms)			1
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Belm	nont														
Yes	0	2	Yes	yes				1	1						2
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	1 0	trengthe	ning									
Techni							C Apper	dix Cha	apter 1 as	amend	led to ref	lect the 1	990 SHB	C Draft l	Model
	ss and R	emarks:													
	edere														
Yes	0	0	N/A												
	tion Pro		1	I	1				1						
			re. tandards	::											
	ss and R														
Beni															
Yes	18	21	Yes												
				res to ow	mers His	storic bui	lding ou	ners we	ere not no	tified	notices to	tenants	semiani	uial prod	oress
	orts by b				, 11010 111	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2101101110		1001005 00	Condition	, 5011114111	ruur prog	51 000
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	: None											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Berk	eley														
Yes	0	587	Yes												
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory,	nonbeari	ing walls	and ven	eers.					<u>'</u>		
									tall vened					wo story	
dire avai	ected its	staff to d of state a	levelop a ind feder	hazards	evaluati	ion ordin	ance to b	e follov	ess license wed by a range.	nandat	ory stren	gthening	g ordinar	ice pendi	ing the
Beve	rly Hi	lls		_					_						
Yes	0	95	Yes	yes	88	88			1		2	2		2	95
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Mane	datory st	trengthe	ning									
				: Similai	r to the 1	991 editi	on of the	UCBC .	Appendix	Chapt	ter 1				
	ss and R														
	Bear L	ake													1
No	0	24	No			6	0				9			34	
	tion Duc.	oram Tv	ne:												
Mitiga			tandards												

	Jurisd	iction					Su	vey Ro	sults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Bisho	op														
Yes	0	1	Yes	yes							1				
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe : Mano	datory St	rengther	ning					"	"	"		
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	: 1988 U	CBC Stat	e Histor	ical Builc	ling Co	de						
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													
Blue	Lake														
Yes	0	0	N/A												
	tion Prog			·								·			·
	cal Mitig		tandards	:											
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													
Brad	bury														
Yes	0	0	N/A												
	tion Prog														
	cal Mitig		tandards	:											
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													
Braw	ley		1	1	1		1		T		T	T			
Yes	0	66	Yes			16								50	
	tion Prog				ners										
	cal Mitig		andards	: None											
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													
Brea															
Yes	2	1	Yes	yes		2					24	1			3
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Notic	es to ow	ners							•		•	
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	: None											
Progres	ss and R	emarks:													
Duant	twood	ı													
Yes	0	7	Yes	yes	3	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	4	7
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Volu	ntary str	engtheni	ng						•		•	
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	: UCBC	Appendi	ix Chapt	er 1								
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:	City put	together	r a fundi	ng progr	am in 19	92.							
Brisb	ane														
Yes	0	4	Yes	yes	0					2	1	1		0	4
	tion Prog		_												
	cal Mitig 3 UBC up						of Los An es of use.	geles D	ivision 88	3; for til	tup conc	rete buil	dings Se	ction 231	4 of the
	ss and Ro														

	Inried	liction					Su	rvev Re	eulte (n	umhers	of LIRI	Ms)			
	Julisu						Ju		Suits (ii		or Old				
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
			N/Δ	VAS											
					rengther	ning									
							of Los A	ngeles F	Division 8	28					
											s orgina	lly inver	ntoried w	ere URN	Л
Trogres	os una ic	cmarks.	The lates	ot sur vey	us 01 11	17 00 111	dicutes t	THE HOTE	or the o	bunume	orgina.	ny mver	itorica vi	Tere Otti	, 1.
Burb	ank														
Survey Results (numbers of URMs) Page Page		53													
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano		rengther	ning	I .	ı	<u></u>	I .	I .	1	1	1	<u></u>
		•	-				88 Los <i>A</i>	Angeles	City Cod	e					
									J						
Burli	ngam	e													
			Yes	yes	53		0		0		9			1	
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	latory st	rengther	ing	I	1	1	I	I			11.	1
		-	_				1990 Edit	tion of tl	ne SSC M	odel Ord	dinance				
												e—all ch	ose UCB	C. 2 URI	Ms with
(con	npletion	of retrof	it) is July	1, 1996.	Anticipa	ate probl	ems in g	etting 2	(of the or	iginal 54	propert	ies) to co	omply by	deadlin	ie.
Calex	rico														
		21	Yes	ves		21	7	2	1		0	1		10	21
					ners str					demoliti				10	~1
		•	-				cports, v	van ancı	1013, 4114	demond					
			tanuarus	. LA WI	odel Old	пансе									
Tiogres	ss and iv	emarks.													
Calif	ornia	City													
			N/A												
	_	Ū		1	1	1	1	I	1	I .	1	1			1
			-	•											
				-											
Calip	oatria														
		6	Yes												
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	latory st	rengther	ing							•		
							nty of Lo	s Angelo	es Chapte	er 96					
				<u> </u>			J -	9	1						

	Jurisd	liction					Sur	rve	v R	esults (n	umbe	rs of	IIRI	Ms)			
	Julisu						Su			suits (i	lumbe	13 01	OK				T
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued		Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished		Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Calis	stoga																
Yes	17	20	Yes		2	2*	1		0	1	0		0	0	0	33	37
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:														
Con	cal Miti npliance	are the s				re poten	itially ha	zar	dous	. *Denote	es that	the tw	o bu	ildings	in Substa	ntial	
Progre	ss and R	emarks:															
Cama	arillo																
Yes	0	37	Yes	yes		36				0						1	37
	tion Prog		1		rengther			1			1					1 -	
	cal Mitig	•	•				el Ordin	anc	e								
	ss and R			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	19 1000 2		01 01 0111										
riogre	35 4114 10																
Cam	pbell																
Yes	0	9	Yes		2											7	
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Volu	ntary str	engtheni	ng											
Techni	cal Miti	gation St	tandards	: Compl	ete 1985	Edition o	of the UC	СВС	incl	uding th	е Арре	endice	S				
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	An earli	er 1989 p	rogram (of mand	atory str	eng	then	ing was i	relaxed	l in 19	93.				
Capi	tola	I	1		1	I	1				Ţ.			1			
Yes	0	1	Yes	yes									1				
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Dem	olition													
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	:													
Progre	ss and R	emarks:															
Carls	sbad	I	T	1	1	I	1			T						1	
Yes	0	9	Yes	yes	2	1	0		5	0						0	9
	tion Prog	, ,	•														
	cal Mitig		tandards	: UCBC	Appendi	x Chapt	er 1										
Progre	ss and R	emarks:															
Carn	el-by	-the-S	Sea														
Yes	1	25	Yes	yes	0	20	0		0	0	1		0	0	0	5	25
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Volu	ntary Str	engtheni	ing											
	i cal Miti M Buildi			:: 1987 Ed	dition of	the UCB	C Apper	ndix	Cha	pter 1 fo	or URM	I Bldg	s, 19	73 Editio	on of the	UCBC fo	or Non-
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	20 Bldgs	were re	moved fi	om the i	inventor	v af	ter s	eismic ha	zard e	valua	tion i	reports v	were sub	mitted to	the

Progress and Remarks: 20 Bldgs were removed from the inventory after seismic hazard evaluation reports were submitted to the City June 17, 1991.26 Bldgs URM, Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants. The historic building is in the Carmel Mission Basilica.

	Jurisd	iction					Su	rvey	Results	(nun	nbers	of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck	Underway Reduced Occurancy	Neutrea Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Carp	interia	a			•								•			•
Yes	0	0	Yes	yes	3							0			0	0
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	datory st	trengther	ning										
	ical Mitig		andards	: None I	keportea 											
Yes	0	32	Yes			32	10									
				l datory Si	 trengther		10									
							of the Lo	ns An	geles Cor	ıntv (Code					
	ss and R		andarus	• 1000 L	andon Ch	apter 50	or the L	<i>33 7</i> 111	geles co	arrey v	couc					
Yes Mitiga	edral (0 gram Ty										1	1			
	ss and R		landarus	•												
Yes Mitiga	itos 0 tion Prog	0 gram Ty	N/A pe:													
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	:												
Progres	ss and Ro	emarks:														
Yes	2	25	Yes	yes		12						13	0	12		24
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Posti	ng, how	ever mos	t buildir	ngs will b	e den	nolished	due t	o dow	ntown	redevelo	pment.	•	•
							C Apper									
	ss and R															
	emont	Γ		ı		T		T			Т			1		
Yes	31	1	Yes	yes	4	21	0	0	1		0	2	5	0	0	
			=		trengther											
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	: Parape	ets and w	all ancho	ors only.									
Progres	ss and R	emarks:	In volun	teer stre	ngthenin	g phase	until Au	gust 1	1992.(Apj	olied	for Ha	zard M	litigation	n Grant P	rogram t	0

Progress and Remarks: In volunteer strengthening phase until August 1992.(Applied for Hazard Mitigation Grant Program to strengthen City Hall service portion containing the Emergency Operations Center. City has FEMA approval with Construction to be from 12/97 to 12/98) All of the private sector is in full compliance with Seiesmic Program. City all full compliance seismic strengthening completed 12/98

	Jurisd	iction					Sui	rvev R	esults (n	umbe	ers of UR	Ms)				
sted			В		8	am										
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	lon- Ms	Mitigation Program Established	666	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy		Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	Ē	fied	
ry Cc	rofE	Number of Non- Historic URMs	ion P hed	Replied to 1999 Survey	Comj	Compliance with Jurisdiction Prog	Partial Compliance/I Construction	Pern	ed/P:	д Ос	shed	or D	g Pla	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified	
ento	Number URMs	mber	tigati tablis	plied	(BC)	mpli	Partial Compli Constru	trofit	Plans Submitted Underway	duce	Demolished	ted f	Warning Posted	Miti	vners	
Inv	25	ZĤ	Mi	Re) j	Co	2 C 2	Re	Pla Sul Un	Re	De	Sla	N ₀ W ₀	No.	ó	
Clayt	ton															
Yes	0	1	Yes	yes		1										1
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Notif	fication o	only						•					
	cal Mitig															
									f UCBC A							
com	pnance,	is being	propose	a for add	option in	1995. C	ontra Cos	sta Cou	inty contra	icts for	Clayton,	Larayer	ite, Morag	a and O	rında.	
Clear	lake															
Yes	1	4	Yes	yes		4										4
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	datory st	rengther	ning, hist	oric buil	dings a	ire exempt							
Technic	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	: UCBC	Appendi	ix Chapt	er 1, mod	lified, S	SHBC							
	ss and Re or remod			evaluatio	on report	ts, postin	g, bracin	g of pa	rapets and	d vene	er, full str	engther	ning requi	red at tir	ne of	
Clove	erdale					T	T	ı		I						
Yes	0	23	No		2								23		23	3
	tion Prog															
	cal Mitig				•	. 100"										
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:	Ordinan	ice being	written	in 1995.										
Coacl	hella															
Yes	0	1	No			1					1					
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: None	9		1	1									
Technic	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	: None												
	ss and Ro royed in			ly inven	toried 14	URMs b	out metal	detect	ors found	13 reir	nforced. T	he rema	aining sing	gle URM	was	
Coali	inga	Γ				1	1	II.		T				ı		
Yes	0	66	No	yes	2						64					
	tion Prog	, ,	•													
	cal Mitig		tandards	:												
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:														
Colm	a					T	I	T		T						
yes	0	0	N/A	yes		0									(0
					ners, sei	smic haz	ard eval	uation	reports re	quired						
	cal Mitig				.1 :	0.3	11.11	1				•	Gt	• •	.1	
_	ss and Reineering		Reports	indicate	that non	e of the l	buildings	shave	been deter	mined	to be haz	ardous.	City is re	viewing	the	

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey R	esults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Colto	on														
Yes	0	20	Yes	yes	0	5	0	0	0	0		1	0	14	20
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Noti	ces to ow	ners					1	1		1	-1	- 1
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	s: None											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Com	merce	!													
Yes	0	9	Yes	yes		4			0		3			1	
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengther	ning									
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	s: 1985 E	dition Ch	apter 96	of the L	os Ange	eles Coun	ty Code					
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
_															
Com	pton														
Yes	0	1	Yes	yes	4	4	1	2	0	0	6	1	0	0	
	tion Prog														
	ical Miti	_	tandards	s:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Cond	cord														
Yes	2	12	Yes												
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengther	ning with	nin 5 yea	rs							
Techni	ical Mitiş	gation S	tandards	s: UCBC	Appendi	ix Chapt	er 1								
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Cont	ra Cos	sta Co	unty												
Yes	0	48	Yes	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Noti	fication o	only										
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	s: none											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	A draft	ordinanc	e, which	will incl	ude ado	ption o	f UCBC A	ppendix	Chapte	r 1 with	a three y	ear perio	od for
con	npliance,	is being	propose	d for add	option in	1995. Co	ontra Co	sta Cou	nty contra	acts for (Clayton,	Lafayett	e, Morag	ga and O	rinda.
Coro	na														
Yes	0	14	Yes			12	2			4	1		11		14
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengther	ning									
Techni	ical Mitiş	gation S	tandards	s: 1987 E	dition of	the SSC	Model C	rdinan	ce						
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Corte	e Mad	era													
Yes	0	3	Yes												
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Noti	ces to Ov	vners				-	•	<u>.</u>		•	•	
	ical Mitig														
	ss and R														

	Jurisd	iction					Su	rvey	Res	sults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued		Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Costa	a Mesa	a														
Yes	0	0	N/A													
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:													
	cal Mitig			:												-
Progres	ss and Ro	emarks:														
Cotat	ti															
Yes	0	0	N/A													
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:							-	-					
	cal Mitig		andards	:												
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:														
Covi	na		T.	T	1	T		T	ı			1		1		
Yes	0	75	Yes	yes	7	46	3						4		15	75
	tion Prog				vners an	d Volunt	ary Strer	ngthe	ning	g Progra	m					
	cal Mitig		andards	: None												
Progres	ss and Ro	emarks:														
Cuda			T			T	T	1				T				
Yes	0	0	N/A													<u> </u>
	tion Prog															
	cal Mitig		andards	:												
Progres	ss and Ro	emarks:														
Culv	er City	y														
Yes	0	65	Yes	yes	0	65	0				0		0		0	65
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty _l	pe: Mano	datory st	rengther	ning										
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	: 1982 Ec	dition of	Division	88 Los A	Angel	es C	City Code	е					
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:														
Cupe	ertino															
Yes	0	1	Yes	yes	1	0	0	0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	tion Prog															
	cal Mitig		andards	: 1990 Ec	dition SS	C Model	Ordinar	nce								
Progres	ss and Ro	emarks:														
Cypr	ess															
Yes	0	0	N/A													
	tion Prog															
	cal Mitig		andards	:												
Progres	ss and Ro	emarks:														

	Jurisd	iction					Su	rvey	Re	sults (n	umber	s of URI	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued		Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Daly Yes	City	3	Yes	yes	3	3	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	datory st	rengther	ning										
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	: 1987 Ec	dition of	the SSC	Model O	rdin	ance	;						
	ss and Renservation				tion stan	dard upo	dated to	the c	urre	nt editio	on of the	Uniform	Code fo	or Buildi	ng	
Dana	n Point	t														
Yes	0	0	N/A													
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:	I												
	cal Mitig			:												
	ss and Ro															
Dany																
Yes	4	1	Yes													5
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Notic	es to ow	ners											
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	: None r	eported											
	ss and Ro M, all reti				engtheni	ng progi	ram was	cons	ider	ed for ac	doption	in May 1	991.1 No	on-histor	ic 4 Hist	oric
Davi	S		T	T		T	T	T			Г		Г			
Yes	0	0	N/A													
	tion Prog															
	cal Mitig		andards	:												
Progres	ss and Ro	emarks:														
Del I	Rey Oa	aks														
Yes	0	0	N/A													
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:	•	•		•				*	•	*	•	•	•
	cal Mitig			:												
	ss and R															
Dela	no							1								
	0	38	Yes		1		1								37	38
Yes	U			l	1											
	tion Prog	gram Ty		es to ow	ners											
Mitiga			pe: Notic		ners			I					1			

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey R	esults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Dese	rt Hot	Spri	ngs												
Yes	0	1	Yes								1				
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Demo	olition		1		I.				1	1		
	ical Mitig														
	ss and R														
Dian	nond I	Bar													
Yes	0	0	N/A												
	tion Prog			1		1		1		1	1	1		1	1
	ical Mitig			:											
	ss and R														
Dixo	n														
Yes	0	14	Yes	yes	1	1	1			1				11	14
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Notic	es to ow	ners, sei	smic retr	ofits are	trigger	ed upon s	sale or al	terations				
Techni	ical Mitig	gation S	- tandards	: UCBC	Appendi	ix Chapt	er 1		-						
	ss and R														
Dow	ney							1		1	1	1	1		1
Yes	0	14	Yes	yes	0	12		0	0		2				
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	latory st	rengther	ning									
	i cal Mitig apets, dia					CBC App	oendix C	hapter 1	., 20% gra	avity for	walls, 15	lb. winc	l load, 50	0% gravi	ty for
						4 Pre-19	57 URM	building	gs except	one and	two fam	ily dwel	lings		
												-			
Duar	rte														
Yes	0	0	N/A												
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
Techni	ical Mitig	gation S	tandards	:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Dub	lin														
Yes	0	0	N/A												
	tion Prog			<u> </u>		1	1	1		1	1		1		1
	ical Mitig														
	ss and R			-											
	Palo A														
			NT / A												
Yes	0	0	N/A												
	tion Prog														
	ical Mitig		tandards	:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													

	Jurisd	iction					Su	rvey	Re	sults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued		Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
El Ce	entro															
Yes	0	55	Yes	yes	3	7	15		0	0	0	3	7	0	20	55
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano		arapet br	acing, ac	ditional	strei	ngth	ening at	the tim	e of remo	odel.			
	cal Mitig															
Esti	ss and Ro mated co ive/passi	st of cor	npliance	was app	roximate											es.
El Ce	errito															
Yes	0	32	Yes	yes	0	2	0	(0	0	0	1	0	0	29	32
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Volu		engtheni	ng	I	-		I .		1	1	1		
	cal Mitig		=				C Apper	ndix (Cha	pter 1						
	ss and Ro										97 stat	us.				
El Mo	onte															
Yes	0	25	Yes	yes	24	24									1	25
	tion Prog			,			do impr	ovom	ont	ordinan	00				1	23
	cal Mitig				inea una	er a raca	ide impr	oven	lem	ordinan	ce.					
	ss and Re		lanuarus	· INOILE												
	gundo															
Yes	0	14	Yes													
	tion Prog			latory st	rengthen	ing										
	cal Mitig		-				Ordinar	nce								
	ss and Re	•														
	yville															
Yes	0	101	Yes	Yes	26	24	8		2	3	2	12	3		19	101
	tion Prog	l	l .					1				1	1			1
	cal Mitig					0, 54		5 10		P 31		0	· , 2. 00			
	ss and Ro					nce the 1	995 surv	ey.								
Encir					8											
Yes	0	20	No												20	20
	tion Prog		1	1	1		1	1		1	<u>I</u>	1	1	1	1	1
	cal Mitig			:												
	ss and Re															
8 5.5																

Page		Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey R	esults (n	umbe	rs of UR	Ms)			
Yes 50 7 Yes yes 3 28	Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Floor to wall & roof to wall ties, parapet bracing. Section 502, 1991 UBC is being utilized to require retrofits on changes of occupancy Progress and Remarks: Voluntary with sunset date of 2015, incentives such as Mills Act & Fee Waivers Eureka Yes 0 27 Yes yes 8 1 1 1 10 7 27 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, structural analysis, hardship time extensions Technical Mitigation Standards: 1987 Edition of the UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 as modified Progress and Remarks: Buildings were removed from the inventory 12/20/90. Time extensions again increased in September 1999. Fairfax Yes 0 4 Yes yes 4 1 4 4 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 Progress and Remarks: All four of the URM's have been sleismically strengthened. Fairfield Yes 0 5 Yes yes 4 1 1 5 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary Technical Mitigation Standards: None included in the ordinance, although Division 88 is referenced in the report to the Commission. Progress and Remarks: 5 URM, Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants Ferndale Yes 0 0 N/A	Escor	ndido														
Escondido Yes 50 7 Yes yes 3 28 28 26 57 Mittigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Floor to wall & roof to wall ties, parapet bracing. Section 502, 1991 UBC is being utilized to require retrofts on changes of occupancy Progress and Remarks: Voluntary with sunset date of 2015, incentives such as Mills Act & Fee Waivers Eture Ka Yes 0 27 Yes yes 8 1 1 1 10 7 27 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, structural analysis, hardship time extensions Technical Mitigation Standards: 1987 Edition of the UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 as modified Progress and Remarks: Buildings were removed from the inventory 12/20/90. Time extensions again increased in September 1999. Fairfax Yes 0 4 Yes yes 4 1 1 4 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 Progress and Remarks: All four of the URM's have been sielsmically strengthened. Fairfield Yes 0 5 Yes yes 4 4 1 5 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, historical buildings are exempt. Technical Mitigation Standards: None included in the ordinance, although Division 88 is referenced in the report to the Commission. Progress and Remarks: 5 URM. Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants Ferndale Yes 0 0 N/A																
Progress and Remarks: Voluntary with sunset date of 2015, incentives such as Mills Act & Fee Waivers Progress and Remarks: Voluntary with sunset date of 2015, incentives such as Mills Act & Fee Waivers Progress Value Valu	Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
Yes 0 27 Yes yes 8 1 1 10 7 27	retro	ofits on c	hanges	of occupa	ancy									eing util	ized to r	equire
Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, structural analysis, hardship time extensions Technical Mitigation Standards: 1987 Edition of the UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 as modified Progress and Remarks: Buildings were removed from the inventory 12/20/90. Time extensions again increased in September 1999. Fairfax Yes 0 4 Yes yes 4 1 1 4 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 Progress and Remarks: All four of the URM's have been sieismically strengthened. Fairfield Yes 0 5 7 Yes yes 4 1 5 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, historical buildings are exempt. Technical Mitigation Standards: None included in the ordinance, although Division 88 is referenced in the report to the Commission. Progress and Remarks: 5 URM. Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants Ferndale Yes 0 0 N/A	Eurel	ka														
Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, structural analysis, hardship time extensions Fechnical Mitigation Standards: 1987 Edition of the UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 as modified Progress and Remarks: Buildings were removed from the inventory 12/20/90. Time extensions again increased in September 1999. Fairfax Yes 0 4 Yes yes 4 1 1 4 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary Fechnical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 Progress and Remarks: All four of the URM's have been sicismically strengthened. Fairfield Yes 0 5 Yes yes 4 1 5 5 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, historical buildings are exempt. Technical Mitigation Standards: None included in the ordinance, although Division 88 is referenced in the report to the Commission. Progress and Remarks: 5 URM, Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants Ferndale Yes 0 0 N/A 0 0 0 N/A 0 0 0 M/A 0	Yes	0	27	Yes	yes	8		1	1	10					7	27
Progress and Remarks: Buildings were removed from the inventory 12/20/90. Time extensions again increased in September 1999. Fairfax Yes 0 4 Yes yes 4 0 1 4 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 Progress and Remarks: All four of the URM's have been sieismically strengthened. Fairfield Yes 0 5 Yes yes 4 0 1 5 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, historical buildings are exempt. Technical Mitigation Standards: None included in the ordinance, although Division 88 is referenced in the report to the Commission. Progress and Remarks: 5 URM, Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants Fermdale Yes 0 0 N/A	Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	datory st	rengther	ning, stru	ictural ar	nalysis,	hardship	time ex	xtensions	•	•		*
Progress and Remarks: Buildings were removed from the inventory 12/20/90. Time extensions again increased in September 1999. Fairfax Yes 0 4 Yes yes 4 0 1 4 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 Progress and Remarks: All four of the URM's have been sieismically strengthened. Fairfield Yes 0 5 Yes yes 4 0 1 5 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, historical buildings are exempt. Technical Mitigation Standards: None included in the ordinance, although Division 88 is referenced in the report to the Commission. Progress and Remarks: 5 URM, Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants Fermdale Yes 0 0 N/A	Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	: 1987 E	dition of	the UCB	C Appen	ndix Ch	apter 1 as	modif	ied				
Yes 0 4 Yes yes 4 1 4 4 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 Progress and Remarks: All four of the URM's have been sieismically strengthened. Fairfield Yes 0 5 Yes yes 4 1 5 1 5 5 Mitigation Standards: None included in the ordinance, although Division 88 is referenced in the report to the Commission. Progress and Remarks: 5 URM, Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants Ferndale Yes 0 0 N/A	Progres	ss and R	emarks:	Building	s were r	emoved	from the	invento	ry 12/2	0/90. Tim	e exter	nsions aga	in increa	sed in Se	eptembei	r 1999.
Yes 0 4 Yes yes 4 1 4 4 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 Progress and Remarks: All four of the URM's have been sieismically strengthened. Fairfield Yes 0 5 Yes yes 4 1 5 1 5 5 Mitigation Standards: None included in the ordinance, although Division 88 is referenced in the report to the Commission. Progress and Remarks: 5 URM, Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants Ferndale Yes 0 0 N/A															-	
Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 Progress and Remarks: All four of the URM's have been sieismically strengthened. Fairfield Yes 0 5 Yes yes 4 1 5 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, historical buildings are exempt. Technical Mitigation Standards: None included in the ordinance, although Division 88 is referenced in the report to the Commission. Progress and Remarks: 5 URM, Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants Ferndale Yes 0 0 N/A	Fairfa	ax			•											
Escondido Ves 50 7 Yes yes 3 28 26 57 Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Floor to wall & roof to wall ties, parapet bracing, Section 502, 1991 UBC is being utilized to require retrofits on changes of occupancy Progress and Remarks: Voluntary with sunset date of 2015, incentives such as Mills Act & Fee Walvers Eture ka Ves 0 27 Yes yes 8 1 1 1 10 77 27 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, structural analysis, hardship time extensions Technical Mitigation Standards: 1987 Edition of the UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 as modified Progress and Remarks: Buildings were removed from the inventory 12/20/90. Time extensions again increased in September 1999. Fairfax Ves 0 4 Yes yes 4 1 1 4 4 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 Progress and Remarks: All four of the URM's have been sieismically strengthened. Fairfield Ves 0 5 Yes yes 4 1 5 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, historical buildings are exempt. Technical Mitigation Standards: None included in the ordinance, although Division 88 is referenced in the report to the Commission. Progress and Remarks: 5 URM, Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants Ferrndale Ves 0 0 N/A																
Progress and Remarks: All four of the URM's have been sieismically strengthened. Fairfield Yes 0 5 Yes yes 4 1 5 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, historical buildings are exempt. Technical Mitigation Standards: None included in the ordinance, although Division 88 is referenced in the report to the Commission. Progress and Remarks: 5 URM, Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants Ferndale Yes 0 0 N/A Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: All except one URM building demolished after the 1906 EQ damaged them beyond repair. Last URM demolished after the April 1992 earthquakes. Fillmore Yes 0 42 Yes yes 13 1 17 11 Mitigation Program Type: Partial: only URM buildings damaged in the 1/17/94 earthquake, some buildings remain vacant with future unknown Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC as applicable to damaged buildings only	Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Volu	ntary											
Fairfield Yes 0 5 Yes yes 4 1 5 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, historical buildings are exempt. Technical Mitigation Standards: None included in the ordinance, although Division 88 is referenced in the report to the Commission. Progress and Remarks: 5 URM, Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants Ferndale Yes 0 0 N/A Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: All except one URM building demolished after the 1906 EQ damaged them beyond repair. Last URM demolished after the April 1992 earthquakes. Fillmore Yes 0 42 Yes yes 13 1 17 11 Mitigation Program Type: Partial: only URM buildings damaged in the 1/17/94 earthquake, some buildings remain vacant with future unknown Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC as applicable to damaged buildings only	Technic	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	: UCBC	Appendi	x Chapt	er 1								
Yes 0 5 Yes yes 4 1 5 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, historical buildings are exempt. Technical Mitigation Standards: None included in the ordinance, although Division 88 is referenced in the report to the Commission. Progress and Remarks: 5 URM, Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants Ferndale Yes 0 0 N/A	Progres	ss and R	emarks:	All four	of the U	RM's hav	e been s	ieismical	lly strei	ngthened.						
Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, historical buildings are exempt. Technical Mitigation Standards: None included in the ordinance, although Division 88 is referenced in the report to the Commission. Progress and Remarks: 5 URM, Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants Ferndale Yes 0 0 N/A	Fairfi	ield														
Technical Mitigation Standards: None included in the ordinance, although Division 88 is referenced in the report to the Commission. Progress and Remarks: 5 URM, Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants Ferndale Yes	Yes	0	5	Yes	yes		4								1	5
Technical Mitigation Standards: None included in the ordinance, although Division 88 is referenced in the report to the Commission. Progress and Remarks: 5 URM, Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants Ferndale Yes	Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Volu	ntary str	engtheni	ng, histo	orical bui	ldings	are exemp	t.	•				-
Ferndale Yes 0 0 N/A			•	tandards	: None i	ncluded	in the or	dinance,	althou	gh Divisio	n 88 is	reference	d in the 1	report to	the	
Mitigation Program Type: Fechnical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: All except one URM building demolished after the 1906 EQ damaged them beyond repair. Last URM demolished after the April 1992 earthquakes. Fillmore Yes 0 42 Yes yes 13 1 17 11 Mitigation Program Type: Partial: only URM buildings damaged in the 1/17/94 earthquake, some buildings remain vacant with future unknown Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC as applicable to damaged buildings only	Progres	ss and R	emarks:	5 URM,	Pre-1935	with 100)+ Occup	pants Pre	-1976 v	vith 300+	Occupa	ants				
Mitigation Program Type: Fechnical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: All except one URM building demolished after the 1906 EQ damaged them beyond repair. Last URM demolished after the April 1992 earthquakes. Fillmore Yes 0 42 Yes yes 13 1 17 11 Mitigation Program Type: Partial: only URM buildings damaged in the 1/17/94 earthquake, some buildings remain vacant with future unknown Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC as applicable to damaged buildings only	<u> </u>							·			1					
Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: All except one URM building demolished after the 1906 EQ damaged them beyond repair. Last URM demolished after the April 1992 earthquakes. Fillmore Yes 0 42 Yes yes 13 1 1 17 11 Mitigation Program Type: Partial: only URM buildings damaged in the 1/17/94 earthquake, some buildings remain vacant with future unknown Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC as applicable to damaged buildings only	Ferno	dale														
Progress and Remarks: All except one URM building demolished after the 1906 EQ damaged them beyond repair. Last URM demolished after the April 1992 earthquakes. Fillmore Yes 0 42 Yes yes 13 1 17 11 Mitigation Program Type: Partial: only URM buildings damaged in the 1/17/94 earthquake, some buildings remain vacant with future unknown Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC as applicable to damaged buildings only	Yes	0	0	N/A												
Progress and Remarks: All except one URM building demolished after the 1906 EQ damaged them beyond repair. Last URM demolished after the April 1992 earthquakes. Fillmore Yes 0 42 Yes yes 13 1 17 11 Mitigation Program Type: Partial: only URM buildings damaged in the 1/17/94 earthquake, some buildings remain vacant with future unknown Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC as applicable to damaged buildings only	Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
demolished after the April 1992 earthquakes. Fillmore Yes 0 42 Yes yes 13 1 17 11 Mitigation Program Type: Partial: only URM buildings damaged in the 1/17/94 earthquake, some buildings remain vacant with future unknown Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC as applicable to damaged buildings only	Technic	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	:											
Yes 0 42 Yes yes 13 1 1 17 11 Mitigation Program Type: Partial: only URM buildings damaged in the 1/17/94 earthquake, some buildings remain vacant with future unknown Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC as applicable to damaged buildings only							ding den	nolished	after th	e 1906 EQ	dama	ged them	beyond 1	repair. La	ast URM	
Mitigation Program Type: Partial: only URM buildings damaged in the 1/17/94 earthquake, some buildings remain vacant with future unknown Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC as applicable to damaged buildings only	Fillm	ore														
echnical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 rogress and Remarks: All four of the URM's have been sieismically strengthened. airfield es 0 5 Yes yes 4 1 1 5 litigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, historical buildings are exempt. echnical Mitigation Standards: None included in the ordinance, although Division 88 is referenced in the report to the Commission. rogress and Remarks: 5 URM, Pre-1935 with 100+ Occupants Pre-1976 with 300+ Occupants erridale es 0 0 N/A																
	_	-		pe: Partia		URM bui	ldings d	amaged	in the 1	/17/94 ea	rthqua	ike, some	building	s remain	vacant v	vith
				tandards	: UCBC	as applic	able to c	lamaged	buildir	ngs onlv						
								8-4		6J						

Popular		Jurisd	iction					Su	rvey R	Results (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Fontana Yes 0 85 Yes yes 85 85 Mitigation Program Type: Notices to owners Technical Mitigation Standards: None Progress and Remarks: 45 Bearing Wall URM, 32 Nonbearing Wall URM Fort Bragg Yes 1 1 Yes Yes 1 1 1 1 2 Mitigation Program Type: Notice to owners Technical Mitigation Standards: State Historical Building Code Progress and Remarks: Contracts with Mendocino County for code enforcement. Fortuna Yes 0 1 Yes Yes 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	70															
Fontana Yes 0 85 Yes yes 85 85 Mitigation Program Type: Notices to owners Technical Mitigation Standards: None Progress and Remarks: 45 Bearing Wall URM, 32 Nonbearing Wall URM Fort Bragg Yes 1 1 Yes Yes 1 1 1 1 2 Mitigation Program Type: Notice to owners Technical Mitigation Standards: State Historical Building Code Progress and Remarks: Contracts with Mendocino County for code enforcement. Fortuna Yes 0 1 Yes Yes 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	, Completed	of Historic	of Non- JRMs	n Program ed	o 1999	ompliance	ice with on Program	nce/Under tion	ermit Issue	d/Pancheck y	Occupancy	peq	r Demolitio	Placards	ation	Votified
Fontama yes 0 85 Yes yes 85 Mitigation Program Type: Notices to owners Technical Mitigation Standards: None Progress and Remarks: 45 Bearing Wall URM. 32 Nonbearing Wall URM Fort Bragg Yes 1 1 Yes Yes 1 1 1 Yes Yes 1 1 1 1 2 Mitigation Program Type: Notice to owners Technical Mitigation Standards: State Historical Building Code Progress and Remarks: Contracts with Mendocino County for code enforcement. Fortuna Yes 0 1 Yes Yes 1 1 Yes Yes Yes Yes 1 Yes	Inventory	Number of URMs	Number of Historic	Mitigatic Establish	Replied t Survey	UCBCC	Complia Jurisdicti	Partial Complia Construc	Retrofit I	Plans Submitte Underwa	Reduced	Demolish	Slated fo	Warning Posted	No Mitig Progress	Owners I
Yes																
Mitigation Program Type: Notices to owners Technical Mitigation Standards: None Progress and Remarks: 45 Bearing Wall URM, 32 Nonbearing Wall URM Standards: None None	Fonta	ana		I	ı		T	ı	T		I				1	
Technical Mitigation Standards: None Progress and Remarks: 45 Bearing Wall URM, 32 Nonbearing Wall URM Technical Mitigation Program Type: Notice to owners Technical Mitigation Program Type: Notice to owners Technical Mitigation Standards: State Historical Building Code Progress and Remarks: Contracts with Mendocino County for code enforcement.	Yes	0	85	Yes	yes										85	85
Progress and Remarks: 45 Bearing Wall URM, 32 Nonbearing Wall URM Fort Bragg						ners										
Fort Bragg																
Yes	Progres	ss and Re	emarks:	45 Bearii	ng Wall	URM, 32	Nonbea	ring Wal	l URM	[
Yes	Fort 1	Bragg														
Progress and Remarks: Contracts: With Mendocino County for code enforcement. Progress and Remarks: Contracts: With Mendocino County for code enforcement. Progress and Remarks: Contracts: With Mendocino County for code enforcement. Progress Pro	Yes	1	1	Yes	Yes	1	1	1						1		2
Progress and Remarks: Contracts with Mendocino County for code enforcement. Progration Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, structural analysis, hardship time extensions. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthqu	Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Notic	ce to own	ners										
Fortuna	Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	: State H	istorical	Building	Code								
Yes	Progres	ss and Re	emarks:	Contract	ts with N	1endocin	o Count	y for cod	e enfo	rcement.						
Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening, structural analysis, hardship time extensions. Technical Mitigation Standards: 1987 Edition of the UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 as modified. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Foster City Yes	Fortu	ına														
Technical Mitigation Standards: 1987 Edition of the UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 as modified. Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Foster City Yes	Yes	0	1	Yes	yes							1				
Progress and Remarks: Building damaged in April 25, 1992, earthquake and subsequently demolished. Progress	Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	datory st	rengther	ning, stru	ictural ar	nalysis,	, hardship	time ex	tensions.				
Foster City Yes 0 0 N/A	Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	: 1987 E	dition of	the UCB	C Apper	ıdix Cl	napter 1 as	modifi	ed.				
Yes 0 0 N/A	Progres	ss and Re	emarks:	Building	damage	ed in Apı	ril 25, 199	92, earth	quake a	and subsec	quently	demolisł	ned.			
Yes 0 0 N/A	Foste	r City														
Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Fountain Valley Yes 0 0 N/A				N/A												
Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks:		tion Prog		l .	1							_				
Progress and Remarks:					:											
Fountain Valley Yes 0 0 N/A					<u>-</u>											
Yes 0 0 N/A Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Fremont Yes 10 22 Yes yes 7 9 10 2 4 32 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1991 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 and subsequent additions. Progress and Remarks: 1990 program notified owners. Fremont adopted a voluntary retrofit ordinance #2363 for soft story apartments in November 1999. Fremont created a loan program to assist owners with retrofits. Fresno County Yes 0 0 N/A																
Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Fremont Yes 10 22 Yes yes 7 9 10 2 4 32 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1991 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 and subsequent additions. Progress and Remarks: 1990 program notified owners. Fremont adopted a voluntary retrofit ordinance #2363 for soft story apartments in November 1999. Fremont created a loan program to assist owners with retrofits. Fresno County Yes 0 0 N/A				N/A												
Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Fremont Yes 10 22 Yes yes 7 9 10 2 2 4 32 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1991 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 and subsequent additions. Progress and Remarks: 1990 program notified owners. Fremont adopted a voluntary retrofit ordinance #2363 for soft story apartments in November 1999. Fremont created a loan program to assist owners with retrofits. Fresno County Yes 0 0 N/A		_														
Progress and Remarks: Fremont Yes 10 22 Yes yes 7 9 10 2 2 4 32 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1991 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 and subsequent additions. Progress and Remarks: 1990 program notified owners. Fremont adopted a voluntary retrofit ordinance #2363 for soft story apartments in November 1999. Fremont created a loan program to assist owners with retrofits. Fresno County Yes 0 0 N/A 0 0 N/A 0 0 0 0 0 0 N/A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 N/A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 N/A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					<u>.</u>											
Yes 10 22 Yes yes 7 9 10 2 4 32 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1991 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 and subsequent additions. Progress and Remarks: 1990 program notified owners. Fremont adopted a voluntary retrofit ordinance #2363 for soft story apartments in November 1999. Fremont created a loan program to assist owners with retrofits. Fresno County Yes 0 0 N/A Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:					•											
Yes 10 22 Yes yes 7 9 10 2 4 32 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1991 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 and subsequent additions. Progress and Remarks: 1990 program notified owners. Fremont adopted a voluntary retrofit ordinance #2363 for soft story apartments in November 1999. Fremont created a loan program to assist owners with retrofits. Fresno County Yes 0 0 N/A Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:	Frem	ont														
Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1991 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 and subsequent additions. Progress and Remarks: 1990 program notified owners. Fremont adopted a voluntary retrofit ordinance #2363 for soft story apartments in November 1999. Fremont created a loan program to assist owners with retrofits. Fresno County Yes 0 0 N/A Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:		1	22	Yes	yes	7		9	10			2			4	32
Technical Mitigation Standards: 1991 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 and subsequent additions. Progress and Remarks: 1990 program notified owners. Fremont adopted a voluntary retrofit ordinance #2363 for soft story apartments in November 1999. Fremont created a loan program to assist owners with retrofits. Fresno County Yes 0 0 N/A				I .	1 0		ning	1			<u>I</u>		1	1		1
Progress and Remarks: 1990 program notified owners. Fremont adopted a voluntary retrofit ordinance #2363 for soft story apartments in November 1999. Fremont created a loan program to assist owners with retrofits. Fresno County Yes 0 0 N/A								hapter 1	and su	ubsequent	additio	ns.				
Fresno County Yes 0 0 N/A	Progres	ss and Ro	emarks:	1990 pro	gram no	tified ov	vners. Fr	emont a	dopted	l a volunta	ry retro	ofit ordina	ance #2	363 for so	ft story	
Yes 0 0 N/A Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:				inei 199	J. PIEIII	om creat	eu a 10al	i brograf	ii iu as	orot OMHGE	o willi I	en onts.				
Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards:					1								1		1	1
Technical Mitigation Standards:		_														
			-													
Progress and Remarks:				tandards	:											
	Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													

	Juriso	liction					Sui	vey Re	sults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Fulle	erton														
Yes	43	82	Yes	yes		124	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	125 (all)
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Tv	ne: Man	datory s	trengthe	ning									(dii)
Progre crea	erenced f ess and R ated with	or tiltup emarks: redevel e and wi	construc A separ opment	ction. ate ordir funds - ı	nance recup to \$10	quires ret 00,000 loa	rofit of p	re-1973 t n sale w	e for URN tiltup bui ith no into	ldings erest. Tl	A grant a	and defe	rred loar ys that t	n prograr hey are 9	9
	len Gi														
Yes		12	Yes	VAS										11	11
	tion Pro		1	yes datory s	trengthe	ning								11	11
							er 1. State	Model	Ordinan	ce					
	ss and R				- 1 1										
Gard	lena														
Yes	0	19	Yes		8	8			1						10
	tion Pro		_												
						nd/or 19									
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	19 URM	I, 1 acces	sory gar	age to 2-1	resident u	ınits not	under m	andato	ry mitiga	tion pro	gram, de	eleted fro	m list.
Gilro	ОУ														
Yes	5	27	Yes	yes	32	32	2								32
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Volu	ıntary stı	rengther	ning								"	
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	s: 1985 E	dition o	f the UCE	C Appen	dix Cha	pter 1, fla	at base s	hear of	10% g, A	BK Met	hod.	
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Glen	dale														
Yes	1	702	Yes	yes	0	491	0	2	0	0	206	0	0	4	703
	tion Pro	1	1		trengthe		1		1	I	1	I	1	1	1
	ical Miti		•												
	ss and R														
	dora														
Glen	1	5	Yes	MOC		3								2	
Glen Yes	0	J	165	yes										~	
Yes	0 ation Pro		1		 trengthe									2	
Yes Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory s			ity of Los	: Angele	es code					2	

	Jurisd	iction					Su	rvey Ro	esults (n	umbers	of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Gonz	zales							'	1			1			
Yes	0	3	Yes	yes								3			3
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Volui	ntary str	engtheni	ng							1		
							UCBC A	ppendi	Chapter	1, 1985	UCBC				
Progres	ss and Ro	emarks:													
Gran	d Teri	race													
Yes	0	0	N/A												
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:									•			
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	:											
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													
Gree	nfield														
Yes	0	14	Yes	yes	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	14	14
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Volui	ntary str	engtheni	ng									
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	: 1988 (si	ic) Editio	n of the	UCBC A	ppendi	c Chapter	1, 1985	UCBC				
Progres	ss and Ro	emarks:													
Grov	er Bea	ch													
Yes	0	4	Yes	yes	0	0					0		1	3	4
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	datory st	rengthen	ning									
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	: 1991 Ec	dition of	the SSC	Model C	rdinanc	e.						
Progres	ss and Ro	emarks:	Building	for buil	ding rep	lacemen	t allowed	d withou	ıt having	to meet	parking	standard	ls.		
Guad	lalupe)													
Yes	0	40	Yes		1	1	2		5			1		19	27
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	datory st	rengthen	ning									
-	cal Mitig														
Progres	ss and Ro	emarks:	An earlie	er 1989 p	rogram i	notified	owners.								
	Moon	Bay	T		T	T	T	1	1	T	T				
Yes	0	2	Yes	yes	1	1									1
	tion Prog		_												
									apter 1, 19		С				
Progres	ss and R	emarks:	Owners	were no	tified by	6/90. Al	l work c	omplete	Novemb	er 1993.					
Hawa	aiian (Garde	ns												
Yes	0	0	N/A												
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
	cal Mitig		tandards	:											
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													

	Touris a d	l: at: a		T	Survey Results (numbers of URMs)											
	Juriso	liction					Sul		esuits (n	umber	SOLUK	IVIS)				
Inventory Completed Number of Historic URMs Number of Non- Historic URMs Mitigation Program Established				Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified	
Haw	thorn	e														
Yes	0	4	Yes													
Mitiga	tion Pro _§	gram Ty	pe: Noti	ces to ow	ners, sei	smic ret	ofits trig	gered o	nly upon	change	of use o	r alteratio	ons.			
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	s: 1990 SS	SC Mode	l Ordina	nce									
Progre	ss and R	emarks:														
Науч	ward															
Yes	0	42	Yes	yes	0	34	6	1	0	0	0	1	100%	0	42	
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengther	ning										
Techni	ical Mitiş	gation S	tandards	s: Similaı	to Divis	ion 88 C	ity of Los	Angel	es Code, 1	973 UB	C for Til	tup Retro	ofits			
									d up- Vaca		not retro	ofitted, 6	mostly d	one and	being	
acti	vely retro	ofitted, 3	4 retrofi	tted, 1 til	t up buil	ding wa	s on the i	nvento	ried in err	ror = 42						
Heal	dsbur	g														
Yes	0	26	Yes		10		1			1			26		26	
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: City	Ordinan	ce #881:	Advisor	y only wi	th com	pliance vo	oluntary	until 19	96.				
Techni	ical Mitiş	gation S	tandards	s: UCBC	1991 edi	tion and	subseque	ent edit	ions							
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	Mandat	ory meas	sures wil	l be enfo	rced after	r 12:01	a.m. on 9/	16/96						
Hem	et															
Yes	3	9	Yes	yes	0	0	0	0	10	0	2	Unkn own	0	2	12	
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory- (City paid	for engi	neering a	nd pla	nd for 10 l	ouilding	S.					
Techni	ical Mitiş	gation S	tandards	s: UCBC	Appinde	ex Chapt	er 1									
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	Adoptio	on of a M	andatory	y progra	m conside	ered 3/	92.							
Herc	ules															
Yes	0	3	Yes	yes								2		1	3	
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Noti	ces to ow	ners											
Techni	ical Mitiş	gation S	tandards	s: None			<u> </u>					<u> </u>				
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	2 bldgs	are slate	d for den	nolition l	pefore the	e end of	May 199	5.						
Hern	nosa B	each					,									
- -	0	66	Yes	yes	60	60	3		0	0	1			2	50	
Yes	0	00	1 05	Jes												
Yes	tion Pro		1		rengther	ning										
Yes Mitiga		gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st			er 1									

	Jurisd	iction					Su	rvey R	esults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Hesp	eria														
Yes	1	1	Yes	yes											2
Mitigat	ion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Discu	ussions v	vith own	ers									
				: State H											
Progres	s and R	emarks:	City pla	ns to dev	elop a H	Iistorical	Structur	e/Site C	Ordinance	<u>).</u>					
Hidd	en Hi	lle													
	0	0	N/A												
Yes	ion Prog	_		I					1						
	cal Mitig			•											
l	s and R		lanuarus	•											
Tiogres	s and ic	ciliai KS.													
High	land														
Yes	0	12	Yes	yes		3	3	1	2			5			12
Mitigat	ion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Volu	ntary str	engthen	ing					•	•			
	c al Mitig A Buildii			: 1987 Ec	dition of	the UCB	C Apper	ndix Cha	pter 1 fo	r URM I	Bldgs, 19	73 Editio	n of the	UCBC fo	or Non-
Progres	s and R	emarks:	The orig	inal cour	nt of 35 ı	ınits was	lowered	l to 12 L	RMs all p	ore-1935	dwellin	gs			
Hills	borou	gh	1	1	1	1	ı	ī	I	ī	1	1			
Yes	0	0	N/A												
	ion Prog														
	cal Mitig		tandards	:											
Progres	s and R	emarks:													
Holli	ster														
Yes	0	9	Yes	yes	2	2								7	
Mitigat	ion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Notic	ces to Ov	vners	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Technic	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	: SSC Mo	odel Ord	inance									
Progres	s and R	emarks:													
Holtv	ille											_			
Yes	0	4	No	yes	0	0	0	0	0		3	1	0	0	
	ion Prog	gram Ty		1 -	1	1	1	1	1	1	-		1	1	1
_	cal Mitig			:											
	s and R														

1									1. (-	0715	\			
<u> </u>	Juriso	liction			Survey Results (numbers of URMs)										
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Hum	boldt	Coun	ıty												
Yes	0	7	Yes											6	1
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengthe	ning									
Techni	ical Mitig	gation S	tandard	s:											
			Earthqu	ake dam	aged UR	M build	ings shal	l be re _l	paired and	l retrof	tted to co	mply w	ith UCBC	C. Some p	rogress
on o	one URM	l.													
Hunt	tingto	n Rea	ch												
Yes		50	Yes	VOS		13	1		1		35	2		0	50
	tion Pro	l .		yes datamı st	trongthou	l .	1		1		33	۵			30
		•	-				and allow	roble et	resses for	ovietin	a mataria	l _o			
											g materia	IS			
Progre	ss and K	emarks:	Majority	or struc	tures att	amed co	прпапсе	uirou	gh demoli	uon.					
Hun	tingto	n Parl	~												
Yes	0	132	Yes	yes	130	130	0			2	0	2	0	2	132
	tion Pro	l .				l .	Ū			~		~	Ū	~	102
							1 88 Los A	ngele	s City Cod	e and	the Los A	ngeles'	Rules for	General	
	plication							6		,					
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	As of M	arch 199	5, 5 URN	Is have r	not fully o	compli	ed.						
Impe	erial					•		1							
Impe Yes	erial 0	2	No	yes											
Yes			1	yes											
Yes Mitiga	0	gram Ty	pe:	10											
Yes Mitiga Techni	0 ation Pro	gram Ty gation S	pe:	10											
Yes Mitiga Techni Progre	0 ical Miti ss and R	gram Ty gation S emarks:	pe: tandards	10											
Yes Mitiga Techni Progres	0 Ition Propical Mitigss and R	gram Ty gation S emarks:	pe: tandards	10											
Yes Mitiga Techni Progres Impe	0 ntion Propical Mitigss and Recrial C	gram Ty gation S emarks: ounty	pe: tandards	10										2	
Yes Mitiga Techni Progres Impe Yes Mitiga	0 Ition Projected Mittings and Received Control Contro	gram Ty gation S emarks: ounty 0 gram Ty	pe: tandards / N/A pe:	s:										2	
Yes Mitiga Techni Progres Impe Yes Mitiga Techni	0 Ition Profical Mitigss and Rerial Continue of the opening of the	gram Ty gation S emarks: ounty 0 gram Ty gation S	pe: tandards / N/A pe:	s:										2	
Yes Mitiga Techni Progres Impe Yes Mitiga Techni	0 Ition Projected Mittings and Received Control Contro	gram Ty gation S emarks: ounty 0 gram Ty gation S	pe: tandards / N/A pe:	s:										2	
Yes Mitiga Techni Progres Yes Mitiga Techni Progres	0 Ition Profical Mitigss and Rerial Continue of the opening of the	gram Ty gation S emarks: Ounty 0 gram Ty gation S emarks:	pe: tandards / N/A pe:	s:										2	
Yes Mitiga Techni Progres Yes Mitiga Techni Progres	0 Ition Propical Mitigs and R Prial C O Ition Propical Mitigs s and R	gram Ty gation S emarks: Ounty 0 gram Ty gation S emarks:	pe: tandards / N/A pe:	s:										2	
Yes Mitiga Techni Progres Yes Mitiga Techni Progres India Yes	ottion Propical Mittigss and Rerial Contion Propical Mittigss and Rean We	gram Ty gation S emarks: Ounty 0 gram Ty gation S emarks: 11s	pe: tandards / N/A pe: tandards	s:										2	
Yes Mitiga Techni Progres Yes Mitiga Techni Progres India Yes Mitiga	otion Propical Mitigs and Rerial Cotion Propical Mitigs and Read Read Read Read Read Read Read Rea	gram Ty gation S emarks: Ounty 0 gram Ty gation S emarks: Ils 0 gram Ty	pe: tandards / N/A pe: tandards	s: 										2	

	Jurisd	iction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of URI	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Indio)														
Yes	0	48	Yes												48
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Notic	es to ow	ners										
			tandards	: None											
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													
Indus	stry														
Yes	1	0	Yes	yes								1			1
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Other	ŗ											
Technic	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	:											
Progres	ss and R	emarks:	Building	stated for	or demol	ition is p	osted ur	nsafe, un	occupied	d, and bo	arded u	p.			
Ingle	wood														
Yes	0	56	Yes	yes		50	2	0			4				
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	latory st	rengthen	ing									
Technic	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	: Similar	to Divisi	ion 88 Ci	ity of Los	s Angele	s Code						
			City rein t money.				e cost of	engineer	ing studi	ies, 100%	of plan	check fe	es, perm	its, and	taxes,
Inyo	Coun	ty													
No	0	20	No		1	5 *									6 in 1990
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												1
Technic	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	: Uniforr	n Buildir	ng Code	(Deep S _l	orings C	ollege)						
									reinforci			asonry ı	ınit with	adobe f	ill.
Thre	ee owner	s in Big	pine wer	e not res	ponsive	and wer	e multiu	nit resid	ential. 5*	=reinfor	ced				
Irvin	Δ														
Yes	0	0	N/A												
	tion Prog		l												
			tandards												
	ss and Re			-											
Irwin															
Yes	0	2	Yes	yes	2	0			0						0
			pe: Mano	-					U			1			U
							of the I	os Angel	es Count	ty Code					
	ss and Re		uuIUS	. 1000 LC		apter 00	51 the 11		Journ	., Joue					
8-05															

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rve	y Re	sults (n	umbe	rs of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued		Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Kern	Coun	ıty														
Yes		143	Yes	yes	1	143*	0		0	0	4	17	9	0	129	143
Mitigat	ion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Notic	ces to ov	vners		1			1		1			"	
Technic	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	: None												
					itigation es to retr			ifica	ation	only. Al	lll have	been not	ified. Co	ounty sta	ıff is avai	lable to
King		T		1	T	T	T	ı		T						
Yes	0	6	Yes	yes		6									6	6
		•	•		engtheni			_								
				: 1991 E	dition of	the UCB	C Appen	ıdix	Cha	pter 1						
Progres	s and R	emarks:														
King	s Cou	nty														
Yes	0	0	N/A													
Mitigat	ion Prog	gram Ty	pe:				1			1		I .				
Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	:												
Progres	s and R	emarks:														
La Ca	ınada	Flint	ridge													
Yes	0	0	N/A													
Mitigat	ion Prog	gram Ty	pe:	•	'								-	-1	"	
Technic	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	:												
Progres	s and R	emarks:														
La Ha	ahra															
Yes	0	15	Yes	yes		4						8			3	
	_				trengther											1
		•			dition SS		Ordinar	nce								
	s and R	,		. 100. 2	<u> </u>	0 1/10 (101	or arrai	100								
		leigh														
Yes	0	15	No			6						9				
		gram Ty		<u> </u>		υ						Э				1
		•	pe: tandards	•												
	ss and R			'•												
La M	irada															
Yes	0	0	N/A													
	_			l oted with	h Los An	geles Co	untv			1					1	1
		•	tandards			00100 00										
		emarks:		-												

	Juriso	liction					Su	rvey R	esults (n	umbe	rs of UR	RMs)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
La Pa	alma														
Yes	0	0	N/A												
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe:			1					l			- I	
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	- tandards	s:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	Working	g with p	roperty o	wners o	n a volun	tary co	mpliance	progra	m for pro	e-1973 til	tup conc	rete buile	dings,
but	do not h	ave any	URM bu	ildings.											
T - D.															
	uente			1											
Yes	0	21	Yes	<u> </u>											
		<u> </u>			trengther	ning									
	ical Miti			s:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
La Q	uinta														
Yes	7	0	Yes	yes		5						1		1	7
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory s	trengther	ning									
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	s: 1987 E	dition SS	C Model	Ordinar	ice							
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
La V	erne														
Yes	11	0	Yes	yes	9	9					2			2	11
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	1 7	trengther	ning, Vol	untary P	osting				1			
									les Coun	ty Code	9				
	ess and R	emarks: 2 URM b	City bud	dgeted \$ this nex	100,000 to	o fund fa	cade / U	RM pro	ogram for ompleted	seismi	c retrofit				
con	ding leav														
con			T					Ī		ı					
con		4	Yes	Yes											4
com fund Lafay Yes	yette	1	1	1	only										4
Com funda Lafay Yes Mitiga	yette 0	gram Ty	pe: Noti	fication (only										4
Lafay Yes Mitiga Techni Progre	yette 0 ation Pro ical Miti	gram Ty gation S emarks:	rpe: Notification transfer of the contraction of th	fication of	ce, which		-		UCBC A		-			ear perio	
Com fundamental fu	yette 0 ation Pro ical Miti	gram Ty gation S emarks: is being	rpe: Notification transfer of the contraction of th	fication of	ce, which		-				-			ear perio	
Com fundamental fu	yette 0 ntion Pro ical Miti ess and R npliance,	gram Ty gation S emarks: is being	rpe: Notification transfer of the contraction of th	fication of	ce, which		-				-			ear perio	
Corn fundamental f	yette 0 ntion Pro ical Miti ess and R npliance, una Be 0	gram Ty gation S emarks: is being ach	rpe: Notification of the transfer of the trans	fication of section of	ce, which	County 29	-				-			-	
Lafay Yes Mitiga Techni Progre corr Lagu Yes Mitiga	yette 0 ntion Pro ical Miti ess and R npliance, una Be 0 ntion Pro	gram Ty gation S emarks: is being ach 29 gram Ty	rpe: Notification of the consider of the consideration of the considerati	fication of section of	ce, which tra Costa	29	-	s for Cla	ayton, Laf		-			-	

		10 .0									O T I D				
	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey F	Results (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Lake	Coun	ıty											,		
Yes		11	Yes		9	10	1			1			1		2
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	datory st	rengther	ing, hist	oric buil	dings a	ire exempt	t.					
eval	luation re	eports, p	osting, b	racing o	f parapet	s and ve	eneer, ful	l streng	l, latest ed gthening r	equired	at time o	of major	remodel	or repair	s.
Progres	ss and R	emarks:	Of the el	even inv	entoried	, 1 URM	was exe	mpted	since it is	historic	6 URMs	s were fo	ound to b	e reinfor	ced.
Lake	Elsin	ore													
Yes	33	54	Yes	yes		81					6				
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Notic		ners										
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	- tandards	: UCBC	Appendi	x Chapt	er 1 as m	odified	ł						
Progres	ss and R	emarks:													
Lake	port														
Yes	0	33	Yes		1	27	2	1					33	2	33
			pe: Seism pairs, hist					icing o	f parapets	and ver	neer, full	strength	nening red	quired at	time of
Techni	cal Mitią	gation St	tandards	: UCBBC	C Append	dix Chap	oter 1 as r	nodifi	ed, 1985 U	ВС					
Progres	ss and R	emarks:													
	wood	T	T	Г	T	T	T			T		T			
Yes	0	0	N/A												
	tion Prog	, ,													
			tandards	:											
Progres	ss and R	emarks:													
Lanc	aster														
Yes	0	7	Yes	yes							7			0	7
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	latory st	rengther	ing	1	1			1	1	1	1	1
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	: 1985 Ed	dition Ch	apter 96	of the Lo	os Ang	eles Coun	ty Code					
Progres	ss and R	emarks:													
Larks	spur														
Yes	0	12	Yes		4										10
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	datory st	rengther	ing									
Techni	cal Mitiş	gation St	tandards	: UCBC	Appendi	x Chapt	er 1								
Progres	ss and R	emarks:													

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umber	s of UR	(Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Lawı	ndale														
Yes	0	3	Yes	yes	3	3						1		1	4
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengther	ning									
Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	: 1985 E	dition Cl	apter 96	of the L	os Ange	les Coun	ty Code					
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Live	rmore														
Yes	0	58	Yes	yes	51	51	0	0	0	0	1	3		3	58
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengther	ning									
Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	: Modifi	ed 1990 S	SSC Mod	lel Ordin	ance							
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	9 bldgs l	have con	npleted a	n engine	ering an	alysis.							
Loma	a Lind	a													
Yes	0	0	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
	i cal Miti M Buildii			:: 1987 E	dition of	the UCB	C Apper	ndix Cha	pter 1 fo	r URM I	Bldgs, 19	973 Editio	on of the	UBC for	Non-
	ss and Re								50 Histo	oric URN	И. The 19	997 Surve	ey respoi	nse said t	hat
City	of Lor	nita													
Yes	0	17	Yes	yes		13					1	3			
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengther	ning									
Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	: 1985 E	dition Cl	apter 96	of the L	os Ange	les Coun	ty Code					
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Lom	рос			_											
Yes	0	21	Yes	yes	14	1			2		1	1		2	21
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datoty St	renghter	ning									
	cal Mitig		tandards	: UCBC	App. Ch	. 1									
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Long	Beac l	h													
Yes	49	887	Yes	yes		555	7	0	2	0	369	3	0	0	936
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengther	ning	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Techni	cal Mitig	gation S	tandards	: 1970 E	dition of	the UBC	, propos	ed ordin	ance cha	nges are	based o	on the lat	est prop	osed ICB	O code

Technical Mitigation Standards: 1970 Edition of the UBC, proposed ordinance changes are based on the latest proposed ICBO code change for URM bldgs, and a base shear not to exceed 13 percent but varies with period, building type and occupant load.

Progress and Remarks: In 1959, the building official was given the authority to abate parapet and appendage falling hazards; in 1971 a mandatory strengthening ordinance was passed, which was amended in 1976 and updated again in 1990. City created a special assessment district to issue bonds for seismic retrofit financing based on the 1911 Bond Act.936 URM bearing and nonbearing wall bldgs all pre-1934

	Juliso	diction					Su	rvey R	esults (n	umbe	rs of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Los A	Alami	tos													
Yes	0	0	N/A												
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe:	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•			•
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	:											
Progres	ss and R	emarks:													
T 1	\ 1 4~~														
Los A			DT / A	1									0	0	0
Yes	0	0	N/A			0							0	0	0
			•		ners, req	luest for	voluntar	y upgr	ades						
Progres revi that said	iew of pl they do I that th	emarks: ans, and not have e recent	Consider inspection e a URM inspection	ration is ons, we l or their ns have	nave four building revealed	nd only o is not a no URM	one build URM. Th	ling tha le placa city du	mandator t may be a ard posted e to verifi	a URM on one	The other	ers have as disap	provided peared. T	d adequa he 1997 s	te proo survey
revi that said miti	ss and R iew of pl t they do I that th igation c	demarks: lans, and lanot have e recent i lode 3, a i	Consider inspection e a URM inspection notification	ration is ons, we l or their ns have	nave four building revealed	nd only o is not a no URM	one build URM. Th I's in the	ling tha le placa city du	t may be a rd posted	a URM on one	The other	ers have as disap	provided peared. T	d adequa he 1997 s	te proo
Progress revitates said miti	ss and R iew of pl t they do I that th igation c	demarks: lans, and lans, and lans not have e recent ode 3, a	Consider inspection a URM inspection notification N/A	ration is ons, we l or their ns have	nave four building revealed	nd only o is not a no URM	one build URM. Th I's in the	ling tha le placa city du	t may be a rd posted	a URM on one	The other	ers have as disap	provided peared. T	d adequa he 1997 s	te proo survey
revi that said miti Los A Yes	ss and R iew of pl t they do I that th igation c Altos 0 tion Pro	demarks: ans, and not have e recent ode 3, a Hills 0 gram Ty	Consider inspection a URM inspection notification N/A	ration is ons, we h or their ns have on only,	nave four building revealed	nd only o is not a no URM	one build URM. Th I's in the	ling tha le placa city du	t may be a rd posted	a URM on one	The other	ers have as disap	provided peared. T	d adequa he 1997 s	te proo survey
revi that said miti Los A Yes Mitiga Techni	is and R iew of pl they do that th igation c Altos 0 tion Pro ical Miti	demarks: ans, and not have e recent ode 3, a Hills 0 gram Ty	Consider inspection a URM inspection notification N/A pe: tandards	ration is ons, we h or their ns have on only,	nave four building revealed	nd only o is not a no URM	one build URM. Th I's in the	ling tha le placa city du	t may be a rd posted	a URM on one	The other	ers have as disap	provided peared. T	d adequa he 1997 s	te proo survey
revi that said miti Los A Yes Mitiga Techni Progres	iew of plant they do let that the igation of let	demarks: ans, and not have e recent ode 3, a Hills gram Ty gation S emarks:	Consider inspection a URM inspection notification N/A pe: tandards	ration is ons, we h or their ns have on only,	nave four building revealed	nd only o is not a no URM	one build URM. Th I's in the	ling tha le placa city du	t may be a rd posted	a URM on one	The other	ers have as disap	provided peared. T	d adequa he 1997 s	te proo
revi that said miti Los A Yes Mitiga Techni Progres	iew of plant they do all that the igation of the second se	emarks: ans, and not have e recent ode 3, a Hills 0 gram Ty gation S emarks:	Consider inspection and inspection notification N/A pe: tandards	ration is ons, we h or their ns have on only,	nave four building revealed before th	nd only o is not a no URM ne recent	one build URM. Th I's in the	ling tha le placa city du on.	t may be a	a URM on one ed stee	The other URM had reinforce	ers have as disapp ements	provided peared. The manning the ware t	l adequa he 1997 s lls. They	te proof
revi that said miti Los A Yes Mitigar Techni Progres Los A Yes*	iew of plant they do let they do let that the igation of the let the igation of the let the let the igation of the let	emarks: ans, and not have e recent ode 3, a Hills 0 gram Ty gation S emarks:	Consider inspection a URM inspection notification N/A pe: tandards	ration is ons, we h or their ns have on only,	nave four building revealed before the	nd only of is not a no URM ne recent	one build URM. Th I's in the correction	ling tha le placa city du on.	t may be a rd posted e to verifi	a URM on one ed stee	The other URM had reinforce	ers have as disapp ements	provided peared. The manner of the ware of	d adequa he 1997 s lls. They	survey had a
revi that said miti Los A Yes Mitigat Techni Progres Los A Yes*	they do they do that the igation color Profession Research	emarks: ans, and not have e recent ode 3, a Hills gram Ty gation S emarks: 7987 966	Consider inspection and the consider inspection of the constitution of the constitutio	ration is ons, we have no on only, Yes Yes	have four building revealed before the forest force the forest force the for	nd only of is not a no URM ne recent 6035 30	one build URM. Th I's in the correction	ling than the placacity duon.	t may be a rd posted e to verifi	0	The other URM had reinforce	ers have as disapp ements	provided peared. The manning the ware t	l adequa he 1997 s lls. They	te proof
revithat said miti Los A Yes Mitigat Techni Progres Los A Yes* Yes* Mitigat	iew of plant they do at the thing attion control of the thing attion control of the thing attion at the thing attion at the thing attion at the thing at the thin	Hills Ogram Ty gation S emarks: 7987 966 gram Ty	Consider inspection of the consider inspection of the construction	ration is ons, we have no on only, Yes Yes Adatory for	have four building revealed before the 6035 30 or bearing	6035 30 g wall, v	one build URM. Th I's in the correction	ling than the placacity duon.	t may be a rd posted e to verifi	0	The other URM had reinforce	ers have as disapp ements	provided peared. The manner of the ware of	d adequa he 1997 s lls. They	te proo survey had a
revi that said miti Los A Yes Mitigar Progres Los A Yes* Yes* Mitigar Techni	tion Pro a less and R a less of pl a they do a less of pl a less of	emarks: ans, and not have e recent ode 3, a Hills O gram Ty gation S emarks: 7987 966 gram Ty gation S	Consider inspection and inspection notification N/A pe: tandards Yes Yes Yes And Tandards	ration is ons, we have no on only, Yes Yes Yes datory for Div. 88	ave four building revealed before the 6035 30 or bearing and Div	6035 30 g wall, v	one build URM. The distribution of the correction of the distribution of the distribut	ting that the place of the plac	t may be a rid posted e to verifi	0 0 wall	The other URM had reinforce	ers have as disapp ements	provided peared. The manner of the ware of	d adequa he 1997 s lls. They	te proo survey had a
revi that said miti Los A Yes Mitiga Techni Progres Yes* Mitiga Techni Progres	tiew of plant they do all that the igation of the igation of the igation of the igation Profession Profession Profession Profession Profession Profession Relation Profession Relation Profession Relation Relation Profession Relation Relat	emarks: ans, and not have e recent is ode 3, a is Hills Ogram Ty gation S emarks: 7987 966 gram Ty gation S emarks:	Consider inspection of the consider inspection of the constitution	Yes Yes Latory for Div. 88 Aring waring waring ware in the interpretation of the interpr	6035 30 or bearing	6035 30 g wall, v. 95 ngs have	60 4 oluntary	ing that the place city duon. 5 2 for nor	t may be a rid posted e to verifi	0 0 wall	The other URM had reinforce	ers have as disapp ements	provided peared. The manner of the ware of	d adequa he 1997 s lls. They	te proo survey had a
revi that said miti Los A Yes Mitiga Techni Progres Yes* Mitiga Techni Progres	tiew of plant they do all that the igation of the igation of the igation of the igation Profession Profession Profession Profession Profession Profession Relation Profession Relation Profession Relation Relation Profession Relation Relat	emarks: ans, and not have e recent is ode 3, a is Hills Ogram Ty gation S emarks: 7987 966 gram Ty gation S emarks:	Consider inspection of the consider inspection of the constitution	Yes Yes Latory for Div. 88 Aring waring waring ware in the interpretation of the interpr	6035 30 or bearing	6035 30 g wall, v. 95 ngs have	one build URM. The distribution of the correction of the distribution of the distribut	ing that the place city duon. 5 2 for nor	t may be a rid posted e to verifi	0 0 wall	The other URM had reinforce	ers have as disapp ements	provided peared. The manner of the ware of	d adequa he 1997 s lls. They	te proo survey had a
revi that said miti Los A Yes Mitigar Techni Progres Mitigar Techni Progres ***1131	tiew of play they do at they do at they do at the signation of the signature of the signatu	emarks: ans, and not have e recent ode 3, a Hills 0 gram Ty gation S emarks: 7987 966 gram Ty gation S emarks:	Consider inspection of the control o	Yes Yes Latory for Div. 88 Aring waring waring ware in the interpretation of the interpr	6035 30 or bearing	6035 30 g wall, v. 95 ngs have	60 4 oluntary	ing that the place city duon. 5 2 for nor	t may be a rid posted e to verifi	0 0 wall	The other URM had reinforce	ers have as disapp ements	provided peared. The manner of the ware of	d adequa he 1997 s lls. They	te proo survey had a
revi that said miti Los A Yes Mitiga Techni Progres Yes* Mitiga Techni Progres **1131	tiew of play they do at they do at they do at the signation of the signature of the signatu	emarks: ans, and not have e recent is ode 3, a is Hills Ogram Ty gation S emarks: 7987 966 gram Ty gation S emarks: s have b	Consider inspection of the ins	Yes Yes Adatory for Div. 88 Aring waified with	6035 30 or bearing and Div	6035 30 g wall, v. 95 ngs have	60 4 oluntary e been ide	ting that the place city duon. 5 2 for norentified to Div	t may be a rid posted e to verification of the total transfer of the total transfer of the tra	0 0 wall	The other URM had reinforce 1902 0	as disappements: 35 0	provided peared. The finite was a second of the was a second of th	adequa he 1997 s lls. They	survey had a 8077* 1131*
revitation revitation revitation revitation revitation revitation revitation revitation revitation rechnical rechnic	ss and R iew of pl they do that th igation c Altos O tion Pro ical Miti ss and R 90 165 tion Pro ical Miti ss and R building Angel 3	emarks: ans, and not have e recent ode 3, a Hills Ogram Ty gation S emarks: 7987 966 gram Ty gation S emarks: s have b	Consider inspection of the control o	ration is ons, we have not shave not on only, Yes Yes Yes datory for Div. 88 aring water ified with yes	6035 30 or bearing and Dividl building the URM 1	6035 30 g wall, v. 95 ngs have	60 4 oluntary	ing that the place city duon. 5 2 for nor	t may be a rid posted e to verifi	0 0 wall	The other URM had reinforce	ers have as disapp ements	provided peared. The manner of the ware of	d adequa he 1997 s lls. They	te proo survey had a

	Ti.a.d	liction					C	D	lt . (b.	ma of III	DMa)			
	Juriso	liction					Su		esults (n	umbe	IS OI UI	KIVIS)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Los (Gatos														
Yes	6	15	Yes	yes		13	4							4	
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	trengthe	ning									
							BC Appen or chimne		apter 1, 75 ir	5% of t	he 91 UE	C for the	e repair of	f earthqu	ake-
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	Revocat	ion of oc	cupancy	for buil		· -	ot comply	with d	eadline.	City allo	ws replac	ement o	f
dan	naged bu	ildings v	without]	providin	g more p	arking.									
Lynv	vood														
No	0	15	No												
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:	•											
Techni	ical Mitiş	gation S	tandards	s:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Mam	ımoth	Lake	S												
Yes	0	0	N/A												
Mitiga	tion Pro _§	gram Ty	pe:	•	•				·			·	·	•	
Techni	ical Mitiş	gation S	tandards	s:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Man	hattan	Beac	h												
Yes	0	12	Yes	yes		12									
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	trengthe	ning				1					
		-					os Angel	es City	Code						
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	All man	datory s	trengthe	ning was	implem	ented a	nd compl	eted. 1	2 URM c	ommerc	ial one sto	ry build	ings.
Mari	copa														
Yes		16	No											16	
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:		1	1	1		- L	1			- L	1	<u> </u>
	ical Miti			s:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Mari	n Cou	nty													
Yes	0	1	Yes	yes			1								
3.4.4.	tion Prog	gram Tv	no. Noti	to	nor swith	an andar	to strone	×thom o	12 - 1	L					•
Mitiga	11011 1 108	514III 1 J	pe. Mou	ce to ow	nei wini	an order	to streng	guien o	r aemons	n					
	ical Miti	-			nei witti	an order	to sireng	guien o	r demons	n					

Marina Yes 0 0 N/A Standards: Standards: Standards are planned to be adopted. Progress and Remarks: Maywood Wes 0 25 Yes yes 15 15 15 15 1 10 1 1 25 Mitigation Standards: Similar to 1982 Edition of Division 88 of the Los Angeles City Code Progress and Remarks: Mitigation Program Type: Notices to owners Technical Mitigation Standards: Similar to 1982 Edition of Division 88 of the Los Angeles City Code Progress and Remarks: McFarland Wes 0 15 Yes yes 15 15 15 1 10 1 10 1 25 Mitigation Standards: Similar to 1982 Edition of Division 88 of the Los Angeles City Code Progress and Remarks: McFarland Wes 0 16 Yes 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Jurisd	iction					Sı	ırve	v Re	sults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Marina							_			, -							
Marina	ry Completec	r of Historic	r of Non- : URMs	ion Program hed	to 1999	Compliance	ance with tion Program	ance/Under	Permit Issue		ed/Pancheck ay	d Occupancy	shed	or Demolitio	g Placards	gation	Notified
Marina	/ento	umbei &Ms	ımbeı storic	tigati	plied	CBC (mpli	rtial mpli	trofit		uns bmitt iderw	duce	moli	nted f	arnin	Miti	vners
Ves	In	S S	N H	Mi	Re)n	Co	2 C 2	Re		Pla Sul Un	Re	De	Sla	W _o	No Pre	ð
Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Wartinez Ves	Mari	na															
Progress and Remarks:	Yes	0	0	N/A													
Martine Mart																	
Martinez Yes				andards	:												
Yes	Progres	ss and Re	emarks:														
Mitigation Program Type: Notices to owners Fechnical Mitigation Standards: Standards are planned to be adopted. Progress and Remarks: Maywood Yes 0 25 Yes yes 15 15 15 1 1 10 1 25 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Fechnical Mitigation Standards: Similar to 1982 Edition of Division 88 of the Los Angeles City Code Progress and Remarks: MCFarland Yes 0 16 Yes 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 16 Yes Mitigation Program Type: Notices to Owners Fechnical Mitigation Standards: None Progress and Remarks: City contracts with Kern County for code enforcement. Kern County notified the owners and states it is available to provide guidance concerning measures to retrofit buildings. Mendocino County Yes 0 5 No					I												
Progress and Remarks: Standards: Standards are planned to be adopted.		_					8	14								30	58
Maywood							lopped +	o bo asl	anta-	1							
Maywood Yes 0 25 Yes yes 15 15 1 10 1 25				andards	. standa	ius are p	ianned t	o be add	phrec	l.							
Yes	riogies	s and N	emarks.														
Yes	May	wood															
Technical Mitigation Standards: Similar to 1982 Edition of Division 88 of the Los Angeles City Code Progress and Remarks: MCFarland Yes	Yes		25	Yes	yes	15	15					1	10	1			25
Progress and Remarks:	Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	datory st	rengthen	ing										
McFarland Yes	Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	: Similar	to 1982	Edition o	of Divisi	on 8	8 of t	he Los A	ngeles	City Coc	le			
Yes 0 16 Yes 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 16 Yes Mitigation Program Type: Notices to Owners Technical Mitigation Standards: None Progress and Remarks: City contracts with Kern County for code enforcement. Kern County notified the owners and states it is available to provide guidance concerning measures to retrofit buildings. Mendocino County Yes 0 5 No	Progres	ss and Re	emarks:														
Mitigation Program Type: Notices to Owners Technical Mitigation Standards: None Progress and Remarks: City contracts with Kern County for code enforcement. Kern County notified the owners and states it is available to provide guidance concerning measures to retrofit buildings. Mendocino County Yes 0 5 No	McFa	rland															
Technical Mitigation Standards: None Progress and Remarks: City contracts with Kern County for code enforcement. Kern County notified the owners and states it is available to provide guidance concerning measures to retrofit buildings. Mendocino County Yes 0 5 No	Yes	0	16	Yes		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	16	Yes
Progress and Remarks: City contracts with Kern County for code enforcement. Kern County notified the owners and states it is available to provide guidance concerning measures to retrofit buildings. Mendocino County Yes	Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Notic	es to Ov	vners											
Available to provide guidance concerning measures to retrofit buildings. Mendocino County Yes																	
Yes 0 5 No												n Coun	ty notifie	ed the ov	vners and	d states i	t is
Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Menlo Park Yes 0 2 Yes yes 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1985 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1, State Historical Building Code Progress and Remarks: Mill Valley Yes 0 18 Yes yes 14 14 1 1 3 18 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1987 Edition SSC Model Ordinance	Meno	docino	Cou	nty	Г		Γ	ı			T						T
Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Menlo Park Yes 0 2 Yes yes 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1985 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1, State Historical Building Code Progress and Remarks: Mill Valley Yes 0 18 Yes yes 14 14 1 1 3 18 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1987 Edition SSC Model Ordinance	Yes	_	_														
Progress and Remarks: Menlo Park Yes 0 2 Yes yes 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1985 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1, State Historical Building Code Progress and Remarks: Mill Valley Yes 0 18 Yes yes 14 14 1 1 3 18 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1987 Edition SSC Model Ordinance																	
Menlo Park Yes 0 2 Yes yes 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1985 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1, State Historical Building Code Progress and Remarks: Will Valley Yes 0 18 Yes yes 14 14 1				andards	:												
Yes 0 2 Yes yes 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1985 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1, State Historical Building Code Progress and Remarks: Will Valley Yes 0 18 Yes yes 14 14 1																	
Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1985 UCBC Appendix Chapter 1, State Historical Building Code Progress and Remarks: Mill Valley Yes 0 18 Yes yes 14 14 1 3 18 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1987 Edition SSC Model Ordinance			k	T	ı		I				T	Ī			1		
Progress and Remarks: Mill Valley Yes 0 18 Yes yes 14 14 1 3 18 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1987 Edition SSC Model Ordinance	Yes									0	0	0	1	0	1		1
Progress and Remarks: Mill Valley Yes 0 18 Yes yes 14 14 1 3 18 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1987 Edition SSC Model Ordinance									1 0			D 41.11	<i>a</i> :				
Mill Valley Yes 0 18 Yes yes 14 14 1 3 18 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1987 Edition SSC Model Ordinance				andards	: 1985 U	CBC App	pendix C	hapter	1, Sta	te H	istorical	Buildin	g Code				
Yes 0 18 Yes yes 14 14 1 3 18 Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: 1987 Edition SSC Model Ordinance	Progres	ss and Re	emarks:														
Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Fechnical Mitigation Standards: 1987 Edition SSC Model Ordinance				T _	Γ		ı	1			T						
Technical Mitigation Standards: 1987 Edition SSC Model Ordinance	Yes					1		1								3	18
Progress and Remarks:				andards	: 1987 Ed	dition SS	C Model	Ordina	nce								
O	Progres	ss and Ro	emarks:														

	Jurisd	iction					Su	rvey I	Results (n	umbe	rs of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Mille Yes	orae 0	3	Yes	yes	3	3									3
	tion Prog														J 3
							88 of the	Los A	Angeles Cit	ty Code	as modif	ied, 198	5 UBC		
	ss and Ro									<u> </u>					
Milp	itas														
Yes	0	1	Yes								3				
	tion Prog														
	cal Mitig igerous B			: 1987 Ec	lition of	the SSC	Model O	rdinar	nce, 1988 E	dition c	f the Uni	form Co	de for th	e Abaten	nent of
									on owner's been recer			on. Only	/ 1 build i	ing classi	ified as
Miss	ion Vi	ejo													
Yes	0	0	N/A												
	tion Prog														
	cal Mitig		andards	:											
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													
Mon	o Cou	nty													
Yes		8	No	yes											
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
	cal Mitig		andards	:											
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													
Mon	rovia														
Yes	0	75	Yes	yes		75									1
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	datory st	rengther	ing									
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	: Wall ar	nchorage	, parape	t bracing	and h	eight to th	ickness	requirem	ents onl	y.		
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													
Mon	tclair														
Yes	0	0	N/A												
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	:		-	-					-			
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													

	Jurisd	iction					Su	rvey]	Results (n	umbe	rs of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Mont	te Ser	eno													
Yes	0	0	N/A												
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Tyj	pe:		1	1	l				"	1	"	-	"
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	:											
Progres	ss and Ro	emarks:													
Mont	tebell	D													T
Yes	0	20	Yes												
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Tyj	pe: Mano	latory st	rengthen	ing									
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	Similar	to the 19	985 Editi	on of Ch	apter	96 of the Lo	os Ange	eles Coun	ity Code			
Progres	ss and Ro	emarks:													
Mont	terey												T		
Yes	18	16	Yes	yes	14	9	6		1		1	1		2	34
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty _l	pe: Volui	ntary stre	engtheni	ng, histo	rical bui	ldings	are exemp	ot.					
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	Similar	to the 19	987 Editi	on of the	UCB	C Appendi	x Chap	ter 1, 198	8 UBC fo	r base sh	ear.	
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													
Mont	terey (Count	y												
Yes	0	2	Yes						1		1		2		
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty _l	pe: Demo	olition/r	etrofit										
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	: More											
Progres	ss and Ro	emarks:	Demolis	hed - His	storic Sp	reckels B	Building								
Mont	terey l	Park													
Yes	0	26	Yes	yes	20	20		2		1	3			2	26
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Typ	pe: Mano	latory st	rengthen	ing		•	'		•	•	•		•
	cal Mitig						SSC Mod	el Orc	linance						
	ss and Ro														
Mooi	rpark														
Yes	0	7	Yes	yes		5					2				
	tion Prog	ram Ty	l	-	I	I	I	1		1		1	-1	1	
	cal Mitig														
	ss and Re														

	Juriso	liction					Su	rvey Ro	esults (n	umbe	rs of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Mor	aga				-	-					<u> </u>				
Yes	0	0	N/A												
	ation Pro	gram Tv		1				1							
	ical Miti			S:											
con dra		is being nce is be	propose	d for ad							ix Chapter Clayton, I				
Yes	0	0	N/A												
		_													1
	ation Prog		•												
	ical Miti			S:											
Progre	ess and R	emarks:													
Mor	gan Hi	ill	I				I	1		ı				T	
Yes	2	6	Yes	yes		1					7				
Mitiga	ation Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory s	trengthe	ning									
Techn	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	s: 1982 E	dition of	the Los	Angeles (City Co	de						
Progre	ess and R	emarks:	This em	ergency	ordinan	ce was pa	assed to r	epair ar	nd retrofi	t eartho	լuake dan	naged U	RM buil	dings.	
Mor	ro Bay														
Yes	0	16	Yes		1	1		2	6			1			16
Mitiga	ation Pro	gram Tv	pe: Man	datorv s	trengthe	ning, bei	ng recons	sidered	(12/95). r	eporte	dly repeal	led 1999?)	1	1
	ical Miti		=			٠, ٠-١	9		//-	1	J				
						ally inve	ntoried a	nd 30 w	ere found	l to be	reinforced	1.			
8	355 4114 10		10 24114		011811	uriy riive		114 00 11	010 10 411	1 10 50					
Mou	ıntain	View													
Yes	0	25	Yes	yes	25	25								0	25
	ation Pro		1	1 -			e triggere	ed upon	remodel	or rend	vation				- ~0
	ical Miti	-						_ apon	- canodel	J. 10110					
Progre		emarks:	1992: Dr	afting a	n ordina	nce for la		er that v	will requi	re man	datory ev	aluation	and up	grade wit	hin
<u>def</u>															
	a							r				1			
Napa		25	Yes	ves	11		2	1	3			1	4	2.4	35
Nap Yes	10	25 gram Tv	Yes	yes nic Retro	11 ofit Reim	hurseme	2 nt Progra	1 am	3			1	4	24	35
Napa Yes Mitiga		gram Ty	pe: Seisr	nic Retro		burseme			3			1	4	24	3

Progress and Remarks: The City of Napa Community Redevelopment Agency offers a reimbursement incentive program for the preparation of seismic retrofit architectural and engineering plans. Since the initial inventory of 46 URM structures, 11 have completed seismic retrofit projects and have been removed from the city's URM inventory. Currently, five properties are in the process of seismic retrofit efforts. Preliminary discussioons with several property owners are likely to result in other seimic projects being underway during 2000.

	Inried	liction					S	myoxy Do	sults (n	umbara	ofIID	Mc)			
	Jurist	acaon	T				Sul	Ι	auns (fi	amper	OI UK.	1419)	1	1	
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Cou	nty of	Napa	(unic	orpor	ated a	rea)	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>		'		'	'	'	'
Yes	0	7	Yes	yes	2	0	1			1				3	7
Mitiga	ation Prog	gram Ty	pe: Othe	r	<u> </u>	1			1	1		<u> </u>			<u> </u>
Techni	ical Mitig	gation St	- tandards	:: UCBC	Appendi	x Ch. 1 f	or occup	ancy cha	inges and	d structu	ıral upgı	ades.			
	ess and R														
New	ark														
Yes	0	0	N/A												
Mitiga	ation Prog	gram Ty	pe:	•	•	•			•	•		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Techni	ical Mitig	gation S	tandards	i:											
	ess and R														
New	port B	each													
Yes	3	124	Yes	yes		125			0	0	1	1			127
B. #	ation Proc	rnom Tr	no. Mon.	datary	trengther	ning		•	•	•	•	•		•	
wiitiga	111011 1 109	ziaili i y	pe: Man	uatory s	acinguici	mig									
	ical Mitig						JCBC Ap	pendix (Chapter 1	1					
Techni		gation S	tandards	s: Currer	nt Edition	of the U		•			etrofit w	ork has	not been	started.	The 1
Techni Progre	ical Mitiş	gation St emarks:	tandards Of the R	s: Currer Cetrofit P	nt Edition ermits is:	of the U sued, bu		•			etrofit w	ork has i	not been	started.	The 1
Techni Progre UR	ical Mitigess and Royal Mislated	gation St emarks:	tandards Of the R	s: Currer Cetrofit P	nt Edition ermits is:	of the U sued, bu		•			etrofit w	ork has i	not been	started.	The 1
Progre URI	ical Mitigess and Roman Stated	gation Stemarks:	tandards Of the R	s: Currer Cetrofit P	nt Edition ermits is:	of the U sued, bu		•			etrofit w	ork has	not been	started.	The 1
Progre URI Norce Yes	ical Mitigess and ReM slated	gation Stemarks: for demo	tandards Of the R olition is	s: Currer Cetrofit P	nt Edition ermits is:	of the U sued, bu		•			etrofit w	ork has	not been	started.	The 1
Progre URI Norce Yes Mitiga	ical Mitigess and Roman Stated CO O attion Program	gation Stemarks: for demonstrate 3 gram Ty	of the R olition is yes	etrofit P present	nt Edition ermits is:	of the U sued, bu		•			etrofit w	ork has i	not been	started.	The 1
Progre UR Norc Yes Mitiga Techni	ical Mitigess and Roman Stated CO Ontion Progical Mitig	gation Si emarks: for demo	yes pe: tandards	etrofit P present	nt Edition ermits is:	of the U sued, bu		•			etrofit w	ork has i	not been	started.	The 1
Progre UR Norc Yes Mitiga Techni	ical Mitigess and Roman Stated CO O attion Program	gation Si emarks: for demo	yes pe: tandards	etrofit P present	nt Edition ermits is:	of the U sued, bu		•			etrofit w	ork has i	not been	started.	The 1
Progre UR Norce Yes Mitigate Technic	ical Mitigess and Roman Stated CO Ontion Progical Mitigess and Roman R	gation Si emarks: for demo	yes pe: tandards	etrofit P present	nt Edition ermits is:	of the U sued, bu		•			etrofit w	ork has	not been	started.	The 1
Norce Yes Mitiga Techni Progre	ical Mitiges and Remarks and Remarks and Remarks and Remarks walk	gation Si emarks: for demo 3 gram Ty gation Si emarks:	yes pe: tandards	s: Currer Letrofit P s present yes yes	nt Edition Permits is: ly unoccu	of the Usued, bu	ilding pe	prmits ha	ve expire	ed and re					
Norce Yes Mitiga Techni Progre Norve Yes	ical Mitigess and Remarks of the Mitiges of t	gation Seemarks: for demonstrate 3 gram Ty gation Seemarks:	yes pe: tandards Yes	etrofit P present	nt Edition ermits is:	of the U sued, bu		•			etrofit w	ork has i	not been	started.	The 1
Norce Yes Mitiga Technic Progre Norve Yes Mitiga	ical Mitiges and Roman Proping ical Mitiges and Roman	gation Stemarks: for demonstrate 3 gram Tygation Stemarks:	yes pe: tandards Yes pe:	yes yes yes	nt Edition ermits is: ly unoccu	of the Usued, buupied.	ilding pe	ormits ha	ve expire	ed and re	0	0	0	11	11
Norce Yes Mitiga Technic Progre Norve Yes Mitiga Technic Technic Technic	ical Mitigess and Remarks of the Mitiges of the	gation Si emarks: for demonstrates 3 gram Ty gation Si emarks:	yes pe: tandards Yes pe: tandards	yes yes yes LA Co	ot Edition Permits is: ly unoccu	of the Usued, but upied.	o o was add	0 opted on	0 Dec 5, 19	ed and re	0	0	0	11	11
Norce Yes Mitiga Techni Progre Ves Mitiga Techni Techni Techni the	ical Mitiges and Roman Programmer of the CO of the Control of the	gation Signarks: for demonstrates 3 gram Ty gation Signarks: 11 gram Ty gation Signary gation Signary gation Signary gation Signary	yes yes Yes pe: tandards Yes pe: tandards	yes yes yes LA Cole for Bu	ot Edition dermits iss ly unoccu unty's Cl ilding Co	of the Usued, buupied. 0 hapter 96 onservati	0 6 was addion, Appe	0 opted on endix Ch	0 Dec 5, 19 napter 1.	ed and re	0 again in	0 1992. In	0 n 1995, th	11	11 dopted
Norce Yes Mitiga Techni Progre Norve Yes Mitiga Techni Progre Mitiga Techni the Progre	ical Mitiges and Remarks of the Mitiges of th	gation Signarks: for demonstrates 3 gram Tygation Signarks: 11 gram Tygation Signarks:	yes yes tandards Yes tandards Yes tandards Orm Cod	yes yes yes LA Cole for Bu have be	ot Edition Permits iss ly unoccu unty's Cl ilding Co en notifie	of the Usued, but upied. Ohapter 96 onservatied. Build	0 6 was addion, Appeing offici	0 opted on endix Chall is pre	0 Dec 5, 19 napter 1. paring a	0 989, and	0 again in	0 n 1992. In	0 n 1995, th	11	11 dopted
Norce Yes Mitiga Technic Progre Norve Yes Mitiga Technic Progre Mitiga Technic the Progre	ical Mitiges and Roman Programmer of the CO of the Control of the	gation Signarks: for demonstrates 3 gram Tygation Signarks: 11 gram Tygation Signarks:	yes yes tandards Yes pe: tandards Yes Orm Cod	yes yes yes LA Cole for Bu have be	ot Edition Permits iss ly unoccu unty's Cl ilding Co en notifie	of the Usued, but upied. Ohapter 96 onservatied. Build	0 6 was addion, Appeing offici	0 opted on endix Chall is pre	0 Dec 5, 19 napter 1. paring a	0 989, and	0 again in	0 n 1992. In	0 n 1995, th	11	11 dopted
Norce Yes Mitiga Technic Progre Norve Yes Mitiga Technic Progre Mitiga Technic the Progre	ess and Roman Proposical Mitigates and Roman Proposical Mitigates and Roman Proposical Mitigates and Roman Proposical Mitigates and Roman	gation Signarks: for demonstrates 3 gram Tygation Signarks: 11 gram Tygation Signarks:	yes yes tandards Yes pe: tandards Yes Orm Cod	yes yes yes LA Cole for Bu have be	ot Edition Permits iss ly unoccu unty's Cl ilding Co en notifie	of the Usued, but upied. Ohapter 96 onservatied. Build	0 6 was addion, Appeing offici	0 opted on endix Chall is pre	0 Dec 5, 19 napter 1. paring a	0 989, and	0 again in	0 n 1992. In	0 n 1995, th	11	11 dopted
Norce Yes Mitiga Technic Progre Norve Yes Mitiga Technic the Progre and	ess and Roman Proposical Mitigates and Roman Proposical Mitigates and Roman Proposical Mitigates and Roman Proposical Mitigates and Roman	gation Signarks: for demonstrates 3 gram Tygation Signarks: 11 gram Tygation Signarks:	yes yes tandards Yes pe: tandards Yes Orm Cod	yes yes yes LA Cole for Bu have be	ot Edition Permits iss ly unoccu unty's Cl ilding Co en notifie	of the Usued, but upied. Ohapter 96 onservatied. Build	0 6 was addion, Appeing offici	0 opted on endix Chall is pre	0 Dec 5, 19 napter 1. paring a	0 989, and	0 again in	0 n 1992. In	0 n 1995, th	11	11 dopted
Norves Mitiga Technic Progre Norves Mitiga Technic Progre Mitiga Technic the Progre and Nova Yes	ical Mitiges and Remarks and R	gation Signarks: for demonstrate for demonstra	yes yes tandards Yes tandards Yes Omn Cod Owners ursue end	yes yes yes LA Co le for Bu have be- forcing r	o unty's Cl ilding Co en notifie mandator	of the Usued, but upied. Ohapter 96 onservatied. Build	0 6 was addion, Appeing offici	0 opted on endix Chall is pre	0 Dec 5, 19 napter 1. paring a	0 989, and	0 again in	0 n 1992. In	0 n 1995, th	11	11 dopted ve titles
Norves Mitiga Technic Progre Wes Mitiga Progre Mitiga Technic the Progre and Nova Yes Mitiga	ical Mitiges and Remarks and R	gation Signarks: for demonstrates for demonstrates and Type gation Signarks: 11 gram Type gation Signarks: ing to put gram Type gation Signarks: ing to put gram Type gation Type gation Signarks: ing to put gram Type gation Typ	yes yes pe: tandards Yes pe: tandards Owners ursue end	yes yes yes LA Co le for Bu have be forcing r	o unty's Cl ilding Co en notifie mandator	of the Usued, but upied. Ohapter 96 onservatied. Build	0 6 was addion, Appeing offici	0 opted on endix Chall is pre	0 Dec 5, 19 napter 1. paring a	0 989, and	0 again in	0 n 1992. In	0 n 1995, th	11	11 dopted ve titles

	Juriso	liction					Su	rvey R	esults (n	umbe	rs of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Oakl	land														
Yes	275	1333	Yes	yes	211	1078	68	5	3		90	2		151	1608
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	,	kterior fa	lling haz	zard mitig	gation	program.	Volunt	ary struct	ural upg	rade pro	gram.	-
Progre 2/1	ss and R	emarks:	Included	d a list of	updates	on the c	deadlines	for co	rlier progr npleting ¹ 2 bearing	URM u	pgrade w	ork. Prio			
	nside	00	37				0	0						00	71
Yes	3	68	Yes		9	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	69	71
			xceeding	50% of t	he value	of the b	uilding.		991 Editio		ective dat				uilding
Techni Coc Progre stre wal	ical Miti de ss and R	gation S emarks:	xceeding tandards Services	50% of to S: UCBC of order	Append to be se	e of the b ix Chapt nt to all U	uilding. er 1, Febr URMs pe	ruary 1 r revise		n, SSC nce. Mi	Model O	rdinance doption:	, State H 5/24/91	istoric B I manda	tory
Progrestre wal	ical Mitigate ss and R ngthenin	gation S emarks: ug; 8/12 uge.	xceeding tandards Services /92 revis	50% of to S: UCBC of order	Append to be se	e of the b ix Chapt nt to all U 1/95 revi	uilding. er 1, Febr URMs pe sed man	ruary 1 r revise	991 Edition	n, SSC nce. Mi	Model O	rdinance doption:	, State H 5/24/91	istoric B I manda rapet br	tory
Techni Coc Progrestre wal Ojai Yes	ical Mitigate ss and Rengthenir l anchora	gation S emarks: ag; 8/12 age.	xceeding tandards Services /92 revis	50% of to	Append to be seines; 3/1	e of the b ix Chapte nt to all U 1/95 revi	uilding. er 1, Febr URMs pe	ruary 1 r revise	991 Editio	n, SSC nce. Mi	Model O	rdinance doption:	, State H 5/24/91	istoric B I manda	tory
Fechni Coo Progre stre wal Ojai Yes	ical Mitigate ss and R ngthenin l anchora 0 tion Pro	emarks: g; 8/12 age. 29 gram Ty	xceeding tandards Services /92 revis Yes pe: Mane	s: UCBC of order sed timel	Append to be seines; 3/1	e of the bix Chapter of to all Use 1/95 revious	uilding. er 1, Febr URMs pe sed man	ruary 1 r revise	991 Edition	n, SSC nce. Mi	Model O	rdinance doption:	, State H 5/24/91	istoric B I manda rapet br	tory
Fechni Coc Progre stre wal Ojai Yes Mitiga Fechni	ical Mitigate ss and R ngthenin l anchora 0 tion Progical Mitigate	emarks: g; 8/12 age. 29 gram Ty gation S	xceeding tandards Services /92 revis Yes pe: Manetandards	s: UCBC of order sed timel datory st	Append to be seines; 3/1	e of the bix Chaptent to all U/95 revi	uilding. er 1, Febr URMs pe sed man	ruary 1 r revise	991 Edition	n, SSC nce. Mi	Model O	rdinance doption:	, State H 5/24/91	istoric B I manda rapet br	tory
Fechni Coc Progre stre wal Ojai Yes Mitiga Fechni Progre	ical Mitigate ss and Rengtheniral anchora 0 tion Progical Mitigs ss and R	emarks: g; 8/12 age. 29 gram Ty gation S	xceeding tandards Services /92 revis Yes pe: Manetandards	s: UCBC of order sed timel datory st	Append to be seines; 3/1	e of the bix Chaptent to all U/95 revi	uilding. er 1, Febr URMs pe sed man	ruary 1 r revise	991 Edition	n, SSC nce. Mi	Model O	rdinance doption:	, State H 5/24/91	istoric B I manda rapet br	tory
Fechni Coc Progre stre wal Ojai Yes Mitiga Fechni Progre	cal Mitigate ss and R ngthenin l anchora 0 tion Progical Mitigss and R	emarks: ag; 8/12 age. 29 gram Ty gation S emarks:	xceeding tandards Services /92 revis Yes pe: Mandards A 1990 p	s: UCBC of order sed timel datory st s: Yes-typ	Append to be seines; 3/1	e of the bix Chaptent to all U/95 revi	uilding. er 1, Febr URMs pe sed man	ruary 1 r revise	991 Edition	n, SSC nce. Mi	Model O	rdinance doption:	, State H 5/24/91	istoric B I manda rapet br	tory
Fechni Coc Progre stre wal Ojai Yes Mitiga Fechni Progre Onta Yes Mitiga	otion Prostion Prostion Prostion Prostion Prostion Prostion Prostical Mitigass and R	emarks: ag; 8/12 age. 29 gram Ty gation S emarks: 13 gram Ty	Yes pe: Mandards A 1990 p	s: UCBC of order sed timel datory st s: Yes-typ program yes	Append to be seines; 3/1	e of the bix Chaptent to all U/95 revi	uilding. er 1, Febr URMs pe sed man	ruary 1 r revise	991 Edition	n, SSC nce. Mi	Model O	rdinance doption:	, State H 5/24/91	istoric B I manda rapet br	tory
Progrewal Ojai Yes Mitiga Progre Onta Yes Mitiga Fechni Progre Mitiga Fechni Progre the	o tion Procical Mitigass and R Ario 42 Ation Procical Mitigass and R Ario 42 Ario Procical Mitigass and R Ario 42 Ario Procical Mitigass and R Ario 1997 UC	emarks: ag; 8/12 age. 29 gram Ty gation S emarks: 13 gram Ty gation S	Yes Pe: Mandards A 1990 p No Pe: The inve	s: UCBC of order sed timel datory st s: Yes-typ program yes	Append to be seines; 3/1 rengther be not renotified	e of the b ix Chapte nt to all U 1/95 revi 16 ning ported owners.	uilding. er 1, Febr URMs persed mane	ruary 1 r revise datory	991 Edition	n, SSC	Model Ontigation a dinance t	rdinance doption: o require	, State H 5/24/91 e only pa	I manda trapet br	tory acing &
Progrewal Ojai Yes Mitiga Progre Onta Yes Mitiga Progre Onta Techni Progre the	o tion Propical Mitigas and R 1 42 1 tion Propical Mitigas and R 1 1997 UC	gation S emarks: ag; 8/12 age. 29 gram Ty gation S emarks: 13 gram Ty gation S emarks: BC. The	Yes Pe: Mandards A 1990 p No Pe: tandards The invecity buil	s: UCBC of order sed timel datory st s: Yes-typ program yes	Append to be seines; 3/1 rengther be not renotified building	e of the b ix Chapte nt to all U 1/95 revi 16 ning ported owners.	uilding. er 1, Febr URMs persed mane	r revisedatory	991 Edition of the strengthe strengthe staff is different staff is dif	n, SSC	Model Ontigation a dinance t	rdinance doption: o require	, State H 5/24/91 e only pa	sistoric B I manda rapet br 8 55 thening ner of 19	using 95.
Progrewal Ojai Yes Mitiga Progre Mitiga Fechni Progre Mitiga Fechni Progre the Oran	o tion Pro ical Miti l anchora 0 tion Pro ical Miti ss and R 1710 42 tion Pro ical Miti ss and R 1997 UC	gation S emarks: ag; 8/12 age. 29 gram Ty gation S emarks: 13 gram Ty gation S emarks: BC. The	Yes Pe: Mandards A 1990 p No Pe: tandards The invectity built	s: UCBC of order sed timel datory st :: Yes-typ program yes entory of	The value Append to be serines; 3/1 rengther pe not renotified building partment 29	e of the b ix Chapte nt to all U 1/95 revi 16 ning ported owners.	uilding. er 1, Febr URMs persed mane	ruary 1 r revise datory	991 Edition of the strengthe strengthe staff is different staff is dif	n, SSC	Model Ontigation a dinance t	rdinance doption: o require	, State H 5/24/91 e only pa	I manda trapet br	tory acing &
Progrewal Ojai Yes Mitiga Progre Onta Yes Mitiga Progre Techni Progre The Oran Yes Mitiga	o tion Propical Mitigas and R 1 42 1 tion Propical Mitigas and R 1 1997 UC	gation S emarks: ag; 8/12 age. 29 gram Ty gation S emarks: 13 gram Ty gation S emarks: BC. The	Yes Pe: Mandards A 1990 p No Pe: tandards The invecity built Yes Pe: Notice	s: UCBC of order sed timel datory st :: Yes-typ program yes entory of lding dep	rengther notified Suit building partment	e of the b ix Chapte nt to all U 1/95 revi 16 ning ported owners. g is being t is plann	uilding. er 1, Febr URMs persed mane	r revisedatory	991 Edition of the strengthe strengthe staff is different staff is dif	n, SSC	Model Ontigation a dinance t	rdinance doption: o require	, State H 5/24/91 e only pa	sistoric B I manda rapet br 8 55 thening ner of 19	using 95.

Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: Division 88 City of Lost Angeles code Progress and Remarks: 2 buildings to be sold, 2 buildings to be demolished, 3 buildings sold and no longer within Orange County jurisdicion. Orinda Yes					<u> </u>					1. (CIID				
Orange County Yes		Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey Ke	sults (n	umber	S Of UR.	Ms)			
Yes 0	Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Mitigation Program Type: Mandatory strengthening Technical Mitigation Standards: Division 88 City of Lost Angeles code Progress and Remarks: 2 buildings to be sold. 2 buildings to be demolished. 3 buildings sold and no longer within Orange County jurisdiction. Orinda Yes 0 0 N/A Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: A draft ordinance, which will include adoption of UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 with a three year period for compliance, is being proposed for adoption in 1995. Contra Costa County contracts for Clayton, Lafayette, Moraga and Orinda. (4/97) The city is exploring a mandatory strengthening program or possibly a voluntary one. Oxnard Yes 6 47 Yes yes 2 IF 53 Yes Mitigation Program Type: Notification Only Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: City is in the process of developing the URM ordinance and conducting public hearings. No enforcement at this time other than to notify owners. Pacific Grove Yes 8 3 Yes yes 1 3 0 0 7 11 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, including all pre-1976 occupancy buildings Technical Mitigation Standards: Division 88 City of Los Angeles Code Progress and Remarks: Palm Desert Yes 0 0 N/A Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Palm Desert Yes 0 3 Yes yes 3	Oran	ige Co	unty													
Technical Mitigation Standards: Division 88 City of Lost Angeles code Progress and Remarks: 2 buildings to be sold, 2 buildings to be demolished, 3 buildings sold and no longer within Orange County jurisdicion. Orinda Ves 0 0 N/A	Yes	0	14	Yes	yes	3				2		1	1		7	
Progress and Remarks: 2 buildings to be sold, 2 buildings to be demolished, 3 buildings sold and no longer within Orange County jurisdicion. Orinda Ves	Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengthen	ing									
Mitigation Program Type:	Progres	ss and R								d, 3 build	lings so	ld and no	o longer	within C	range Co	ounty
Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: A draft ordinance, which will include adoption of UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 with a three year period for compliance, is being proposed for adoption in 1995. Contra Costa County contracts for Clayton, Lafayette, Moraga and Orinda. (4/97) The city is exploring a mandatory strengthening program or possibly a voluntary one. Oxnard Yes 6 47 Yes yes 2 1F 53 Yes Mitigation Program Type: Notification Only Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: City is in the process of developing the URM ordinance and conducting public hearings. No enforcement at this time other than to notify owners. Pacific Grove Yes 8 3 Yes yes 1 3 0 7 11 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, including all pre-1976 occupancy buildings Technical Mitigation Standards: Division 88 City of Los Angeles Code Progress and Remarks: Pacifica Yes 0 N/A	Orin	da														
Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: A draft ordinance, which will include adoption of UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 with a three year period for compliance, is being proposed for adoption in 1995. Contra Costa County contracts for Clayton, Lafayette, Moraga and Orinda. (4/97) The city is exploring a mandatory strengthening program or possibly a voluntary one. Oxnard Yes 6 47 Yes yes 2 1F 53 Yes Mitigation Program Type: Notification Only Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: City is in the process of developing the URM ordinance and conducting public hearings. No enforcement at this time other than to notify owners. Pacific Grove Yes 8 3 Yes yes 1 3 0 7 11 Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, including all pre-1976 occupancy buildings Technical Mitigation Standards: Division 88 City of Los Angeles Code Progress and Remarks: Pacifica Yes 0 0 N/A	Yes	0	0	N/A												
Progress and Remarks: A draft ordinance, which will include adoption of UCBC Appendix Chapter 1 with a three year period for compliance, is being proposed for adoption in 1995. Contra Costa County contracts for Clayton, Lafayette, Moraga and Orinda. (4/97) The city is exploring a mandatory strengthening program or possibly a voluntary one. Oxnard Yes 6 47 Yes yes 2 1F	Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
Mitigation Program Type: Voluntary strengthening, including all pre-1976 occupancy buildings Technical Mitigation Standards: Division 88 City of Los Angeles Code Progress and Remarks: Pacifica Yes 0 0 N/A	Yes Mitiga Techni Progres this	6 tion Progical Mitigs and Retime oth	gram Ty gation St emarks: er than t	pe: Notif tandards City is in	fication (:: n the pro	Only	evelopir		tM ordir	nance and	d condu	cting pul	olic heari	ings. No		
Technical Mitigation Standards: Division 88 City of Los Angeles Code Progress and Remarks: Pacifica Yes 0 0 N/A	Yes	8	3	Yes	yes	1	3						0		7	11
Yes 0 0 N/A Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Palm Desert Yes 0 3 Yes yes 3	Techni	ical Mitig	gation S	pe: Volu tandards	ntary str					occupa	ncy bui	ldings				
Mitigation Program Type: Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Palm Desert Yes 0 3 Yes yes 3	Pacif	ica			Ţ											r
Technical Mitigation Standards: Progress and Remarks: Palm Desert Yes 0 3 Yes yes 3	Yes	_		1												
Progress and Remarks: Palm Desert Yes 0 3 Yes yes 3																
Palm Desert Yes 0 3 Yes yes 3					:											
Yes 0 3 Yes yes 3 Mitigation Program Type: Other, Unknown Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1																
Mitigation Program Type: Other, Unknown Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1				Voc	MOS	9										
Technical Mitigation Standards: UCBC Appendix Chapter 1																
				=			v Chant	er 1								
					, UCBC	Appendi	л спарі	CI I								

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rve	v R	esu	lts (n	umbe	ers o	f UR	Ms)				
	Julisu						Ju			CSu	165 (11			1 010					
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Patrofit Darmit Issuad		Plans	Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy		Demolished	Slated for Demolition		Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Palm	Sprin	ngs																	
Yes	15	11	Yes	yes		22	0		1		0	1		2					26
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	datory st	rengthen	ing													
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	: Modifi	ed 1987 I	Edition o	f the SSC	C N	Iode	l Or	dinan	ce							
Progres	ss and R	emarks:																	
	0 tion Prog																		
	cal Mitig		andards	:															
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:																	
Palo . Yes	Alto 4	43	Yes		17	47			1		1			7				26	
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Volu	ntary str	engtheni	ng													
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	: UCBC	Appendi	x Chapte	er 1 for U	JRI	M bu	ildii	ngs, 1	.973 U	BC f	or nor	ı-URM	bu	ildings		
Surv vaca with	vey:-All ated due a 100 or r	building to collar more occ	gs have a ose poten upants, a tates	structu itial, "an	ngthened ral evalu d "warni bldgs w	ation rep ing placa	oort on fi ards" are	ile no	whic ot en	h is force	requi	red by	ord	inance	, the "i	red	uced oc	cupancy	r" is ldgs
Yes	0	2	Yes	yes	2														2
					rengthen														
			andards	: SSC Mo	odel Ord	inance													
Progres	ss and R	emarks:																	
Parar	nount	t	T	I	T	I	1								1			ı	1
no	0	7	Yes																7
					rengthen														
					r 96 Cou														
Progres	ss and R	emarks:	An earli	er 1990 p	rogram	provideo	d notices	to	own	ers.	Inver	ntory i	not o	fficial	ly com	ple	ted.		
Pasac	dena																		_
Yes	131	628	Yes	yes	0	661	17		0		10	0		25	0		0	46	759
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Other	r					_	_			_						
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	: UCBC	1994 Edi	tion													
Progres	ss and R	emarks:	125 URN	M's are p	ossibly e	eligible f	or the "H	Iist	oric	des	signat	ion.							
					-														

	Jurisd	iction	1				Su	rvey F	Results (1	number	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Paso	Roble	es													
Yes	0	58	Yes	yes	4	12	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	10	58
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
Technic	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	::											
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:													
Perris	S														
Yes	0	17	yes	yes	0	17	0	0	1	0	0	0		0	
Mitigat	ion Prog	gram Ty		1 -	1	1	1	1	II.	1	1	1	1	1	I
	cal Mitig			:											
				1069 Sec.	. 3										
Petal	uma														
Yes	32	62	Yes	yes		35	9							50	98
Mitigat	ion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Parti	al streng	thening-	-bolts o	nly			•					
Technic	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	: None											
	ss and Ro gs 12/11/		A 1989 p	orogram	notified	owners	and tena	nts62	Non-his	toric UR	M 32 His	toric URI	M 5 pre-	1934 con	crete
Pico l	Rivera	1		_						,	_				
Yes	0	7	Yes	yes	7	7									7
Mitigat	ion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengthen	ing									
Technic	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	: UCBC	Appendi	x Chapt	er 1, 1987	7 Editio	n						
Progres	s and R	emarks:													
Piedr Yes	nont 0	0	N/A												
	ion Prog		1												
	cal Mitig	· · ·		<u>.</u>											
	s and R		.unuurus	•											
Pinol	le														
Yes	0	6	No	yes	2					1	2			1	0
Mitigat	ion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
Technic	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	::											
				of Pinol progran		ently dra	afting a S	eismic	Ordinace	for ado	otion by t	he city c	ouncil.	We will p	orovide

	Iurico	liction					Su	rvov P	esults (n	umbai	s of IID	Me)			
ı	Julist	iictioii					Su		suits (ii	umbei	S OI OIL				
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Inver	Numbe	Num Histo	Mitig	Repl	UCB	Com	Partial Compl Constr	Retro	Plans Submi	Redu	Dem	Slate	Warnin Posted	No N Progr	Own
Pism	o Bea	ch													
Yes	0	39	Yes		11	11			0		1			27	39
	tion Pro	•													
	ical Miti														
	ss and R						ded to Ju	ıly 11, 1	995.The r	nitigati	on progra	ım was a	mended	in early	1996
Pitts	burg														
Yes	20	15	Yes								3				35
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe:												
	ical Miti			:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Place	entia														
Yes	0	16	Yes	yes	6	3		1						6	6
	tion Prog , applicat								ot specifie plan.	ed. Seis	mic retro	fit is mai	ndatory ı	upon cha	inge in
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	: None											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	City is re	equestin	g additio	nal com	mercial r	ehabilit	ation loan	funds					
Pleas	sant H	ill													
Yes	0	0	N/A												
		•			•									•	
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe:												
	ition Pro _i ical Miti _i	<u> </u>	•	:											
Techni	•	gation S	tandards	:											
Techni Progre	ical Miti	gation S emarks:	tandards	:											
Techni Progre	ical Miti	gation S emarks:	tandards	yes	34	(34)	2	0	0	0	2		(36)	0	38
Technic Progree Pleas Yes	ical Miti	gation S emarks:	tandards Yes	yes	1		2	0	0	0	2		(36)	0	38
Technic Progree Pleas Yes Mitiga	ical Mitigss and R santon	gation S emarks: 38 gram Ty	Yes pe: Mane	yes datory st	rengther	ning		0	0	0	2		(36)	0	38
Pleas Yes Mitiga Technic Progre	ical Miti ss and R santon 0 ition Pro ical Miti ss and R	gation S emarks: 38 gram Ty gation S emarks: Two (2	Yes pe: Mano tandards (1999) O) buildin	yes datory st :: UCBC, f the ori _g gs are ui	rengther Append ginal 38 Under cons	ning ix Chapt JRM's, 3 struction	ter 1 4 have be and one	een retr about 8	0 ofitted an 0% comp	d comp	leted. Tv		ildings h	nave been	n
Techni Progre Pleas Yes Mitiga Techni Progre den buil	ss and R santon 0 tion Pro ical Miti ss and R nolished.	gation S emarks: 38 gram Ty gation S emarks: Two (2	Yes pe: Mano tandards (1999) O) buildin	yes datory st :: UCBC, f the ori _g gs are ui	rengther Append ginal 38 Under cons	ning ix Chapt JRM's, 3 struction	ter 1 4 have be and one	een retr about 8	ofitted an	d comp	leted. Tv		ildings h	nave been	n
Techni Progre Pleas Yes Mitiga Techni Progre den buil	santon 0 tion Procical Mitigs and R nolished. ldings ar	gation S emarks: 38 gram Ty gation S emarks: Two (2	Yes pe: Mano tandards (1999) O) buildin	yes datory st :: UCBC, f the ori _g gs are ui	rengther Append ginal 38 Under cons	ning ix Chapt JRM's, 3 struction	ter 1 4 have be and one	een retr about 8	ofitted an	d comp	leted. Tv		ildings h	nave been	n
Techni Progre Pleas Yes Mitiga Techni Progre den buil Poin	santon 0 tion Pro ical Miti ss and R nolished. ldings ar t Aren	gation S emarks: 38 gram Ty gation S emarks: Two (2 e comple	Yes pe: Mano tandards (1999) O buildine eted all o	yes datory st :: UCBC, f the ori _g gs are ui	rengther Append ginal 38 Under cons	ning ix Chapt JRM's, 3 struction	ter 1 4 have be and one	een retr about 8	ofitted an	d comp	leted. Tv		ildings h	nave been	n
Techni Progre Pleas Yes Mitiga Techni Progre den buil Poin No Mitiga	santon 0 tion Procical Mitigs and R nolished. ldings ar	gation S emarks: 38 gram Ty gation S emarks: Two (2 e comple	Yes pe: Mane tandards (1999) O) buildin eted all o No pe:	yes datory st :: UCBC, f the ori gs are ur f the ide	rengther Append ginal 38 Under cons	ning ix Chapt JRM's, 3 struction	ter 1 4 have be and one	een retr about 8	ofitted an	d comp	leted. Tv		ildings h	nave been	n

	Jurisd	iction					Su	rvey	/ Re	sults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued		Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Pomo	ona															
Yes	1	96	Yes	yes	8	8	4		0	0	0	0	0		86	96
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:			l	1			1		1	1	II.		1
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	:												
Progres	ss and R	emarks:	1992 ord	inance t	ied into a	a special	assessme	ent d	listri	ict or sim	ilar fina	ncing.				
Port 1	Huene	eme														
Yes	0	0	N/A													
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:													
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	:												
Progres	ss and R	emarks:														
Porto	ola Va	lley														
Yes	0	0	N/A													
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:													
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	:												
Progres	ss and Re	emarks:														
Powa	ay															
Yes	0	0	N/A													
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:													
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	:												
Progres	ss and R	emarks:														
Ranc	ho Cu	camo	nga													
Yes	18	4	Yes	yes		15	2		0			3	0		2	22
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	latory st	rengther	ning										
			tandards													ied
Progres	ss and R	emarks:	A pampl	hlet was	develop	ed expla	ining var	rious	opt	ions and	incenti	ves, enco	urages N	/Iills Act		
Ranc	ho Mi	rage														
Yes	0	0	N/A													
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:													
			tandards	:												
Progres	ss and R	emarks:														
Ranc	ho Pa	los Ve	erdes													
Yes	0	0	N/A													
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:													
			tandards	:												
Progres	ss and R	emarks:														

	Juris	diction			1	1	Su	rvey R	esults (numbei	rs of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Redl	ands														
Yes	11	75	Yes	yes	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	71	
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty			vners										
	ical Miti		_												
					tion code	from (3)) to (2) or	from N	otificati	on Only	to a Volu	ıntary St	rengther	ing Prog	gram.
Redo	ondo l	Beach													
Yes	0	20	Yes	yes	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Tv	1		trengther	ning	1		1	-1		1	1	1	
	ical Miti						nce								
	ess and R														
		22.50													
Redv	wood	City													
Yes	4	24	Yes	yes		24	26			2	2			2	28
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Tv			rengthen	ing									
							dix Chap	oter 1							
									al buildi	ngs to pi	reserve fa	icades. C	City said	that the	v were
							rds" but						., u		,
D: 1															
Rialt	lo			1											
Yes	0	19	Yes							7			19		19
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory											
Techn	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	: None											
Progre	ess and R	emarks:	Adoptio	n of UC	BC Appe	ndix Ch	apter 1 co	onsidere	ed in 199	2.					
 .	_														
Rich	mond			1		Г			T	Т	Т	1	T		
Yes	0	70	Yes		4	4	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe:												
Techn	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	S:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Ride															
Ridg Yes	gecrest		N/A												
Yes	gecrest 0	0	N/A												
Yes Mitiga	gecrest 0 ntion Pro	0 gram Ty	N/A	:											
Yes Mitiga Techn	gecrest 0 ation Pro	0 gram Ty gation S	N/A pe: tandards): ::											
Yes Mitiga Techn Progre	0 ation Proical Mitiess and R	0 gram Ty gation S	N/A pe: tandards): :											
Yes Mitiga Techn Progre	gecrest 0 ation Pro ical Mitiess and R	gram Ty gation S emarks:	N/A pe: tandards	S:											
Yes Mitigate Technology Progree Rio 1 Yes	gecrest 0 ntion Pro ical Miti ess and R Dell 0	gram Ty gation S emarks:	N/A rpe: tandards	S:											
Yes Mitiga Techn Progree Rio l Yes Mitiga	gecresi 0 ntion Pro ical Miti ess and R Dell 0 ntion Pro	gram Ty gation S emarks:	N/A rpe: tandards N/A rpe:												
Yes Mitiga Techn Progree Rio 1 Yes Mitiga Techn	gecrest 0 ntion Pro ical Miti ess and R Dell 0 ntion Pro ical Miti	gram Ty gation S emarks: 0 gram Ty gation S	N/A rpe: N/A rpe: tandards	<u> </u>							are wood				

	Jurisd	iction					Su	rvey Re	esults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Rio V															
yes		10	No												
•	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:				1	1	1	1					
	ical Mitig			:											
	ss and R														
Rive															
Yes	92	143	Yes	yes	unkn own	unkn own	unkn own	unkn own	unkn own	unkn own	unkn own	unkn own	unko wn	unkn own	235
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Tv	pe: Volu	ntarv str					1				<u> </u>	1	1
	ical Mitig		•												
	ss and R						he status	of the I	IRMs						
Tiogra	55 una 10	ciiidi K5.	Dananig	Official	does no	t Miow ti	ne status	or the c	JICIVIS.						
	rside (·	ı	1		I	ı	T	ı					1
Yes	0	4	Yes	yes	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4
	tion Prog	•			ners. Re	etrofit pla	ans requi	ired in 1	80 days.						
	ical Mitig		tandards	: None											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Rohr	ert Pa	rk	T		T	T	1		T						T
Yes	0	0	N/A												
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
Techni	ical Mitig	gation S	tandards	:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Rolli	ng Hi	lls													
Yes	0	0	N/A												
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:					,					•		
Techni	ical Mitig	gation S	tandards	:											
	ss and R														
Rolli	ng Hi	lls Es	tates												
Yes	0	0	N/A												
	tion Prog		1	1		1			1		1	I	1	1	
	ical Mitig			:											
	ss and R														
Rose	mead							1		1					
Yes	0	6	Yes	yes		3					1		3	1	5
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mano	datory st	rengthe	ning									
Techni	ical Mitig	gation S	tandards	: 1985 Ed	dition of	Chapter	96 Los A	ngeles (County						
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													

	T .	1					C		14 (CIID	R # \			
	Jurisc	liction	1		1		Sui	vey K	esults (n	umbe	rs of UK	IVIS)		1	
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Ross		<u>'</u>				<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>
Yes	0	1	Yes	yes											
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	trengthe	ning							l e	I .	
			=			lix Chapt	er 1								
	ss and R					1									
Saint	t Hele	na													
Yes	29	1	Yes	Yes		6	7	0	4					13	all
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory se	eismic re	etrofit ord	dinance a	dopted	June 199	3			•		
ince As o	of Januar	y 2000, v	vas incor we are 1	porated : -1/2 yea	to encou rs into tl	rage con he progra	ım with h	alf of o	structural our URM of a Natic	buildin	gs are in	some sta	ige of reti	rofitting.	Ü
ince As o Ince to ta stre	of Januar entives ir ake adva amlined	y 2000, v iclude A ntage of	vas incor we are 1 &E reba a 20% fe	porated to 1/2 yean tes, build taxed	to encou rs into tl ling per	rage com he progra mit fee w	nm with h aivers, cr	alf of o	our URM	buildin nal Reg	gs are in gister His	some sta toric Dis	ige of reti strict that	rofitting. allows o	wners
Ince As o Ince to ta stre	of Januar entives ir ake adva amlined	ry 2000, v nclude A ntage of design r	vas incor we are 1 &E reba a 20% fe eview p	porated 1-1/2 yea tes, buildederal tax rocess.	to encou rs into tl ling per	rage com ne progra mit fee w For certifi	am with haivers, cred work,	alf of o eation adopti	our URM of a Natio	buildin nal Reg	gs are in gister His ct, permi	some sta toric Dis t renewa	ige of reti strict that	rofitting. allows cons, and	owners a
ince As o Ince to ta stre. Salin Yes	of Januar entives ir ake adva amlined	ry 2000, v nclude A ntage of design r	vas incor we are 1 &E reba a 20% fe eview p	porated 1-1/2 yea tes, build ederal tax rocess.	to encou rs into the ling per c credit f	rage com he progra mit fee w For certific	nm with h aivers, cr	alf of o	our URM of a Natio	buildin nal Reg	gs are in gister His	some sta toric Dis	ige of reti strict that	rofitting. allows o	wners
As of Incesto to tastre. Salin Yes Mitiga	of Januar entives ir ake adva amlined 135 0 tion Pro	ry 2000, v nclude A ntage of design r 54 gram Ty	vas incor we are 1 &E reba a 20% fo eview p Yes pe: Man	porated 1-1/2 yea tes, build tes, build tes rocess. yes datory st	to encours into the thing per to credit the thing per to credit the thing per the the thing the thin	rage com he progra mit fee w For certification 23 ning	am with haivers, cred work,	alf of ceation adopti	our URM of a Natio on of the	buildin nal Reg	gs are in gister His ct, permi	some sta toric Dis t renewa	ige of reti strict that	rofitting. allows cons, and	owners a
Ince As of Ince to ta stre Salin Yes Mitiga Techni Progres	of Januar entives in ake adva amlined 138 0 tion Proceed the standard the standa	y 2000, verified the state of t	yas incor we are 1 &E reba a 20% fe eview p Yes pe: Man tandard	porated to 1/2 yea tes, build dederal tax rocess. yes datory states 199 Ed y Counci	to encours into the ding period credit for the distribution of the	trage comme programment fee we for certification	m with haivers, cred work,	alf of deation adopti	our URM of a Natio on of the	buildin, nal Reg Mills A	gs are in gister His ct, permi	some sta toric Dis t renewa	age of reti strict that al extensi	rofitting. allows cons, and	owners a 54
Ince As of Ince to ta stre Salin Yes Mitiga Techni Progres	of Januar entives in ake adva amlined 138 0 tion Proceed the standard the standa	y 2000, verified the state of t	yas incor we are 1 &E reba a 20% fe eview p Yes pe: Man tandard	porated to 1/2 yea tes, build dederal tax rocess. yes datory states 199 Ed y Counci	to encours into the ding period credit for the distribution of the	trage comme programit fee we for certification with the weight and	m with haivers, cred work,	alf of deation adopti	our URM of a Nation of the 1	buildin, nal Reg Mills A	gs are in gister His ct, permi	some sta toric Dis t renewa	age of reti strict that al extensi	rofitting. allows cons, and	owners a 54
Salin Yes Mitiga Techni Progres	of Januar entives in ake adva amlined 138 0 tion Proceed the standard the standa	y 2000, vectors and the state of the state o	yas incor we are 1 &E reba a 20% fe eview p Yes pe: Man tandard	porated to 1/2 yea tes, build dederal tax rocess. yes datory states 199 Ed y Counci	to encours into the ding period credit for the distribution of the	trage comme programment fee we for certification	m with haivers, cred work,	alf of deation adopti	our URM of a Nation of the 1	buildin, nal Reg Mills A	gs are in gister His ct, permi	some sta toric Dis t renewa	age of reti strict that al extensi	rofitting. allows cons, and	owners a 54
Salin Yes Mitiga Techni Progres	of Januar entives in ake adva amlined 13S 0 tion Projectal Mitigs and R h federal	y 2000, vectors and the state of the state o	yas incor we are 1 &E reba a 20% fe eview p Yes pe: Man tandard	porated to 1/2 yea tes, build dederal tax rocess. yes datory states 199 Ed y Counci	to encours into the ding period credit for the distribution of the	trage comme programment fee we for certification	m with haivers, cred work,	alf of deation adopti	our URM of a Nation of the 1	buildin, nal Reg Mills A	gs are in gister His ct, permi	some sta toric Dis t renewa	age of reti strict that al extensi	rofitting. allows cons, and	owners a 54
Salin Yes Mitiga Techni Progres with San A	of Januar entives ir ake adva amlined 138 0 tion Propical Mitigs and R h federal 4nseln 0	y 2000, verified the state of t	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	porated to 1/2 yea tes, build dederal tax rocess. yes datory states 199 Ed y Counci	to encours into the ling per secredit for the line trengthe ition of the line trens Act re	rage combe programit fee work for certification with the work for certification with the work for the work fo	The state of the s	alf of deation adopti	our URM of a Natio on of the	buildin, nal Reg Mills A	gs are in gister His ct, permi	some sta toric Dis t renewa	age of reti strict that al extensi	allows cons, and	owners a 54
Salin Yes Mitiga Techni Progres with San A	of Januar entives in ake adva amlined as tion Pro cal Miti ss and R h federal 0 tion Pro tion Pro tion Pro tion Pro	y 2000, vericularly 2000, vericularly 2000, vericularly 24 gram Tygation Semarks: America 100 21 110 110 110 110 110 110	yas incor we are 1 &E reba a 20% for eview p Yes yes The City an with Yes yes Man	porated to 1/2 yea tes, build dederal tax rocess. yes datory states 199 Ed y Counci Disabiliti	to encours into the ding per second to the di	the UCBC idering of equirements of the UCBC identification of the	The state of the s	alf of deation adoption 2 lix Charelax t	our URM of a Natio on of the	buildin, mal Reg Mills A	gs are in gister His ct, permi	some sta toric Dis t renewa	age of reti strict that al extensi	allows cons, and	owners a 54
Salin Yes Mitiga Techni Progree with Yes Mitiga Techni Techni	of Januar entives in ake adva amlined as tion Pro cal Miti ss and R h federal 0 tion Pro tion Pro tion Pro tion Pro	y 2000, verified the state of t	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Man with Yes Yes Yes Yes	porated to 1/2 yea tes, build dederal tax rocess. yes datory states 199 Ed y Counci Disabiliti	to encours into the ding per second to the di	the UCBC idering of equirements of the UCBC identification of the	The state of the s	alf of deation adoption 2 lix Charelax t	our URM of a Natio on of the	buildin, mal Reg Mills A	gs are in gister His ct, permi	some sta toric Dis t renewa	age of reti strict that al extensi	allows cons, and	owners a 54
Salin Yes Mitiga Techni Progres with Yes Mitiga Techni Progres	of Januar entives ir ake adva amlined as otion Proceedings and R federal Anseli otion Procedings and R federal	y 2000, verified and the state of design research to the state of the	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes An with Yes Yes Yes An with	porated to 1/2 yea tes, build dederal tax rocess. yes datory states 199 Ed y Counci Disabiliti	to encours into the ding per second to the di	the UCBC idering of equirements of the UCBC identification of the	The state of the s	alf of deation adoption 2 lix Charelax t	our URM of a Natio on of the	buildin, mal Reg Mills A	gs are in gister His ct, permi	some sta toric Dis t renewa	age of reti strict that al extensi	allows cons, and	owners a 54
Salin Yes Mitiga Techni Progres with Yes Mitiga Techni Progres	of Januar entives in ake adva amlined 13S 0 tion Propical Mitis s and R defend 10 tion Propical Mitis s and R defended to the second	y 2000, verified and the state of design research to the state of the	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes An with Yes Yes Yes An with	porated to 1/2 yea tes, build dederal tax rocess. yes datory states 199 Ed y Counci Disabiliti	to encours into the ding per second to the di	the UCBC idering of equirements of the UCBC identification of the	The state of the s	alf of deation adoption 2 lix Charelax t	our URM of a Natio on of the	buildin, mal Reg Mills A	gs are in gister His ct, permi	some sta toric Dis t renewa	age of reti strict that al extensi	allows cons, and	owners a 54
Salin Yes Mitiga Techni Progres with San A Yes Mitiga Techni Progres San I No	of Januar entives in ake adva amlined as otion Production Produc	y 2000, vericular Antage of design resides and the semants: The semants: American Semants: American Semants: The semants and semants: Coult 6	Yes Pe: Man tandard: Yes Pe: Man tandard: Yes Pe: Man tandard: No	porated porated porated tes, build be deral tax rocess. yes datory states and test tax rocess. yes datory states and test tax rocess. yes datory states and tax rocess.	to encours into the ling per second to the ling per second to the line	rage combe programit fee work for certification of the work for ce	The state of the s	alf of ceation adoption 2 lix Charelax t	our URM of a Natio on of the 14 pter 1 heir URM	buildin, mal Reg	gs are in gister His ct, permi	some state toric Distremental trenewa	age of retistrict that all extension	allows cons, and	owners a 54
Salin Yes Mitiga Techni Progres Mitiga Techni Progres Mitiga Techni Progres Mitiga Techni No Mitiga	of Januar entives in ake adva amlined as otion Propical Mitiss and R h federal otion Propical Mitiss and R as and R b federal otion Propical Mitiss and R as and R	y 2000, vericular Antage of design residues Antage of design residues Section	Yes pe: Man Yes pe: Man tandard: Yes pe: Man tandard: Yes pe: Man tandard: Yes pe: Man tandard: Yes pe: Man tandard:	porated to 1/2 yea tes, build ederal tax rocess. yes datory stay Counci Disabiliti datory stay UCBC	to encours into the ling per second to the ling per second to the line	rage combe programit fee work for certification of the work for ce	The state of the s	alf of ceation adoption 2 lix Charelax t	our URM of a Natio on of the 14 pter 1 heir URM	buildin, mal Reg	gs are in gister His ct, permi	some state toric Distremental trenewa	age of retistrict that all extension	allows cons, and	owners a 54

				1											
	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey Re	esults (n	umber	s of URI	Ms)			1
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
San	Bernai	rdino													
Yes	0	136	Yes	yes	11	unkn own	2	0	0	7	7	0	unkn own	109	136
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Othe	r		1								1	
Techn	ical Mitig	gation S	tandards	s: UCBC	Append	ix Chapte	er 1, 1991	edition	l .						
wit stre	k of an en h the UC engthenin lding.	BC Code	e are in c	omplian	ce with t	he entity	progran	n, for the	ey are the	same.	The ordi	nance re	quiring r	nandato	ry
San	Bernai	rdino	Coun	ty	ı		T	ı		ı		T			1
Yes	0	21	Yes												
	tion Pro				ners										
	ical Miti			s: None											
Progre	ess and R	emarks:													
San	Bruno														
Yes	0	5	Yes	yes		4									1
Mitiga	tion Prog		1	1 -	engtheni										
	ical Miti						dix Chap	oter 1							
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	Update	of status	in proce	ss. New	building	gofficial	(Thomas	Leonar	d) will st	art 3-6-0	00.		
San	Carlos														
Yes	0	12	Yes	yes	6		1		1	1	1	0		2	12
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Volu	ntary str	engthen	ing		•	•	•	•			•	•
cas	ical Mitige by case ess and R	basis.		s: Divisio	on 88 Los	Angeles	City Co	de 1985	Edition, I	UCBC A	ppendix	Chapte	r 1 is also	allowed	l on a
8-6															
San	Clama														
	Cleme		No	MOC								9			9
Yes	0	2	No No	yes								2			2
Yes Mitiga		2 gram Ty	ре:									2			2

	Julisu	iction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
San I	Diego														
No			Yes												
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Para	pet stren	gthening	5	1			1	1	1	1	1	-1
	cal Mitig		·	•											
has		ised to 4	. They e				strictly bo M buildir		comply w	vith the l	aw. Sinc	e then, S	San Diego	o's Seism	nic Zon
Yes	4	34	Yes	Yes	8	11	0	2	0	0	2	1	Unkn own	22	46
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengther	ning					•		-		
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	andards	s: Februa	ry 1990 l	Edition S	SC Mode	l Ordina	ance						
	ss and Ro		Now 4 U	URMs are	e incorpo	orated in	Solano B	each, w	hich repl	ied to 19	95 surve	ey.			
Progres	ss and Ro	emarks:	Now 4 U	URMs are	e incorpo	orated in	Solano B	each, w	hich repl	ied to 19	95 surve	ey.			
Progres		emarks:	Now 4 U	URMs are	e incorpo	orated in	Solano B	each, w	nich repl	ied to 19	95 surve	ey.		5	0
Progres San 1 Yes	Dimas	emarks:	No	1		orated in	Solano B	each, w	hich repl	I		ey.		5	0
Progres San I Yes Mitiga	Dimas	emarks: 4 gram Ty	No pe:	yes		orated in	Solano B	each, w	hich repl	I		ey.		5	0
Progres San I Yes Mitigat	Dimas 5 tion Prog	emarks: 4 gram Typ	No pe:	yes		orated in	Solano B	each, w	hich repl	I		ey.		5	0
San I Yes Mitigat Techni Progres	Dimas 5 tion Prog	4 gram Ty gation St emarks:	No pe:	yes		orated in	Solano B	each, w	hich repl	I		ey.		5	0
Progres San I Yes Mitiga Techni Progres San I	5 tion Prog cal Mitig	4 gram Ty gation St emarks:	No pe:	yes		orated in	Solano B	each, w	hich repl	I		ey.		5	
San I Yes Mitigal Techni Progres San I	Dimas 5 tion Prog cal Mitig ss and Re Fernan	4 gram Tyj gation St emarks:	No pe: andards	yes s:	1	11	Solano B		hich repl	I		ey.		5	3
San I Yes Mitigal Techni Progres San I Yes Mitigal	5 tion Prog cal Mitig ss and Re Fernan 0 tion Prog	4 gram Tyj gation Stemarks: 12 gram Tyj	No pe: andards Yes pe: Man	yes s: yes datory st	1 rengther	11 ning		1		1	2		Modificati		
San I Yes Mitigal Techni Progres San I Yes Mitigal Techni	5 tion Prog cal Mitig ss and Re ernan 0 tion Prog cal Mitig	4 gram Typgation Stemarks: 12 gram Typgation St	No pe: andards Yes pe: Mane	yes yes yes datory st	1 rengther	11 ning dition of		1 88 City	of Los A	1 ngeles C	2 ode with	n ABK N	Modificati		
Progres San I Yes Mitigal Techni Progres Mitigal Techni Progres	5 tion Prog cal Mitig ss and Re ernan 0 tion Prog cal Mitig	gram Tylgation Stemarks: 12 gram Tylgation Stemarks:	No pe: andards Yes pe: Mane	yes yes yes datory st	1 rengther	11 ning dition of	Division	1 88 City	of Los A	1 ngeles C	2 ode with	n ABK N	Modificati		
Progres San I Yes Mitigal Techni Progres Mitigal Techni Progres	5 tion Prog cal Mitig ss and Re Cernan 0 tion Prog cal Mitig ss and Re	gram Tylgation Stemarks: 12 gram Tylgation Stemarks:	No pe: andards Yes pe: Mane	yes yes yes datory st	1 rengther	11 ning dition of	Division	1 88 City	of Los A	1 ngeles C	2 ode with	n ABK N	Modificati unkn own		

Technical Mitigation Standards: The URM building ordinance is based on the 1991 UCBC Appendix chapter 1 with modifications. The most significant change is the allowance of a seismic upgrade to "Bolts Plus" level for certain types of buildings: 1) Bolts-Plus Level; 2) Special Procedure (UCBC Appendix Chapter 1; 3) General Procedure (UCBC Appendix Chapter 1); 4) Retrofit for Essential & Hazardous Facilities; 5) Retrofit for Qualified Historical Buildings; 6) URM Buildings requiring Sections 104(f) and 2303(h) upgrade of the 1992 San Francisco Building Code. The Bolts-plus procedure is essentially a Special Procedure upgrade without a demand capacity ratio diaphragm check and an inplane/shear check of the wall. There are eight requirements specified in Section 1509(b) exception 1 that must be satisfied before a building may be retrofitted to a "Bolts-Plus" level of upgrade. Qualified Historical Buildings may be upgraded to provisions of the State Historical Building Code. Essential and Hazardous Buildings: For these buildings, a modified form of General Procedure is used (I=1.25; V=1.25 X 1991 UBC force level). URMs requiring Section 104(f) upgrade are equal to 75% of the 1991 UBC level of design force.

Progress and Remarks: The URM retrofit program started on February 15, 1993. Buildings with risk level 1 are required to be retrofitted in 3 1/2 years from that date. Other buildings with risk levels 2, 3 and 4 respectively have 5, 11 and 13 years from February 15, 1993, to complete their hazard mitigation programs levels of upgrade. The Building Inspection Commission has allocated \$200,000 in next year's budget to conduct inventories of all buildings of frames with infill walls. A 1990 program notified owners of bearing wall buildings. ...1891 URM Bearing Wall, 176 URM nonbearing walls identified so far. General obligation bond program.

	Jurisd	iction					Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
San G	Gabrie	el													
Yes	0	63	Yes												
Mitigati	ion Prog	ram Ty	pe: Mano	datory st	rengthen	ing									
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards	: 1985 Ec	dition of	Division	88 Los A	Angeles (City Cod	e					
Progress	s and Re	marks:													
San Ja	acinto														
Yes	0	17	Yes												
I	ion Prog														
	al Mitig			•											
Progress				•											
1108103	- unit														
San Jo	oaqui	n Cou	ınty												
Yes	0	0	N/A												
Mitigati	ion Prog	ram Ty _l	pe:												
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards	:											
Progress	s and Re	marks:													
San Jo	ose														
Yes	74	72	Yes	yes	102	38					6				146
-	· ·				rengthen										110
					1 San Jo		ance has	now be	en sunse	tted. Fu	ture buil	ding retr	ofits wil	l need to	1
				ver stand								0			
optic Build	ons inclu dings ret	ded: ret rofitted,	rofit, vad 6 buildi	ating the	dentified e builidir olished, ues to be	ng or der 36 buildi	nolition. ings vaca	Wareho nt pend	ouses we ing retro	re exem _l fit, 2 bui	ot. Progr lding sex	ram deta xempt as	ils are as	follows	
San Ju	uan B	autist	a												
Yes	0	13	No												
Mitigati	ion Prog	ram Tyj	pe:												
Technic	al Mitig	ation St	andards	:											
Progress	s and Re	marks:													
San Ju	uan C	apistı	rano												
Yes	16	3	Yes	yes	19	19									19
Mitigati	ion Prog	ram Ty	pe: Volu	ntary											
	al Mitig														
	s and Re														

	Juriso	liction	T		1		Su	rvey Re	esults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)	1	T	T
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
San 1	Leand	ro													
Yes	1	39	Yes	yes	0	30	1	5	0	0	5	4	0	0	40
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengthe	ning									
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	: Similai	to UCB	C Appin	dix; chap	ter 1							
	ss and R ist b uildi					t the City	y has forr	ned an a	assessme	nt distri	ct to fund	d a low ii	nterest lo	oan prog	ram to
San 1	Luis C	bispo)												
Yes	38	89	Yes	yes	11	120	10	1	0	5	1	0	?	1	127
	tion Pro							/4/94.	Strengthe	ening red	quired w	hen alte	rations e	xceed 50	% of
	ical Miti														
Yes Mitiga	Luis C 0 ation Propical Mitig	65 gram Ty	Yes pe: Man	datory	Append	2 lix Chap	ter 1							63	
	ss and R														
	Marco		37/4												
Yes	0	0	N/A												
	ition Pro ical Miti			,•											
Progre					y tentativ	vely iden	tified 1 C	Concrete	Masonry	y Unit bu	uilding tl	hat was l	ater four	nd to be	
San l	Marin	0						T							
Yes	0	13	Yes	yes	13										
	ition Pro ndards.	gram Ty	pe: Notic	ces to ow	ners, red	quired er	ngineerin	g inspec	ction, wri	tten repo	ort, City	reserves	right to	impose	
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	: SSC 19	87 Mode	l Ordina	nce								
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	Progran	n consist	s of a res	olution									
San 1	Mateo)													
Yes	7	14	Yes	yes	0	17	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	21
	tion Pro														
	ical Miti	-											• • •		
	ss and R npliance.					not yet i	required	to subm	it. All ca	itegory I	building	gs have a	chieved	some lev	el of

	Juriso	liction					Su	rvey R	esults (n	umbe	rs of UR	(Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
San	Mateo	Cour	nty	•		-			1	1		-		1	
Yes	4	3	Yes	yes	3	3								1	T
Mitiga	ation Pro	gram Ty	pe: Volu		rengthen	ing, engi	ineer's str	uctura	l report, n	otices t	o owners	s, chang	e of use/o	occupano	y,
der	molition														
			tandard	s: 1985 E	dition of	Division	1 88, 1973	B UBC f	or non be	aring v	vall URM	l buildir	igs, State	Historica	ıl
	ilding Co					-									
_			0					ecomme	ends stren	gtheni	ng withir	ı three y	ears othe	rwise a	
IIIa	ndatory s	suenguie	ening or	umance	will be cc	nsidered	u.								
San	Pablo														
No	0	6	No	yes											1
	ation Pro		1	7 00											
	ical Miti	•		s: UCBC											
		_			ram in pr	ogress o	of being e	stablish	ed. Surve	v being	g updated	······································			
8	755 4114 10			on prog	ш. р.	081000	i being e	J. C.	iou. Dui ve	<i>y</i> being	5 upuatee				
San	Rafael	l													
Yes	0	44	Yes	yes		43	1								44
Mitiga	ation Pro	gram Ty	pe: Parti	ial mand	atory str	engtheni	ing		-				,	-	
Techn	ical Miti	gation S	tandard	s: 1990 E	dition of	the SSC	Model O	rdinan	ce, partia	l comp	liance wi	th the U	CBC		
Progre	ess and R	emarks:	A 1990	ordinanc	e was vo	luntary	strengthe	ning.							
San	Ramo	n		T				I	1	T					
Yes	0	0	N/A												
Mitiga	ation Pro	gram Ty	pe:												
Techn	ical Miti	gation S	tandard	s:											
Progre	ess and R	emarks:													
C	1.04														
	d City														
Yes	0	0	N/A												
	ation Pro														
	ical Miti			s:											
Progre	ess and R	emarks:													
Sant	a Ana														
		007	17	T	100	100					F 4	1.4			000
Yes	0	207	Yes	yes	139	139	1				54	14			207
	ation Pro						000 5 3:		A -	~·· ~	1				
									Angeles	Jity Co	de				
rogre	ess and R	emarks:	City use	ed Marks	Bond A	ct funds	tor histor	rical bu	ildings.						

Ð	Juris	diction	1			1	Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of UR	Ms)	Т	1	1
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
San	ta Barl	oara													
Yes	80	183	Yes	yes	252	252	3	2	0		13				256
Mitig	ation Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory s	trengther	ning, imp	olemente	d in a di	strict by	district n	nanner.				
Techr	nical Miti	gation S	tandard	s: UCBC	Append	ix Chapt	er 1								
Progr	ess and R	emarks:	Seminar	s for cor	ntractors	and buil	ding insp	ectors.							
~		~													
San i Yes	ta Barł	21	Yes	yes	20	20	1	0	0	1(part of 20)	1 (part of 20)	1	0	2	23
Mitig	ation Pro	gram Tv	ne: Noti	ces to ov	vners mi	tigation	required	hased o	n occupa	nt load a	nd time	frame es	stablishe	d in UC	BC
						ugunon	required	buseu o	поссири	nt loud t	ina time	Trume e.	otabiisiic	u iii cc.	ьс.
	nical Miti					•					1				
Progr	ess and R	emarks:	The cou	nty pass	ed a man	datory s	trengthe	ning orc	linance b	ased on t	the 1991	UCBC.			
~															
Sant	ta Clar	a		,				1		1					ı
Yes	0	24	Yes	yes	3	10	1	1	6		7			6	
		anom Tr	mar Valu	ntary st	rengtheni	ing - first	t of three	phases.							
Mitig	ation Pro	gram 1 y	pe: voiu		O	O	or unce	P. Labour							
			_				or unce	pridocoi							
Techn	nical Miti	gation S	- tandard:	s:					n a 5 year	· paybacl	k.				
Techn		gation S	- tandard:	s:					n a 5 year	· paybacl	k.				
Techn Progre	nical Miti ess and R	gation S emarks:	tandards 3% inter	s:					n a 5 year	paybacl	k.				
Techn Progre	nical Miti	gation S emarks:	tandards 3% inter	s: rest loan		enginee			n a 5 year	· paybacl	k.		4		60
Technology Progre Sant Yes	nical Mitiess and R	gation S emarks: Ca Cou	tandards 3% inter nty Yes	rest loan yes	s to fund	enginee	ring anal	ysis wit				who ma	_	Pir own t	
Technorman Programmer Sant Yes Mitigation	nical Mitiess and Relaced to Clares 2 ation Pro	gation S emarks: a Cou 58 gram Ty	tandards 3% inter nty Yes pe: Man	rest loan yes	s to fund	enginee	ring anal	ysis wit				who ma	_	eir own t	
Technorman Program Sant Yes Mitiga	ess and R ta Clar 2 ation Pro	gation S emarks: a Cou 58 gram Ty omplian	3% inter nty Yes pe: Mance.	yes datory s	s to fund	engineer 56 ning, exc	ring anal	ysis wit				who ma	_	eir own t	
Technory Sant Yes Mitigara Technory	ta Clar 2 ation Pro	gation S emarks: a Cou 58 gram Ty omplian gation S	andards 3% inter nty Yes pe: Man ce. tandards	yes datory s	s to fund trengther	engineer 56 ning, exc	ring anal	ysis with	more that	an two b	uildings		y set the		ime
Technory Sant Yes Mitigation Technory	ta Clar 2 ation Produces for conical Mities	gation S emarks: a Cou 58 gram Ty omplian gation S emarks:	Yes pe: Man ce. tandards Exception	yes datory s s: 1987 S on was n	s to fund trengther	engineer 56 ning, exc	ring anal	ysis with	more that	an two b	uildings		y set the		ime
Technory Sant Yes Mitigation Technory	ta Clar 2 ation Pro	gation S emarks: a Cou 58 gram Ty omplian gation S emarks:	Yes pe: Man ce. tandards Exception	yes datory s s: 1987 S on was n	s to fund trengther	engineer 56 ning, exc	ring anal	ysis with	more that	an two b	uildings		y set the		ime
Technory Sant Yes Mitigatra fra Technory ret	ta Clar 2 ation Pro ames for conical Mitiess and Re	gation S emarks: 58 gram Ty omplian gation S emarks: currentl	Yes pe: Man ce. tandards Exception	yes datory s s: 1987 S on was n	s to fund trengther	engineer 56 ning, exc	ring anal	ysis with	more that	an two b	uildings		y set the		
Technory Sant Yes Mitigg fra Technory ret Sant	ta Clar 2 ation Pro mes for conical Mitiess and R rofits are	gation S emarks: 58 gram Ty omplian gation S emarks: currentl	Yes pe: Man ce. tandards Exception y under	yes datory s s: 1987 S on was n design.	s to fund trengther SC Mode nade for S	56 ning, exc l Ordina Stanford	ring anal	ysis with	more that	an two b	uildings		y set the		ime
Technory Sant Yes Mitiga fra Technory Progra ret Sant Yes	ta Clar ation Pro ation Pro ation Pro ation Miti ess and R rofits are	gation S emarks: a Cou 58 gram Ty omplian gation S emarks: currentl	rtandards 3% inter Yes pe: Man ce. tandards Exception y under	yes datory s s: 1987 S on was n design.	s to fund trengther SC Mode nade for S	engineer 56 ning, exc l Ordina Stanford	ring anal	ysis with	more that	an two b	uildings		y set the		ime
Technormal Progress Fraction P	ta Clar 2 ation Pro mes for conical Mitiess and Re rofits are 1 0 ation Pro ation Pro	gation S emarks: 58 gram Ty omplian gation S emarks: currentl ita 4 gram Ty	Yes Exceptic y under Yes Yes And	yes datory s s: 1987 S on was n design. yes datory s	s to fund trengther SC Mode nade for S	engineer 56 ning, exc l Ordina Stanford 4 ning	ring anal	ysis with	more that	an two b	uildings		y set the		ime
Technory Sant Yes Mitigner Technory Sant Yes Mitigner Mitigner Technory Technory Technory Technory	ta Clar 2 ation Pro mes for conical Miti ess and R rofits are 1 0 ation Pro nical Miti	gation S emarks: a Cou 58 gram Ty omplian gation S emarks: currentl ita 4 gram Ty gation S	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	yes datory s s: 1987 S on was n design. yes datory s	s to fund trengther SC Mode nade for S	engineer 56 ning, exc l Ordina Stanford 4 ning	ring anal	ysis with	more that	an two b	uildings		y set the		ime
Technory Sant Yes Mitigner Technory Sant Yes Mitigner Mitigner Technory Technory Technory Technory	ta Clar 2 ation Pro mes for conical Mitiess and Re rofits are 1 0 ation Pro ation Pro	gation S emarks: a Cou 58 gram Ty omplian gation S emarks: currentl ita 4 gram Ty gation S	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	yes datory s s: 1987 S on was n design. yes datory s	s to fund trengther SC Mode nade for S	engineer 56 ning, exc l Ordina Stanford 4 ning	ring anal	ysis with	more that	an two b	uildings		y set the		ime
Technory Progre Yes Mitiga Technory Yes Mitiga Technory Technory Technory	ta Clar 2 ation Pro mes for conical Miti ess and R rofits are 1 0 ation Pro nical Miti	gation S emarks: a Cou 58 gram Ty omplian gation S emarks: current! 4 gram Ty gation S emarks:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	yes datory s s: 1987 S on was n design. yes datory s	s to fund trengther SC Mode nade for S	engineer 56 ning, exc l Ordina Stanford 4 ning	ring anal	ysis with	more that	an two b	uildings		y set the		ime
Technory Progres Mitiga Fracho Progres Sant Yes Mitiga Technory Technory Progres	ta Clar 2 ation Promes for conical Mities and Rectar 0 ation Promical Mitiess and Rectar	gation S emarks: a Cou 58 gram Ty omplian gation S emarks: currentl 4 gram Ty gation S emarks:	Yes Yes tandards Yes tandards Exception y under Yes Pe: Man tandards	yes datory s s: 1987 S on was n design. yes datory s	s to fund trengther SC Mode nade for S	engineer 56 ning, exc l Ordina Stanford 4 ning	ring anal	ysis with	more that	an two b	uildings		y set the		. 7
Technory Sant Yes Mitiga Frach Progre Yes Mitiga Technory Yes Mitiga Technory Progre	ta Clar 2 ation Promes for conical Mitiess and Rectarding Promical Mitiess and Rectarding Prom	gation S emarks: a Cou 58 gram Ty omplian gation S emarks: current! ita 4 gram Ty gation S emarks:	Yes Yes Pe: Man ce. tandards Exception y under Yes Yes	yes datory s s: 1987 S on was n design. yes datory s	s to fund trengther SC Mode nade for S 0 trengther dition Ch	engineer 56 ning, exc l Ordina Stanford 4 ning napter 96	ept for oversion Los Ang	ysis with	n can esta	an two bablish its	uildings own tin	ne frame	ay set the	npliance	. 7
Technory Sant Yes Mitiga fra Technory Progra Yes Mitiga Technory Yes Mitiga Technory Progra Mitiga Mitiga Mitiga Mitiga Mitiga Mitiga Mitiga Mitiga	ta Clar ation Promises and R ta Clar ation Promises and R ta Clar o ation Promiseal Mitiess and R	gation S emarks: a Cou 58 gram Ty omplian gation S current! ita 4 gram Ty gation S emarks: currents 27 gram Ty gram Ty	Yes Pe: Man Exceptic y under Yes Yes Yes Pe: Man tandards	yes datory s s: 1987 S on was n design. yes datory s	s to fund trengther SC Mode nade for S 0 trengther dition Ch	engineer 56 ning, exc l Ordina Stanford 4 ning napter 96	ept for oversion Los Ang	ysis with	n can esta	an two bablish its	uildings own tin	ne frame	ay set the	npliance	. 7
Fechnology Sant Yes Mitigg Frech Yes Mitigg Technology Frech Progre Frech Progre Sant Yes Mitigg Mitigg Ant Ant Mitigg Ant Mitigg Ant Ant Mitigg Ant Mitigg Ant A	ta Clar 2 ation Promes for conical Mitiess and Rectarding Promical Mitiess and Rectarding Prom	gation S emarks: a Cou 58 gram Ty omplian gation S emarks: currentl ita 4 gram Ty gation S emarks: z 27 gram Ty RM build	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	yes datory s s: 1987 S on was n design. yes datory s s: 1985 E	s to fund trengther SC Mode nade for S trengther dition Ch	engineer 56 ning, exc l Ordina Stanford 4 ning napter 96	ept for oversion of the control of t	wners of ty which	more that h can esta	an two bablish its	uildings own tin	ne frame	s for con	npliance	. 7

Progress and Remarks: Loma Prieta Earthquake damage prompted passage of two ordinances, a 1987 hazard reduction ordinance failed to pass.....24 Historic URM, 22 Non-historic URM were demolished, 5 others were severely damaged in Loma Prieta Earthquake.

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey R	esults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
==== Santa	a Cruz	. Cou	nty						<u>'</u>						
Yes	0	0	N/A												
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	:											
Progres	ss and R	emarks:													
Santa	a Fe S _l	nringe	3												
Yes	0	o o	N/A												
	tion Prog		l .												
			tandards	:											
	ss and R														
Santa	a Mari	i a													
Santa Yes	0 1 VIAI I	25	Yes	MOC	7	5	1		4		1	1		6	25
				yes	'	J	1		4		1				
buil Techni	dings, of cal Mitig	those 6	were reti	rofitted. : 1989 O	rdinance	e is based		987 Edi	ly applica						
buil Techni spec	dings, of cal Mitigoified 759	those 6 gation Se of Diviewarks:	were reti	rofitted. : 1989 O	rdinance	e is based	d on the 1	987 Edi							
buil Techni spec Progres	dings, of cal Mitig cified 759	those 6 gation Se of Diviewarks:	were reti	rofitted. : 1989 O	rdinance	e is based	d on the 1	987 Edi							
buil Techni spec Progres Santa Yes	dings, of cal Mitig cified 759 ss and Ro a Mon 0	those 6 gation Se % of Div emarks:	were reta tandards ision 88 (rofitted. : 1989 O City of L	rdinance os Ange	e is based les Code	l on the 1 Design I	987 Edi Forces		e SSC M	lodel Ord	dinance	Original	ordinan	ce
buil Technic spec Progres Santa Yes Mitigat Technic per	dings, of cal Mitigorified 759 ss and Rom Mon tion Prog	those 6 gation Se of Div. emarks: ica 209 gram Ty gation Se Santa M	were retuined and ards is is is 188 (Yes pe: Manords and ards is 188 (189 (189 (189 (189 (189 (189 (189	rofitted. : 1989 O City of L datory St	rdinance os Ange 144 trengthe	e is based les Code 154 ning for ix Chapt	d on the 1 Design I 10 all URMs	987 Edi Forces 15		e SSC M.	Iodel Ord	dinance.	Original	45 / 1981 ord	ce 209
Built Fechnics Santa Yes Mitigat Technic per UCH Progres Wall about will progres ording demonstrated to the control of the con	dings, of cal Mitigorified 75% and Rom Otion Program 1915/21 BC stand ss and Rom la anchor ut 80% or be retroigram encompared for the color of the	ithose 6 gation Se of Div. emarks: 209 gram Ty gation Se Santa M ards. emarks: certifica f Santa M fitted by ded with and rece 992, mar for redev grade we	Yes Pe: Mano tandards ision 88 0 Yes Pe: Mano tandards fonica coo URM inv tion requ Monica's 1996/97 the clean orded "no datory s velopmen ork not do	latory Si : UCBC des. Upg ventory vired by total 197 . Curren r signification pot trengther at, 14 de one). No	144 trengthe Append grades for was don ordinan '8 URM atly 20+ a cant "luc entially ening ord molishe ote: Repa	154 ning for ix Chaptor termin e in 1977 ce in 198 inventor are in the ky" effect hazardo dinance d from 1 hir and up	10 all URMs er 1 standation of t and notif 1. Engine y has bee eretrofit person of the us buildin256 tota /94 earth	987 Edi Forces 15 s dards by he 1978 dice of poer's seis n resolv process. Northri ng"; 198 l city Ul quake cork is in	tion of the	2 ce 1992. cd 1992. cdinance car/publi n the cit ed anche ced - 6 v 09 rema	41 Wall and tentially ous build eport orders. The man or opposity's URM ors ordination of the control of the co	5 chors reclinance ajority of tion to t (s. Mitigance; 19 s non Uly y URMs	100 quired by our buildin 1989. A of the rem his city U gation pro 189, required M build to (144 upg	45 V 1981 ording notice URMs in As of 1/1, naining URM upgogram proceed SE reings, 27 grade wo	209 dinances per 1978. /95 JRMs rade pocess: port
buil Techni spec Progres Santa Yes Mitigat Techni per UCH Progres Wal abot will prog 1975 ordi dem don majo	dings, of cal Mitigorified 75% and Roman Mon 0 tion Program 1915/21 BC stand as and Roman end 5-78, Invitable, 65 upgor damager of damager and second	those 6 gation Se % of Div. emarks: 209 gram Ty gation Se Santa M ards. emarks: certifica f Santa M fitted by led with and reco g92, mar for redev grade wo	Yes Pe: Mano tandards ision 88 0 Yes Pe: Mano tandards fonica coo URM inv tion requ Monica's 1996/97 the clean orded "no datory s velopmen ork not do	latory Si : UCBC des. Upg ventory vired by total 197 . Curren r signification pot trengther at, 14 de one). No	144 trengthe Append grades for was don ordinan 78 URM attly 20+ a cant "luc entially ening ord molishe ote: Repa	154 ning for ix Chaptor termin e in 1977 ce in 198 inventor are in the ky" effect hazardo dinance d from 1 hir and up	10 all URMs er 1 standation of t and noti 1. Engine y has beed eretrofit person the us buildin256 tota /94 earth pgrade w	987 Edi Forces 15 s dards by he 1978 dice of poer's seis n resolv process. Northri ng"; 198 l city Ul quake cork is in	y ordinan city record tentially smic evalue and per or All owned dge EQ or 1, require RM's notil	2 ce 1992. cd 1992. cdinance car/publi n the cit ed anche ced - 6 v 09 rema	41 Wall and tentially ous build eport orders. The man or opposity's URM ors ordination of the control of the co	5 chors reclinance ajority of tion to t (s. Mitigance; 19 s non Uly y URMs	100 quired by our buildin 1989. A of the rem his city U gation pro 189, required M build to (144 upg	45 V 1981 ording notice URMs in As of 1/1, naining URM upgogram proceed SE reings, 27 grade wo	209 dinances per 1978. /95 JRMs rade pocess: port
buil Techni spec Progres Santa Yes Mitigat Techni per UCH Progres Wal abot will prog 1975 ordi dem don majo	dings, of cal Mitigorified 75% and Rom Otion Program 1915/21 BC stand ss and Rom la anchor ut 80% or be retroigram encompared for the color of the	those 6 gation Se % of Div. emarks: 209 gram Ty gation Se Santa M ards. emarks: certifica f Santa M fitted by led with and reco g92, mar for redev grade wo	Yes Pe: Mano tandards ision 88 0 Yes Pe: Mano tandards fonica coo URM inv tion requ Monica's 1996/97 the clean orded "no datory s velopmen ork not do	latory Si : UCBC des. Upg ventory vired by total 197 . Curren r signification pot trengther at, 14 de one). No	144 trengthe Append grades for was don ordinan 78 URM attly 20+ a cant "luc entially ening ord molishe ote: Repa	154 ning for ix Chaptor termin e in 1977 ce in 198 inventor are in the ky" effect hazardo dinance d from 1 hir and up	10 all URMs er 1 standation of t and noti 1. Engine y has beed eretrofit person the us buildin256 tota /94 earth pgrade w	987 Edi Forces 15 s dards by he 1978 dice of poer's seis n resolv process. Northri ng"; 198 l city Ul quake cork is in	y ordinan city record tentially smic evalu- ved per or All owned dge EQ or 1, require RM's notil	2 ce 1992. cd 1992. cdinance car/publi n the cit ed anche ced - 6 v 09 rema	41 Wall and tentially ous build eport orders. The man or opposity's URM ors ordination of the control of the co	5 chors reclinance ajority of tion to t (s. Mitigance; 19 s non Uly y URMs	100 quired by our buildin 1989. A of the rem his city U gation pro 189, required M build to (144 upg	45 V 1981 ording notice URMs in As of 1/1, naining URM upgogram proceed SE reings, 27 grade wo	209 dinances per 1978. /95 JRMs rade pocess: port
buil Techni spec Progres Santa Yes Mitigat Techni per UCH Progres Wal abou will prog 1975 ordi dem don maju Santa	dings, of cal Mitigorified 75% and Roman Mon 0 tion Program 1915/21 BC stand as and Roman end 5-78, Invitable, 65 upgor damagna Paul 2	those 6 gation Se % of Div. emarks: 209 gram Ty gation Se Santa M ards. emarks: certifica f Santa M fitted by ded with and reco gespe from televers grade wo	Yes Pe: Mano tandards ision 88 0 Yes Pe: Mano tandards onica coo URM inv tion requ Monica's 1996/97 the clean orded "no datory s velopmen ork not do the 1/94	datory St.: UCBC des. Upgventory streed by total 197. Current signification potterngther, 14 depends, No EQ and	rdinance os Anger 144 trengthe Appende grades for ordinant "lucter 144 trengthe g	154 ning for ix Chaptor termin e in 1977 ce in 198 inventor are in the ky" effect hazardod dinance d from 1 ir and up till pend	10 all URMs er 1 standation of t and noti 1. Engine y has beed eretrofit person the us buildin256 tota /94 earth pgrade w	987 Edi Forces 15 s dards by he 1978 dice of poer's seis n resolv process. Northri ng"; 198 l city Ul quake cork is in	y ordinan city record tentially smic evalu- ved per or All owned dge EQ or 1, require RM's notil	2 ce 1992. cd 1992. cdinance car/publi n the cit ed anche ced - 6 v 09 rema	41 Wall and tentially ous build eport orders. The man or opposity's URM ors ordination of the control of the co	5 chors reclinance ajority of tion to t (s. Mitigance; 19 s non Uly y URMs	100 quired by our buildin 1989. A of the rem his city U gation pro 189, required Muldin (144 upg	45 7 1981 ording notice URMs in the second of 1/1, the second of 1/1	209 dinances per 1978. /95 JRMs rade pocess: port
buil- Fechnic spector of the spector	dings, of cal Mitigorified 75% and Roman Mon 0 tion Program Grand Roman	those 6 gation So of Div. emarks: 209 gram Ty gation So Santa M ards. emarks: certifica f Santa N fitted by ded with and reco 992, mar for redev grade wo ge from to 109 gram Ty	Yes pe: Mano tandards onica coo URM inv tion requ Monica's 1996/97 the clean orded "no datory s velopmen ork not do the 1/94 Yes Pe: Volum	datory St.: UCBC des. Upgurentory total 197. Current resignification of the control of the contr	rdinance os Anger 144 trengthe Appender for a URM ordinant "luctentially ening ordinant ordinant ordinant "luctentially ening ordina	154 ning for lix Chaptor termin e in 1977 ce in 198 inventor are in the ky" effect hazardor dinance d from 1 hir and uptill pend	10 all URMs er 1 stand ation of t and notif 1. Engine y has bee eretrofit pets of the us buildin256 tota /94 earth pgrade w ing demo	987 Edi Forces 15 S dards by the 1978 fice of power's seison resolvorocess. Northring"; 1981 city Ulquake cork is in polition.	y ordinan city record tentially smic evalu- ved per or All owned dge EQ or 1, require RM's notil	2 ce 1992. rded por hazardo uation re dinance r/publi n the cit ed anche ced - 6 v 09 rema s on 12 c	Wall and tentially bus build eport orders. The more of the composition of the city of the city.	chors reclainance ajority of tion to	100 quired by our buildin 1989. A of the ren his city U gation pro 189, required to 189, required to 144 upg I building	45 7 1981 ording notice URMs in As of 1/1. naining URM upgogram program program grad SE reings, 27 grade wo	209 dinances per 1978. /95 JRMs rade pocess: port

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey R	esults (1	numbe	rs of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Santa	a Rosa	<u> </u>													
Yes	0	67	Yes	yes	46	46	1		1	1	10	2		19	67
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Mano	latory st	rengther	ning, req	uired pre	elimina	ry review	, prope	rty owner	review,	retrofit o	or demol	ition.
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	: 1955 U	ВС										
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Sarat	toga														
Yes	0	0	N/A		2										
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe:												
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Saus	alito														
Yes	7	2	No	yes	2	2				1		1		9	
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe:												
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Scott	s Vall	ley													
Yes	0	0	N/A												
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Seal	Beach	l													
Yes	0	10	No		2	2									
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe:												
Techni	cal Miti	gation S	tandards	:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Seasi	1			ı	T	T		ı							
Yes	0	25	Yes												
			pe: Volu					· .							
	cal Mitig		tandards	: Similar	to the 1	987 SSC	Model O	rdinan	ce						
	stopo]														
Yes	Stopo.	0	Yes	yes		24	0					1		3	
			pe: Coun		V 11Δ I 4			o Owne	rs			1		<u> </u>	
			tandards		y 11ALC	ALC: Y 101	Dununi	5 OWIIE	13						
					nnlete	All huild	ings regi	iired to	he in co	mnliano	e with po	licy 11₋A	have ho	en retro	fit
riogre	os ana K	emaiks:	THE PHOE	51 0111 15 (opiete. I	an bullu	migs requ	an eu iC	DE III CO	mhnque	e wim bo	псу 11-А	nave be	enreuo	11.

	Jurisd	liction					Sı	urve	y Re	sults (n	umbe	rs of	URI	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under	Retrofit Permit Issued		Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished		Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Shaf	ter																
Yes	0	27	Yes	yes		27							1	1	25		27
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Notic	es to ow	/ners & j	posts sign	ns										"
Techni	ical Mitig	gation St	tandards	: None													
Progre	ss and R	emarks:															
Sierr	a Mad	lre															
Yes	0	51	Yes			2	2		2	4			6			35	
	tion Prog			l datory st	rengthe		~		~		1			<u> </u>		30	
	ical Mitig						1										
	ss and R			. 2.71510	55 100	2010101	-										
Sign	al Hill	l															
Yes	0	0	N/A														
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:									•			·		
Techni	ical Mitig	gation St	tandards	:													
Progre	ss and R	emarks:															
Simi	Valle	v															
Yes	2	0	Yes	yes	0	1	0		0	0	0		1	0	0	0	2
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Mand		rengthe	ning		_		1							
	ical Mitig						nce										
	ss and R																
Solar	no Coi	unty															
Yes	0	2	Yes	yes	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	2	
	tion Prog	gram Ty			Only	1	1	-1		II.	1			I.	1	1	1
	ical Mitig																
	ss and R																
Sole	dad																
Yes	0	4	Yes								1				4	4	4
	tion Prog																
	ical Mitig		tandards	: UCBC	Append	lix Chapt	er 1 198	7 Ed	ition								
Progre	ss and R	emarks:															
Solva	ang																
Yes	0	3	Yes				1									3	3
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Notic	ces to ow	ners												
Techni	ical Mitig	gation S	tandards	: None													
Progre	ss and R	emarks:															
						_											

	Juriso	liction					Su	rvey	y Re	sults (n	umber	s of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued		Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Sono	oma															
Yes	28	28	Yes	yes	16	22	5		1	8	0	4	0	0	21	56
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Mano		rengther	ning										
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	- tandards	: Similar	to the Sa	anta Ros	a Prograi	m or	·UC	ВС Арре	ndix C	hapter 1,	or SHBL	if appli	cable.	
	ss and R								r cos	t of deve	loping	upgradin	g plans.	Commu	ınity	
red	levelopm	ent agen	cy pays f	or cost o	of URM u	ıpgradin	g permit	S.								
Sono	oma C	ountv														
Yes	14	300	Yes	yes	7	314	2		2	2	0	0	2	0	305	314
	tion Pro						1	1		<u> </u>				1		
	ical Miti					ix Chapte	er 1									
	ess and R	_														
_		_														
Sout	h El M	Ionte		Т	T	1	1			I		1		1		
Yes	0	0	N/A													
	tion Pro															
	ical Miti			:												
Progre	ess and R	emarks:														
Sout	h Gate	e														
Yes	0	47	Yes	yes	42	42	0		0	0	0	6	2	3		47
Mitiga	tion Pro	1				ning	I									
	ical Miti						del Ordir	nanc	e							
	ess and R	_														
		_														
Sout	h Pasa	<u>idena</u>		Т	T	1	1			I		1		1		
Yes	3	38	Yes		32	32	2			3		1			3	32
	tion Pro		=													
	ical Miti			: 1982 E	dition of	Division	88 City	of L	os A	ngeles C	ode					
Progre	ess and R	emarks:														
Sout	h San	Franc	isco													
Yes	0	14	Yes	yes	9		0			0		1	0		4	14
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Mano	latory S	rengthe	ning, Coi	mplete re	etrof	it wi	thin 7 ye	ars or a	t time of	sale, wh	ichever (comes fir	st.
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	: UCBC	Appendi	ix Chapte	er 1									
Progre	ess and R	emarks:														
Stan	ton															
Yes	0	0	N/A													
	tion Pro	1		<u> </u>				1								
	ical Miti		_	:												
	ess and R	_														
8-0																

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey l	Results (n	umbe	ers of U	RMs)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Suisi	un Cit	v										•	•		
Yes	0	19	Yes												19
	tion Prog	1		res to ow	ners										10
	ical Mitig				VIICIS										
	ss and R			•											
Tiogre	ss and iv	emarks.													
Sunn	ıyvale														
Yes	10	0	Yes	yes										10	10
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Notic	ces to ow	vners, ed	lucationa	l materia	l, volu	ıntary engi	neerin	g reports	, review	by city af	ter one y	ear.
Techni	ical Mitiş	gation S	tandards	: None											
	ss and Relier surve							ndix C	Chapter 1 fo	or adop	otion by	the City C	Council ir	June 19	92. An
Taft								_							
Yes	0	42	No	yes								2	2	40	
	tion Prog	gram Ty	4	13						1					
_	ical Mitig			s:											
	ss and R														
	chapi			ı											
Yes	0	9	No												
	tion Prog														
	ical Mitię		tandards	S:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:													
Tem	ple Ci	tv													
Yes	0	6	Yes												
	tion Prog		4	datory st	trengthe	ning									
		•					ngeles Ca	ounty	Code 1985	Fditio	n				
	ss and R			. Chapte	7 00 01 0	ne Los / I	ingeres e	Junty	Couc 1000	Lartio	11				
	ısand														
Yes	0	0	N/A												
	tion Prog		1	1		1				1					
	ical Mitig	•	_	¥:											
	ss and R														
Trogre	ss and IV														
Tibu	ron														
Yes	0	1	No	yes		1									
	tion Prog		1	1 -	_1	_1	ı	<u> </u>	1	1		I			1
_	ical Mitig			s:											
	ss and R														
38-0															

oleted	Juriso	liction	T		1	T-	Su	rvey Re	sults (n	umbers	of URI	Ms)	1		
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Torra	ance														
Yes	0	50	Yes	yes	0	43	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	50
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man		rengther	ning	1		1		П			1	1
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	: 1982 Ed	dition of	Division	88 Los A	angeles	City Cod	e					
	ss and R					pay for t	he engin	eering a	nalysis a	\$0.50/	Sq. Ft. Fo	rmed \$6	79,000 a	ssessmen	ıt
uist	11101 0	WHEIS W	110 01100.	se to join	•										
Tula	re			1	1	1	1	ı	1		T	T.		1	
No			No												
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe:												
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	:											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	Indicate	d invente	ory starte	ed but no	ot comple	eted prio	r to 1992	survey	with no	reports s	ince.		
Tusti	in														
Yes	0	8	Yes	yes		8	0	0							
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Tv	1		rengther	ning								1	1
	ical Miti	•					nce								
							Grants fo	r un to S	2000 pro	vided fo	r engine	ering co	ete		
110810	bb und 10	<u> </u>	Сопппа	inty Dev	сторинен	Dioen (r up to c	zooo pro	videa ie	n engine	cring co.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Twe	ntynir	ne Pal	ms												
- ***	0	27	Yes												
	-		1	ntarv str	_ engtheni	ng engi	neer's str	uctural	report le	tters of i	intent de	molition	for uns	atisfacto	rv,
Yes	tion Pro	gram Tv		11001.)				acturur		tterb or	income, ac			acidi acco.	
Yes Mitiga	tion Pro			are exer	npt.										ı y
Yes Mitiga pro	gress, his	storical b	uildings			Division	88 as mo	odified f		ouilding	s, 1973 L	JBC for r	non-URN		
Yes Mitiga pro Techni	gress, his	storical b	uildings			Division	88 as mo	odified f		ouilding	gs, 1973 L	JBC for r	non-URN		
Yes Mitiga pro Techni buil	gress, his ical Miti	storical b	uildings			Division	88 as mo	odified f		ouilding	gs, 1973 U	JBC for r	non-URN		
Yes Mitiga pro Techni buil Progre	gress, his ical Miti ldings ss and R	storical b	uildings			Division	88 as mo	odified f		ouilding	gs, 1973 U	JBC for r	non-URN		
Yes Mitiga pro Techni buil Progre	gress, his ical Miti ldings ss and R	storical b	uildings	: 1985 Ed			88 as mo	odified f		ouilding	s, 1973 U	JBC for r	1	A bearing	g wall
Yes Mitiga pro Techni buil Progre Ukia Yes Mitiga	gress, his ical Miti ldings ss and R h 0 ition Pro	storical b gation S emarks: 48 gram Ty	yes Pe: Engin	yes	dition of	48	88 as mo		or URM				40	A bearing	g wall
Yes Mitiga pro Techni buil Progre Ukia Yes Mitiga buil	gress, his ical Miti ldings ss and R h 0 tion Pro	gation S emarks: 48 gram Ty ue on an	Yes pe: Enginy one pe	yes neer's str	dition of	48 report, po	osting, st		or URM				40	A bearing	g wall
Yes Mitiga pro Techni buil Progre Ukia Yes Mitiga buil Techni	gress, his ical Miti idings ss and R h o tion Pro Iding val ical Miti	emarks: 48 gram Ty ue on an	Yes pe: Engiry y one pertandards	yes neer's str	dition of	48 report, po Building	osting, st	ructural	or URM				40	A bearing	g wall
Yes Mitiga pro Techni buil Progre Ukia Yes Mitiga buil Techni	gress, his ical Miti idings ss and R h o tion Pro Iding val ical Miti	emarks: 48 gram Ty ue on an	Yes pe: Engiry y one pertandards	yes neer's str	dition of	48 report, po Building	osting, st	ructural	or URM				40	A bearing	g wall
Yes Mitiga pro Techni buil Progre Ukia Yes Mitiga buil Techni Progre	gress, his ical Miti idings ss and R h o tion Pro Iding val ical Miti	emarks: 48 gram Ty ue on an gation S emarks:	Yes pe: Engiry y one pertandards	yes neer's str	dition of	48 report, po Building	osting, st	ructural	or URM				40	A bearing	g wall
Yes Mitiga pro Techni buil Progre Ukia Yes Mitiga buil Techni Progre	gress, his ical Miti ldings ss and R h 0 ition Pro lding val ical Miti ss and R	emarks: 48 gram Ty ue on an gation S emarks:	Yes pe: Engiry y one pertandards	yes neer's str	dition of	48 report, po Building	osting, st	ructural	or URM				40	A bearing	g wall
Yes Mitiga pro Techni buil Progre Ukia Yes Mitiga buil Techni Progre	gress, his ical Miti ldings ss and R h 0 ition Pro lding val ical Miti ss and R on City	gation S emarks: 48 gram Ty ue on an gation S emarks:	Yes Pe: Enging one per tandards Earlier le	yes neer's str rmit. : State H	dition of	48 report, p Building o longer	osting, st g Code available	ructural	or URM		ntary stru		40	A bearing	g wall 48
Yes Mitiga progre Techni Progre Ukia Yes Mitiga buil Techni Progre Unio Yes Mitiga	gress, his ical Miti idings ss and R h 0 ition Pro iding val ical Miti ss and R	48 gram Ty ue on an gation S emarks: 7 gram Ty	Yes pe: Enging yone per tandards Earlier leading Yes pe: Yes	yes neer's str rmit. : State H pan prog	dition of	48 report, p Building o longer	osting, st g Code available	ructural	or URM		ntary stru		40	A bearing	g wall 48

	Jurisd	liction					Su	rvey	Re	sults (n	umbe	ers of UR	Ms)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued		Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Upla	nd															
Yes	0	58	Yes		10	10	4	4	1	6					34	
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Volu	ntary str	engtheni	ing requi	ires engir	neerii	ng r	eports, a	nd let	ters of inte	ent.			
						of Divisio	on 88 of t	he Lo	s A	ngeles C	ity Co	de, the 19	73 UBC	for non-U	JRM bui	ldings,
	City Ord					1 1 11							1			
•	ss and R o n packagi								_			irket rate, ants	archite	ctural eng	ineering	and
	r pachagi		010171, 1	10 1000 1	71111100	Тоссир		1010	****	11000 1 0	эссир					
Vaca	ville															
Yes	14	7	Yes	yes		21	6	()	0	0	0	0	0	15	21
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Noti	ces to Ov	vners	•	•						•			
Techni	ical Mitig	gation S	tandards	s: None												
Progres	ss and R	emarks:	3% rede	velopme	nt match	ning loan	progran	ı ove	r 25	years fo	r retro	fits. Offer	s facado	e loans.		
Valle	ejo															
Yes	8	56	Yes		16	16		Ç	9	25					20	
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Volu	ntary str	engtheni	ing										
Techni	ical Mitig	gation S	tandards	s: Similar	to the U	CBC Ap	pendix C	Chapt	er 1							
Progres	ss and R	emarks:	\$40,000	per builc	ding max	imum C	DBG loai	n. 19	bui	ldings r	emove	d from lis	t.			
T 74																
Vent		404	1	1												
Yes	11	134	Yes	yes	3	130	37 1	18		4		4		, and	3	145
	นอก Pro ยู ommend			datory p	arapet st	rengtner	iing. Voi	untar	y Si	rengtne	ning to	UCBC Se	eismic Z	Lone 2B C	ompiian	ce
	ical Mitig			: UCBC												
					mpact St	udv don	e. 2 ordi	nanc	es a	dopted a	nd 1 r	oolicy resc	lution.	NOtice of	non-cor	npliend
	ed on de				pace Sc	aay aon				aoptoa	1	Joseph Tobe	racioni		11011 001	p
Vent	ura Co	punty	1	1				1			T					
Yes	0	19	Yes	yes	8	8						1	7		3	19
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengther	ning										
Techni	ical Mitig	gation S	tandards	: Februa	ry 1990 S	SSC Mod	el Ordin	ance								
Progres	ss and R	emarks:														
T 7																
Vern			T		_		_			_	_		_			
Yes	0	105	Yes	yes	8		3	()	0	0	35	2	63	59	79
	tion Prog						0.7.7.7.7	~								
	ical Mitig														• -	
URN												95 was in aving a to				er of

	T2I	·					C	D.	14 (- CIID	N (I -)			
	Jurisd	icuon					Sui	ivey Ke	sults (n	umpers	OI UK	(V12)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Victo	orville														
Yes	0	37	Yes	yes	6		0	2	6	2	1			10	40
	tion Prog				ners, ow	ners are	requeste	d to vol	untarily	upgrade	their bu	ildings ı	upon cha	nges of	
	upancy o														
Techni	ical Mitig	gation St	tandards	: UCBC	Appendi	x Chapt	er 1								
	ss and Re														luction
is a	result of	further i	investiga	tion of t	he struct	ural elen	nents and	d as a res	sult they	are no lo	onger cla	ssified a	s URM (15 total).	
Villa	Park					T		1		1					
Yes	0	0	N/A												
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:												
Techni	cal Mitig	gation St	tandards	s:											
Progre	ss and Ro	emarks:													
Vista	1														
Yes	0	2	Yes	yes	1	1								1	
	tion Prog		1	3		_					1			1 -	
	cal Mitig		-			8									
	ss and Re				ерогич										
110810	35 WIIW 100														
Waln	nut														
Yes	0	0	N/A												
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe:	1			1			I	1			<u> </u>	
	cal Mitig	, ,	•	s:											
	ss and Ro														
	ut Cr														
Yes	0	17	Yes	VOS	14	14	1		1			1			17
	tion Prog		1	yes datory S			1		1			1			17
	cal Mitig						1987 SSC	C Model	Ordinan	ice used	for adm	inistrativ	ve requir	ements.	UCBC
	oendix Cl		, 1997 ed	ition use	ed for str	uctural r	equireme	ents.							
Progre	ss and Re	emarks:													
Waso	co					1				1					
Yes	0	26	Yes	yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	26	26
Mitiga	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Notic	ces to ow	ners										
			-	•		-				-	•	-	-		-
Techni	ical Mitig	gation St	tandards	: None											

	T2 à	19 -49					C		D -	14 (1	CIII	DM-)			
	Juriso	liction					Su	Ī	Ke	suits (n	umbe	rs of Ul	KIVIS)			
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued		Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified
Wats	onvil	le														
Yes	0	60	Yes		16	0	2	0		1	0	4	0	0	27	
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe:													
resp	onse.						nd Notific						cording to	o the 1997	7 Survey	
West	Covi	na														
Yes	0	1	Yes	yes	1											1
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Notic	ce to ow	ner, engi	neer's re	port									
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	: Not in	dicated											
Progre	ss and R	emarks:	Plans we	ere prep	ared in 1	992 and	were bei	ng rev	iev	ved. Cos	sts wer	e being l	ooked at.			
West	Holly	ywood	i	_												
Yes	20	81	Yes	yes												
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengther	ning										
Met Progres	thodolog	y Report emarks:	t Amende	ed the re	nt contro	l progra	m to allo	w ren	t in	icreases,	\$7100	per build	ling Com	munity Γ	Developr	nent
West	lake V	Villag	e													
Yes	0	0	N/A													
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe:													
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	S:												
Progre	ss and R	emarks:														
West Yes	minst	er	N/A													
	tion Pro	_		1		<u> </u>	1	I		<u> </u>	1					
	ical Miti			s:												
	ss and R	_														
	morla															
Yes	0	2	Yes													
Mitiga	tion Pro	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengther	ning										
Techni	ical Miti	gation S	tandards	s: 1988 E	dition of	Chapter	96 of the	Los A	٩n٤	geles Co	unty C	ode				
Progre	ss and R	emarks:									_					

	Jurisd	liction		Survey Results (numbers of URMs)												
Inventory Completed	Number of Historic URMs	Number of Non- Historic URMs	Mitigation Program Established	Replied to 1999 Survey	UCBC Compliance	Compliance with Jurisdiction Program	Partial Compliance/Under Construction	Retrofit Permit Issued	Plans Submitted/Pancheck Underway	Reduced Occupancy	Demolished	Slated for Demolition	Warning Placards Posted	No Mitigation Progress	Owners Notified	
Whittier																
Yes	0	12	Yes	yes		9	1				2				12	
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengther	ning.			<u> </u>				'		'	
Progres	Technical Mitigation Standards: Draft Model Ordinance (Division 88) Progress and Remarks: Notices served 5/92. Willits															
Yes	2	7	Yes	yes	1							1		5	7	
						ices to o	wners, po	osting (of building	gs.		1 -	1		<u> </u>	
Mitigation Program Type: Engineer's report, notices to owners, posting of buildings. Technical Mitigation Standards:																
Progress and Remarks:																
Tiogree	35 WIIW 10															
Wood	dside	I	I	1	I	I		Т		Т				1	1	
Yes	0	0	N/A													
Mitigation Program Type:																
Technical Mitigation Standards:																
Progres	Progress and Remarks:															
Yorb	a Linc	la		•												
Yes	0	2	Yes	yes	2											
Mitigat	tion Prog	gram Ty	pe: Man	datory st	rengther	ning anal	lysis requ	ired b	y structura	al engin	eer.					
Technical Mitigation Standards: Similar to 1982 Edition of Division 88																
Progres	ss and R	emarks:														
Youn	tville															
Yes	5	5	Yes	Yes	2		3							5	10	
	tion Prog		I	l		1							1		10	
	cal Mitig				-1015											
	ss and R															
Yuca	ipa															
Yes	0	45	Yes		17									28	28	
	tion Pros			datory st	1	ning reau	uiring eva	aluatio	ns by June	1994		_1	_1	1	1 -	
	cal Mitig		_		<u> </u>	U 1	6 - 1		J	<u> </u>						
Progres	ss and R	emarks:	Draft or	dinance					oendix Ch							
Ord	шапсе а	uoptea 1	11 1992, r	equires i	папаато	ry streng	guiening.	тпеу а	re now co	usiaeri	ig revisir	ig it to a	voiuntar	y progra	111.	

Appendix B-The URM Law (Full Text)

Chapter 12.2 Building Earthquake Safety (Chapter 12.2 was added by Stats. 1986, c.250, § 2.)

§ 8875. Definitions

Unless the context otherwise requires, the following definitions shall govern the construction of this chapter:

- (a) "Potentially hazardous building" means any building constructed prior to the adoption of local building codes requiring earthquake resistant design of buildings and constructed of unreinforced masonry wall construction. "Potentially hazardous building" includes all buildings of this type, including, but not limited to, public and private schools, theaters, places of public assembly, apartment buildings, hotels, motels, fire stations, police stations, and buildings housing emergency services, equipment, or supplies, such as government buildings, disaster relief centers, communications facilities, hospitals, blood banks, pharmaceutical supply warehouses, plants, and retail outlets. "Potentially hazardous building" does not include any building having five living units or less. "Potentially hazardous building" does not include, for purposes of subdivision (a) of Section 8877, any building which qualifies as "historical property" as determined by an appropriate governmental agency under Section 37602 of the Health and Safety Code.
- (b) "Local building department" means a department or agency of a city or county charged with the responsibility for the enforcement of local building codes.

§ 8875.1

Establishment of program; identification of potentially hazardous buildings; advisory report.

A program is hereby established within all cities, both general law and chartered, and all counties and portions thereof located within seismic zone 4, as defined and illustrated in Chapter 2-23 of Part 2 of Title 24 of the California Administrative Code, to identify all potentially hazardous buildings and to establish a program for mitigation of identified potentially hazardous buildings.

By September 1, 1987, the Seismic Safety Commission, in cooperation with the League of California cities, the County Supervisors Association of California and California building officials, shall prepare an advisory report for local jurisdictions containing criteria and procedures for purposes of Section 8875.2.

(Formerly § 8876, added by Stats. 1986, c. 250, § 2. Renumbered § 8875.1 and amended by Stats. 1987, c 56, § 62.)

§ 8875.2 Local building departments; participation in mitigation programs; reports. Local building departments shall do all of the following:

(a) Identify all potentially hazardous buildings within their respective jurisdiction on or before

January 1, 1990. This identification shall include current building use and daily occupancy load. In regard to identifying and inventorying the buildings, the local building departments may establish a schedule of fees to recover the costs of identifying potentially hazardous buildings and carrying out this chapter.

(b) Establish a mitigation program for potentially hazardous buildings to include notification to the legal owner that the building is considered to be one of a general type of structure that historically has exhibited little resistance to earthquake motion. The mitigation program may include the adoption by ordinance of a hazardous buildings program, measures to strengthen buildings, measures to change the use to acceptable occupancy levels or to demolish the building, tax incentives available for seismic rehabilitation, low-cost seismic rehabilitation loans available under Division 32 (commencing with Section 5500) of the Health and Safety Code, application of structural standards necessary to provide for life safety above current code requirements, and other incentives to repair the buildings which are available from federal, state, and local programs. Compliance with an adopted hazardous buildings ordinance or mitigation program shall be the responsibility of building owners.

Nothing in this chapter makes any state building subject to a local building mitigation program or makes the state or any local government responsible for paying the cost of strengthening a privately owned structure, reducing the occupancy, demolishing a structure, preparing engineering or architectural analysis, investigation, or design, or other costs associated with compliance of locally adopted mitigation programs.

(c) By January 1, 1990, all information regarding potentially hazardous buildings and all hazardous building mitigation programs shall be reported to the appropriate legislative body of a city or county and filed with the Seismic Safety Commission.

§ 8875.3 Local jurisdictions; immunity from liability

Local jurisdictions undertaking inventories and providing structural evaluations of potentially hazardous buildings pursuant to this chapter shall have the same immunity from liability for action or inaction taken pursuant of this chapter as is provide by Section 19167 of the Health and Safety Code for action or failure to take any action pursuant to Article 4 (commencing with Section 19160) of Chapter 2 or Part 3 of Division 13 of the Health and Safety Code.

§ 8875.4 Annual report

The Seismic Safety Commission shall report annually, commencing on or before June 30, 1987, to the Legislature on the filing of mitigation programs from local jurisdiction. The annual report required by this section shall review and assess the effectiveness of building reconstruction standards adopted by cities and counties pursuant to this article and shall supersede the reporting requirement pursuant to this article and shall supersede the reporting requirement pursuant to Section 19169 of the Health and Safety Code.

§ 8875.5 Coordination of responsibilities

The Seismic Safety Commission shall coordinate the earthquake-related responsibilities of government agencies imposed by this chapter to ensure compliance with the purposes of this

chapter.

§ 8875.6

Transfer of unreinforced masonry building with wood frame floors or roofs; duty to deliver to purchaser earthquake safety guide

On and after January 1, 1993, the transferor, or his or her agent, of any unreinforced masonry building with wood frame floors or roofs, built before January 1, 1975, which is located within any county or city shall, as soon as practicable before the sale, transfer, or exchange, deliver to the purchaser a copy of the *Commercial Property Owner's Guide to Earthquake Safety* described in Section 10147 of the Business and Professions Code. This section shall not apply to any transfer described in Section 8893.3

§ 8875.7

If the transferee has received notice pursuant to Section 8875.8, and has not brought the building or structure into compliance within five years of that date, the owner shall not receive payment from any state assistance program for earthquake repairs resulting from damage during an earthquake until all other applicants have been paid.

§ 8875.8

(a) Within three months of the effective date of the act amending this section, enacted at the 1991-92 Regular Session, any owner who has received actual or constructive notice that a building located in seismic zone 4 is constructed of unreinforced masonry shall post in a conspicuous place at the entrance of the building, on a sign not less than 5x7 the following statement, printed in not less than 30-point bold type:

This is an unreinforced masonry building. Unreinforced masonry buildings, may be unsafe in the event of a major earthquake.

(b) Notice of the obligation to post a sign, as required by subdivision (a), shall be included in the *Commercial Property Owner's Guide to Earthquake Safety*.

§ 8875.9

Section 8875.8 shall not apply to unreinforced masonry construction if the walls are non-load bearing with steel or concrete frame.

§ 8875.95

No transfer of title shall be invalidated on the basis of failure to comply with this chapter.